THE ASSOCIATION OF THE FEDERAL BAR OF THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY PRESENTS 10 11 12 THE THIRTIETH ANNUAL 13 UNITED STATES JUDICAL CONFERENCE FOR THE DISCTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 MAYFAIR FARMS WEST ORANGE, NEW JERSEY 23 MARCH 23, 2006 24 REPORTED BY: HOWARD A. RAPPAPORT, CSR 25



CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: This is the Thirtieth Annual program. Some of us can remember when we started this organization and how it grew.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have had, this year, two successful programs on cross-examination. We have a stellar program today, as you can see from your program, and I think all of this is quite an achievement for John Lacey and for Joe Hayden and for the entire organization.

This is a time to look back and to look ahead, both for the Association and for our court.

The Association, as I say, has grown to become the intellectual organization of those who practice before our court. Our court, as you know, is the second oldest in the nation, one of the thirteen original courts founded under the Judiciary Act of 1789. Our first member of the court, of course, was initiated by George Washington.

Our two centuries of history will soon go to press, as I think Ron Hedges will tell you in a moment, because a book, "This Honorable Court," which details the history of our court over the past 200 plus years, has been completed after the author, Professor Lender, the chairman of the history department of Kean University, has spent five years

members of the Bar, officers of our court, as our partners, we shall continue to provide the prompt, efficient justice to all who appear before us.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

6

7

8

17

18

3

MR. HAYDEN: Good morning, everybody. My name is Joe Hayden, and I have the privilege of being the president this year of the Association of the Federal Bar.

You will note from the crowd we already had earlier in the day that, according to our executive director, Ginny Whipple, we have the largest number of paid tickets and paid registrants that we have had in the 30 years of the Association of the Federal Bar. I think that is a tribute to our program coordinator, John Lacey, who I would like to give a hand to.

(Applause.)

I would also like to express my appreciation to Ginny Whipple, who has been the executive director of this organization for many years, and without her experience and without her skill and style, we would not be able to run these programs so seamlessly. Although she's not here, she's outside working, we can express our

researching and writing.

The book is a rarity. It is a comprehensive history, published by a major publisher, Rutgers University, and received top peer reviews by numerous academics. Those of us who are subjects eagerly await reading.

Don Robinson and our Historical Society will commemorate the event at the annual gala on May 10th at the ceremonial courtroom in Newark.

This past year has been a good one for our court, and the future will be even better.

As you know, we have struggled with four vacancies of up to three years in duration. This makes us a court with," a judicial emergency," according to the Administrative Office.

Nonetheless, our judicial officers and staff have worked exceptionally hard to provide the just, speedy and inexpensive determination of every action which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure enunciate as our primary mission.

Although stretched thin on three quarters of our authorized judicial strength, we did not fall far behind. Today we have a full complement of able nominees. We anticipate their is speedy confirmation, and thereafter, together with the

appreciation to her. 1

2 (Applause.)

necessary card.

One mechanical detail. This program has been approved for ICLE credit for both Pennsylvania and New'York, two and a half credits. Anybody who would like that credit, go outside to the front desk and you will be able to pick up the

9 We are going to start our program in a second, but a very important part of the federal 10 program and the federal experience is the Historical 11 Society of the District Court for the District of New 12 Jersey. We are going to have Judge Hedges give us a 13 very brief report of the activities of our Historical 14

15 Society. 16

(Applause.)

JUDGE HEDGES: Very brief report.

Chief Judge Brown told you that the

Historical Society is going to be sponsoring the 19

20 annual gala. That will be May 10th in the ceremonial

21 courtroom in Newark. It is going to center around,

finally, the publication of the history of our court. 22

23 As you walked in, you saw a dust jacket 24 outside. It is the statute of Lady Justice that is 25

sitting in the old courthouse.

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

5



We have done a lot this year with the Historical Society other than having a book finally come to press. It has been five years in the making.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Having read it with several other people, I think anyone who decides to get it, you are going to enjoy it. It's a very detailed history, the most detailed history of any District Court written in the United States. There aren't many histories of District Courts.

On your table you are going to see a packet of materials that starts with "Nunc Pro Tunc," which is our newsletter which is available to anyone who is a member. And if you go down towards the last two pages, there is an order form for Rutgers University Press, and also something just as important, there is a membership application for the Historical Society.

I would encourage all of you here to become members. We have done a lot this year. We sent a number of people to the United'States Supreme Court for swearing in. In December we sponsored for the second time a swearing in of new attorneys in Essex County.

You all know about the lounges that are available for lawyers in our three courthouses, and

As you will see from our panelists, I think it is all three. It is not because of my efforts, but solely because of the panelists' efforts this morning.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

7

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

Without any further delay -- first of all, I want to thank Joe Hayden for coming up with a number of the subjects that we will be discussing today. He was an integral part of this, and made a number of the important phone calls that helped us to obtain the presence of the terrific panelists that we will have not only on this panel before you, but on our next panel as well.

I also want to echo Joe's comments concerning Ginny Whipple. She has done a phenomenal job in helping to coordinate this event. I can't express my appreciation enough.

17 Having said that, I want to make one 18 personal comment to someone who since last year has 19 come from the other side of the bench to our side, 20 the lawyers' side, and that is Jack Bissell.

21 For many years Judge Bissell served as 22 the chief of this district. He handled it with 23 aplomb, with charm, with grace. He maintained a 24 balanced lifestyle throughout, and we are so very 25 happy to have him now in private practice, and I'm

for members of the Society, last fall sponsored a reception with judges in Newark. We are looking forward to doing all that again this year.

One last thing. There is a little brochure at the table for you if you're interested in the Historical Society. If you open it up, you are going to see on the inside our statute of Lady Justice. You are going to see above it a mural.

This was one of four murals commissioned in the 1930s that should have been put in the Post Office Building in Newark. One of our former judges objected to it and, unfortunately, it was destroyed.

We are now in the process, hopefully, of restoring this, the other mural and perhaps two more so they can be put on display someplace for the benefit of the bench and the Bar.

Thank you for your time and support. I hope to see you all in May.

(Applause.)

MR. LACEY: Thank you, Judge Hedges. Good morning, everyone.

I was given three instructions last fall by Joe Hayden, and that was to make this program fun, provocative and educational.

sure he will be doing a terrific job handling 1 2 mediations and arbitrations.

Again, welcome as a private litigant, Judge Bissell.

(Applause.)

MR. LACEY: Now I want to turn to this morning's panel.

We have put together a program on the do's and don'ts of trying a civil case before our District Court. It has become all the more important in recent years, because, as many of you know, many of our cases are being resolved through mediation and arbitration, and even seasoned litigators are finding that they have to refresh themselves as they go to try cases before these well respected courts that we have here in the District of New Jersey.

To my left, our first panelist, Magistrate Judge Patty Shwartz. I've known Patty 18 for --

JUDGE SHWARTZ: Some time.

MR. LACEY: Longer than she would like to admit.

23 Patty started out as an Assistant U.S. 24 Attorney, and she left that office to join the bench, having attained the level of chief of the criminal 25

3 (Pages 6 to 9)



in introducing is Jeff Moryan, who happens to be my division of the United States Attorney's office. 1 Judge Patty Shwartz. partner, and we got to know each other a lot better, 3 probably than either of us wanted to, during six (Applause.) weeks before Judge Linares in the fall. You never 4 To Judge Shwartz' left is Judge Chesler. 5 know your partners until you try a case with them. Judge Chesler is another product of the prosecution 6 Jeff Moryan handled himself so well, we 6 team, having served on the federal Organized Crime 7 Task Force for many years, having served as a had two very distinct styles that seemed to complement one another, and I found him to be just a 8 United'States Magistrate Judge, and now having joined 9 terrific, terrific trial lawyer, as I knew he had and served with distinction on the federal bench as a United'States District Court judge. 10 been from reputation, then I saw with my own eyes, 10 11 and he did a great job. 11 (Applause.) 12 12 Our next panelist, Judge Linares. Jeff Moryan. 13 (Applause.) I had the pleasure of trying a six-week 13 14 Dennis Drasco of the law firm of Lum trial before Judge Linares in the fall. I have had 14 Positan Drasco. He has been a seasoned litigator no prior experience with him -- well, with one 15 15 within our Federal Bar for many, many years. He is 16 exception. 16 the former chair of the litigation section of the 17 17 I have four boys, all of whom are 18 ABA. He handles mostly business litigation, and baseball players. They play, among others, some 18 19 again, I have experience with Dennis, and he's just a teams from up in the Caldwells. 19 20 terrific litigator and a fine panelist, and I thank When I walked into Judge Linares 20 courtroom, I recognized the face as being someone who 21 you for being here today. 21 22 Dennis Drasco. 22 was a rather competitive fellow, who was rather 23 active in challenging some of the refs or some of the (Applause.) 23 24 MR. LACEY: Linda Wong of the law firm umpires in some of the baseball games that I 24 25 of Wong Fleming. She has made her reputation as a 25 attended. 13 11 seasoned plaintiff's lawyer in the employment field, Seeing that, and recalling his 1 1 2 although she also does a tremendous amount of work on activities on the baseball field, I thought for sure 3 behalf of employers, and many seasoned litigators, as that he would not mind litigants challenging some of 3 his rulings in his court. 4 well as many employers, find themselves trying to 4 5 curry her favor, hoping she does not bring one of I found soon thereafter that that was 5 those lawsuits against one of their clients or 6 6 not the case, and we got along terrifically for a 7 against the corporation. terrific six weeks. Linda Wong. 8 8 Judge Linares, I must also say, has, again with six weeks of experience with many, many 9 9 (Applause.) MR LACEY: Starting with our theme now of the do's 10 10 issues before him, handled motions quickly, with a 11 and don'ts of trying a case in the federal courts. thorough -- and I'll say in all cases, I may have had 11 12 I want to start with Magistrate judge 12 exception with one or two -- but in all cases made 13 Shwartz. the absolutely correct ruling, and he just handled 13 14

himself from the bench with such grace that it was a pleasure to try a case before him.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judge Katherine Sweeney Hayden. She has such grace, intelligence, and she's able to control a courtroom from the bench without being anything other than being herself. It is another courtroom where you walk in and you just see that you are to act in a civil fashion and nothing else is acceptable.

She has proven over and over throughout the years, with each passing day that you see her, that Joe Hayden married up.

Our next panelist I take great pleasure

We begin many of our cases -- can everyone hear me?

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We begin many of our cases with the applicant moving in court for emergent relief, often by an order to show cause, and they are seeking

restraints.

You have participated, usually are going to be there at the outset, often getting a call from

one of the judges. And in terms of advising our litigants

24 on making these applications for temporary restraints before a court, how important is it that they come in 25

4 (Pages 10 to 13)



completely prepared, even though they may have only been assigned the case, or received the case a few days earlier?

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE SHWARTZ: Well, the case would originally be presented to one of the District Judges, and the District Judge, of course, would determine whether they are going to issue ex parte restraint or require some notice to be provided.

After that event, the District Judge may send the party up to one of the Magistrate Judges to help create an expedited schedule for discovery so that they can prepare for a preliminary injunction hearing.

Unfortunately, it is the defendant who is coming in saying, I received this file 45 minutes before I came to Newark. We usually look to the plaintiff to determine the real necessity to get the defendant up to speed in order to be prepared to collect whatever information they need for the preliminary injunction hearing.

MR. LACEY: Judge Hayden, when litigants come before you with an emergent application, very often in the context of a corporation claiming that someone is stealing their proprietary information, someone has infringed on their trademark, or a former

golly, this is so sudden, or if there has been a series of chats in which this became not just a 3 hypothetical horror, but something that was going to happen.

1

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the issues that we really do ask, are folks just elbowing other folks out of the way?

That's what we do. 8 I'm concentrating on the question of the initial moment when the attorney comes in armed with

paperwork and it is sent to chambers. MR. LACEY: Let's assume that Jeff

Moryan has come before you with such an application.

JUDGE HAYDEN: Which he has.

MR. LACEY: Which he has.

And he has his client in, and like all good attorneys in these situations, he is given some notice, maybe only a half hour or an hour, to his adversary.

So now you have two attorneys in court before you and you see that it's a valid application that seems to have some merit, at least on the face of the papers, are you going to hold a trial-like hearing?

JUDGE HAYDEN: I'm going to find out whether or not these lawyers need to put some witness

employee has now joined a competitor and is working in violation of a non-compete agreement, and they are claiming that they need immediate, temporary injunctive relief, how open are you to those applications?

JUDGE HAYDEN: Well, I think the first thing that we try to do is, in looking over the papers, determine if there are immediate restraints that are being sought in the application or merely a schedule that puts everybody ahead of the pack.

Once having decided that, we calm down a little bit, because if it is just a schedule, then the real issue is, is this a strategy to get to the top of the motion list or is there a genuine emergency?

MR. LACEY: So you actually recognize that strategy in some of our cases, is that right?

JUDGE HAYDEN: It has occurred to me. Then do you call for the other side to come in?

One of the key questions that I ask, assuming the other side does come in, which I would want, except in the most extraordinary circumstances, if restraints are being requested, one of the first things to find out is if the defendant can say, oh,

17 testimony on. Assuming that I believe witness 1 testimony will help me make a better decision, and we 2 have some time, I'll strongly suggest that they do that, and work in an hour, hour-and-a-half within the 4 5 next couple of days for that possibility.

It's throwing down the gauntlet. It is turning the case from a paper case to a witness prepped case, and very often that can help you resolve things, phoniness gets stripped away in the face of terror, and things kind of resolve themselves a little bit better.

I really believe I can make a better decision, again and again -- I was just talking with Jeff about the case that we had, and secrets get exposed at the end that you just don't know, and all of the screaming in the world that somebody has assets, you can find that out pretty quickly after 20 minutes of a quick examination.

MR. LACEY: Judge Linares, what are you looking for when you have seen, at least on the face of the papers, that they seem to have merit and that perhaps a temporary injunction is appropriate?

JUDGE LINARES: Well, I want to back up a second, because of some of the practical matters you ought to take into account.

5 (Pages 14 to 17)



Number one, let's look at the papers and make sure that this is in fact a matter, as Katherine said, where you need an injunction or a temporary—where there is really going to be irreparable harm. We are going to look at that stuff.

Timing is an issue. You got to understand that when this TRO is scheduled, often in the afternoon, three o'clock in the afternoon, the end of the day, to the extent that you can control your timing, my suggestion to you is get to the courthouse early so we have a chance to give you a thorough review.

Check the rules. If you're going to -if you're asking for temporary restraints, you have
to look at whether or not you've given notice, or if
you're not going to give notice, why, and set it
forth.

Don't give us 50 pages to tell us the important things we need to know, with regard to why there is no need to give notice in this particular case, if you're asking for temporary restraints, what is unusual about your case that maybe notice shouldn't be required, whether or not -- give all this stuff right away.

With regard to whether or not I would

the facts. It's hard for me to tell you that. I
don't grant them often. You really have to convince
me you need them, and you have to convince me that
there will be irreparable harm that can't be dealt
with at a future date, some harm that is so
irreparable and so immediate that you do need to do
the restraint right now.
I don't give them often. Obviously

I don't give them often. Obviously everything is fact sensitive, but I don't give them often.

MR. LACEY: The application is going to say any number of things trying to show irreparable harm, that if we don't get this injunction immediately, we are going to suffer all of these dire consequences.

You are trying to read into that and look behind it, I assume with common sense, to say, is that the case, or can they get by until the preliminary injunction application?

JUDGE LINARES: The first thing we do is read the papers. My clerk and I will sit there and read the papers. We'll see -- I assume you're talking about a case where you're asking for temporary restraints between the day you come into my court and the date we are having the hearing?

have a hearing, if there is an initial application after I review the paperwork, I see whether or not this is a matter that is appropriate in fact for emergent relief and whether or not notice is required or not, et'cetera. Once I do that, I come on the bench, sometimes I flesh out whatever information I need from the lawyer on the spot.

If I'm satisfied, at that point I sign the order or I schedule a hearing.

There are some times that, as the return date -- which I guess is what you're asking me -- I do take witnesses.

As Katherine said, sometimes the affidavits give enough, and oftentimes can be resolved by way of oral argument, that most times I will have a hearing with witnesses.

MR. LACEY: Out of all the applications you receive, I think many federal practitioners have a tendency to put that order to show cause -- that form of order to show cause will include temporary restraints in it.

How often are you going to grant that temporary restraint pending a hearing on the preliminary injunction?

JUDGE LINARES: Obviously it depends on

MR. LACEY: Yes.

JUDGE LINARES: At that point we read the paperwork right away. See if we can identify -sometimes it's very clear, I mean, there is a history of fraud, the guy absconded, set up a fake bank account, whatever it may be.

Oftentimes it is not easy. If I'm not convinced, I come out on the bench, I pepper him with questions as to what I think may be the issue, and if I'm still not convinced, I'll tell him I need witnesses to convince me, and I'll set up a time for them to come back either later on that day or the next day or whatever.

MR. LACEY: Judge Chesler, how about in your court? How often are you going to grant that temporary restraining order, and if you're not satisfied that the standard has been met, will you at that initial hearing, or that initial application, have any witness testimony?

JUDGE CHESLER: It's a rarity that I'm going to have witness testimony at an initial hearing. Certainly, for example, with an ex parte application, that's not going to occur. They are either going to make a compelling demonstration that they have in fact demonstrated extraordinary

6 (Pages 18 to 21)



circumstances at that point in time, or those temporary restraints are going to be denied. Normally you are going to in fact insist on them contacting opposing counsel in connection with it and you are going to have some informal type

2 3

4

5

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

that?

of proceeding.

At that point you are going to determine whether or not there are real issues which have to be dealt with.

At that point usually you got to set a schedule. But even then, absent a demonstration that there are really compelling circumstances, the likelihood of preliminary restraints being granted at that point in time are usually slim.

That doesn't mean never. What it really means is that the application has to in fact engage in a realistic consideration of the factors which the court is going to be considering, and that you have to show that, for example, a few days hiatus before you can have some sort of real adversary proceeding is going to in fact cause irreparable harm.

The fact is, I think all of my colleagues have found this, the average application for temporary restraining order does not involve any imminent risk of injury. And not infrequently the

sits back and says, do I want to go to a preliminary injunction hearing where I potentially am going to 3 get a full resolution on the merits as a practical matter with an appealable order without having my i's 4 5 dotted and t's crossed? 6

Now you end up frequently with the 7 parties agreeing to a discovery schedule, which is in fact a realistic one and which will permit the 8 9 development of a full and complete record.

MR. LACEY: Now let's assume it's the converse. You have issued the TRO, again with the other side claiming that they are going to suffer substantial harm if this remains in place without a 13 relatively short turn around on the preliminary injunction hearing.

16 You are going to grant that application for a short turn around and maybe some discovery? 17

18 JUDGE CHESLER: Me? Yes. 19 MR. LACEY: Judge Linares? 20 JUDGE LINARES: Yes.

21 MR. LACEY: And let's assume now we have 22 the preliminary injunction hearing. Judge Hayden, at 23 this point let's assume some discovery has taken

24 place, are you going to hold a trial?

JUDGE HAYDEN: Well, we had that happen.

25

applicants are also seeking through those temporary restraints the functional equivalent of a full and total victory on the merits.

MR. LACEY: And you find a problem with

JUDGE CHESLER: On that type of notice, yes.

MR. LACEY: Assuming that someone has at least made a good faith application for temporary restraints, and you happen to deny it, but you are wondering whether perhaps there may be some substantial harm that will befall the applicant if you don't grant those restraints, will you give a --I assume you all would say you would give a short return date, at least for a preliminary injunction application.

> Would that be fair to say? JUDGE LINARES: Yes.

MR. LACEY: You may even order some discovery in the interim, some expedited discovery, assuming it's a good faith application?

JUDGE CHESLER: Yes, but I would add something here.

What I have discovered is once I don't sign those immediate restraints, the applicant now I'm blessed -- I've been blessed since I came to the

2 federal bench with wonderful magistrates, first

3 Judge Hedges and now Judge Shwartz. I find in that

hearing I'm working very hand in glove with the 4

5 Magistrate Judge.

10

11

12

14

15

25

1

6

7

8

16

17

25

Very often you start a hearing and you hit a bump because things are still evolving. We are talking about a hearing in the infancy of the case.

9 I'm from the school that honestly 10 believes that a case that begins bad stays bad.

11 I think that orders to show cause begin 12 badly, because someone comes in gored, and that 13 hearing is where they are gored, and I think it is going to be incumbent upon the judge, some obligation 14 15 to try to get this even again.

I will begin it, but I don't know that we have really ever gone the full three acts with all the solos and arias.

18 19 Something happens and we get through the worst part of it, and that's where the Magistrate 20 Judge can be very, very helpful in kind of taking it

21 back again for some purpose or another. It is kind 22 of just an organic thing. It happens more often than

23 24 not.

MR. LACEY: So you are going to refer

7 (Pages 22 to 25)



some of the matters that arise before you to the Magistrate Judge --

JUDGE HAYDEN: For more discovery, for more something or other.

MR. LACEY: Maybe to calm the situation a little bit?

JUDGE HAYDEN: Oh, yeah.

JUDGE LINARES: Once I have issued the original order with return dates and briefing schedules, once we get the paperwork in and we have a chance to read it, we have the parties come in before the hearing and we try to resolve it.

Oftentimes it's amazing to me, when I was in practice, and it's amazing to me still, that lawyers don't call each other more, because oftentimes I bring them back into court, the return date is next week, and whatever it is that was the subject matter of the TRO gets resolved by way of some kind of an order that we can agree on, a consent order with regard to restraints, with regard to whatever it may be. It kind of forces everybody to come in. That's at least one thing I do.

If it doesn't get resolved at that point, I find out if there are going to be discovery issues that are going to need to be addressed by

Judge Hedges. If that's the case, then I send them down that day to see him, and that gets addressed at that point.

This is a practical component. A lot of times the lawyers don't explore these things. When it comes in by way of TRO, because, as Katherine says, somebody gets a step ahead of everybody, they want to keep that advantage, not realizing that advantage will go away the day you have the hearing.

MR. LACEY: Jeff Moryan, you brought many of these applications, usually in the context of a franchiser or franchisee relationship going wrong, usually with the franchisee breaching the franchise agreement, and in doing so now operating possibly under the franchiser's label, infringing its trademark, using its products without paying for them, that it is now operating as a particular type of gas station, for example, that your client now wants to shut down.

The corporate parent also is coming to you and saying I want this done via TRO, which would explain some of the applications going before the courts and ultimately being denied.

In those situations, what are you talking to your client about in terms of what they

are likely to find when they go before any of these fine panelists?

MR. MORYAN: What we discussed is what you just heard, which is probably the best thing that you're going to get the first day you're there, is a two or three-day order asking everybody to come back, and then most of the times the judges will sit down and suggest to you that there might be a way to resolve this short of what you're asking for.

In the matter that I had before
Judge Hayden, that three-day order turned into the
fourth day, fifth day, the sixth day, the seventh
day. We had a lot of conferences, and actually
there was testimony taken, but it got resolved short
of issuing a permanent injunction.

I found in this district, what I try to tell my clients, is you may think that you have a right to shutting somebody down or with a preliminary injunction right out of the box, you're not going to get it.

The best you're going to get is the intention of the judge. The best you're going to get is somebody coming in with a lawyer two or three days later, and maybe you'll get the order that you want if you make a good showing.

We try to take a deep breath and we try to make our papers as perfect as we can make them. I suggest to them that our affidavits be as factual as we can, as detailed as we can, and non-argumentative

Most judges do not like to see a verified complaint or an affidavit that has argument in it. They respect when you come in with very good papers.

As a practical matter, I do follow Judge Linares' suggestion, which is I get to the courthouse 15 minutes before the clerk's office opens, and I try to get the attention of a judge that will give me attention the whole day.

I tell them that I'm staying in the hallway until I get some form of an order. I'm not going back to my office.

I give three extra copies so that every law clerk in chambers has one. Law clerks love to have their own copies of papers and the judges love to have his or her own copy of the papers.

I notify my adversaries ahead of time, and I always have a witness in just in case.

MR. LACEY: Yes, Judge.

JUDGE HAYDEN: Just to follow up with what Jeff said, find out if your judge has a

8 (Pages 26 to 29)



permanent law clerk. You will find out whether you are in the infancy of the law clerk's career. That's why that factual and supporting stuff for a new law clerk or seasoned law clerk or current law clerk is very helpful.

MR. LACEY: Jeff, you talked about bringing a witness along.

MR. MORYAN: Right.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

MR. LACEY: Why are you doing that?

MR. MORYAN: Just in case I get extraordinarily lucky and a judge will actually think that I'm entitled to something immediately.

MR. LACEY: Hears a case that you may have gotten in a day or two earlier.

MR. MORYAN: Hopefully more than that so I can get some sleep, but, yes.

MR. LACEY: Now you have a witness who may be testifying under oath at the commencement of a case without any discovery having taken place. You're going to have to live with that sworn testimony for the rest of that litigation.

What do you do to make sure that your case is not sunk?

MR. MORYAN: Well, you better have a good witness, and you better make sure that your

happen, you don't know what judge you're going to be assigned to before you walk into the courthouse and 3 file your papers.

I do know that there are judges in the courthouse that did take testimony from my witnesses, and there are some that looked at me and laughed, why did you bring your client here? You knew you weren't going to have testimony.

You have to be prepared for the fact 10 that a judge might say, this sounds like you might be entitled to some form of restraint which might harm 11 the other side. If I give them today, I'm not going 12 to rely on your affidavit. Do you have a witness 13 14 here? If you don't, you may not get what you hoped 15 you would get.

MR. LACEY: In these cases do you find the judges are encouraging settlement? They make it clear.

MR. MORYAN: Correct.

20 MR. LACEY: Judge Hayden, will you refer 21 people to mediation?

22 JUDGE HAYDEN: At that point I don't 23 think they are ready for it. So I don't think so, 24 no, not immediately.

If it pops out, I might, but I don't

facts are supporting the application that you want and you've prepared the hell out of your witness.

That is no different than putting somebody on the stand in front of a jury. You need to prepare that witness as if the case will end that

MR. LACEY: We have heard some of the panelists talk about not putting on witnesses at that original hearing, but nevertheless trying to cut through some of the rhetoric in the papers and seeing whether this is really an emergent application or not.

There are some judges in our district who will say, where is your client? Mr. So and so, get up on the witness stand.

Then your case, Mr. Moryan, is either going to fly or sink. How do you -- do you know beforehand what the judge is going to do, whether it's going to be one of our panelists here who is going to treat it in a fashion where they try to cool the situation and maybe get to some testimony down the road or whether they are going to put somebody on the witness stand?

MR. MORYAN: I don't think you know before you walk into the courthouse what's going to

anticipate it will happen. 1

4

5

6 7

8

9

16

17

18

19

25

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

31

MR. LACEY: Judge Linares, you are talking about meeting with the lawyers and trying to calm a situation and suggest that, folks, let's talk reasonably.

JUDGE LINARES: I don't refer to mediation at that stage either. Katherine is absolutely correct.

When a case starts that way, there is a great deal of animosity. The emotions are running too high. I don't think that's the day to do that.

I do encourage mediation all the time --Judge Bissell is very happy, I saw Judge Wolin over there -- and I do encourage mediation as much as I can, and it is certainly a growing practice.

In the context of what we are talking about now, I don't think it would be successful at that point. I think the specter of having a hearing before me and having to control the case at that particular point is more important than getting the case out to mediation.

MR. LACEY: Is it the experience of all of you that most of these cases when they come in with gangbusters, will often fizzle out within a month or so?

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

33



JUDGE LINARES: Absolutely.
MR. LACEY: And they end up getting settled.

In either case, it is because of the way you handled it. You are directing them in a particular direction very often with your comments from the bench. Would that be fair to say, that you are looking at these papers and perhaps making preliminary rulings?

MR. MORYAN: May I answer that?
MR. LACEY: Yes. Jeff Moryan.
MR. MORYAN: The answer is yes.
JUDGE HAYDEN: Jeff is talking about a
David and Goliath situation.

One of the hardest things you ever -evenly matched competitors, as it were, is not being
too free with what our initial perspective is,
because then it can aggravate the case and it won't
get resolved.

MR. LACEY: I want to move now beyond the temporary restraining order applications and these hearings that may or may not be held. I would like to now move on to the trial and the do's and don'ts of those situations.

Linda Wong, when are you going to start

that together and directing that with the litigants. How important is that to the trial of the case?

JUDGE SHWARTZ: If it's not in the order, it's not going to be heard in front of the fact finder.

It is such an important document, it is stunning that it is referred to as only one sentence of Rule 16(d). Basically it commends you to prepare this order in anticipation of trial with very little guidance.

The guidance that the district offers you is a format that each judge likes. The most important question that you want to find out is, what format would the judge like me to use?

In Camden they use one format. All the judges use the same one.

17 As you move up the Turnpike, and, Carl
18 Poplar, we are one district, we change a little bit,
19 and the judges have different perspectives on what
20 they think is important in the order.

As recently as last week I had
experienced lawyers prepare an order and use a format
that was dramatically different than the district
judges format, and unfortunately they had to get the
phone call from my poor law clerk telling them they

your preparation for a trial before the federal courts here in New Jersey?

MS. WONG: I prepare my case as soon as the client comes in. When I have a conversation with that client, I want to see what kind of case it is, see whether we can win or lose the case.

After the conversation, but even during the conversation, I'm going to find out what kind of corroborating witnesses there might be, what kind of documents there may be. So I'm preparing a case from the very beginning when a case comes in the door, right through all the motion practice and everything else, right when I'm appearing before the magistrate.

I want to impress upon the court what kind of case we have, but I'm also preparing all along the way. I have to strategize, especially when you are in federal court with these plaintiffs in employment cases, the case is on a very fast track and you have to move quickly. You have to make sure all your ducks are in order at all times.

MR. LACEY: Magistrate Judge Shwartz, as we approach the trial, there is a federal pretrial order that is in some circles deemed onerous to the litigants.

You play an integral role in putting

1 had to redo it in the proper format.

It is basically trying your case on paper. You got to list your witnesses, and for some of the judges you have to list a summary of what the witnesses are going to say, you have to list your exhibits, and for some of the judges you have to list every evidentiary objection to every exhibit you're going to offer. Literally, it's trying your case on paper. You have to list every legal issue you expect to raise, every in limine motion.

As Judge Chesler told you on another panel, it takes all the surprise out of the trial experience. There is no witness outside the door of the courtroom.

JUDGE CHESLER: I said it takes all the joy and spontaneity.

JUDGE SHWARTZ: I'm sorry, joy and spontaneity.

That's because he hasn't tried a case personally in a long time. I forgot the joy part.

JUDGE SHWARTZ: It is important that the attorneys should enjoy the spontaneity, three a.m. and you're copying your exhibits, but it's a document that will help you formulate what your case is going to be, and for some judges it is trying your case on

10 (Pages 34 to 37)



paper.

The way the conference is conducted depends on the Magistrate Judge. Some judges do it in the conference room. Sometimes the order will be signed, sometimes they need changes.

I have had lawyers not understand the importance of the stipulated facts section. We have to talk a little bit about that and how the document can be used to help you at trial.

I've actually asked lawyers to be sure they read their stipulated facts and want to agree to every sentence that is in that section for reasons that we'll talk about in a little bit.

Some of the judges do it on the record.

For that reason we are able to make some findings and give you some rulings on some of the issues that may be in the order, and you have a record contemporaneously for those findings or you have your adversary proffering certain things or giving you stipulations about how they are going to deal with their evidence at trial during the course of that proceeding.

It's an important document to prepare.

It's an important conference to be prepared for, and I think the point about preparing your case from the

conclusion that a large percentage of attorneys, and
indeed partners who are preparing final pretrial
orders, had never tried cases. I would see listed in
those final pretrial orders as exhibits documents
which under no conceivable view of the Federal Rules
of Evidence could possibly be admissible. I would
have parties offering their own expert reports as
exhibits on the exhibit list and so on.

9 What that told me was that I knew then
10 the level of sophistication and ability of the
11 attorneys I was going to be dealing with at trial,
12 and as a Magistrate Judge, also knew the level of
13 their education in terms of federal trial practice.
14 MR. LACEY: Do you find that some cases will set

MR. LACEY: Do you find that some cases will settle at a time in close proximity to the time when they have to prepare the pretrial order?

JUDGE CHESLER: You know, John, there is a rumor floating around that a certain relative of yours was the person who imported the final pretrial order into this district in the first place. There is a further rumor floating around

There is a further rumor floating around that that same relative, after he left the bench and went into practice, was heard to comment, who is the idiot who brought this in?

MR. LACEY: He mentioned that to me

time you get your file is critical, because the whole discovery process should be aimed at, what do I want to put in that order and what do I want to offer at trial.

MR. LACEY: Judge Chesler, when in relation to the trial is the pretrial order issued?

JUDGE CHESLER: At least my practice is I want it issued at the conclusion of discovery.

What I discovered over the years was that until the final pretrial order was actually entered, no matter how many times you told attorneys that discovery was closed, they did not internalize it, and that it was not until there was this document which fixed what the evidence was going to be and what was covered by the parameters of discovery, which was filed, that they actually believe discovery is really over and we are now going to proceed to get to trial.

To just follow up for a second on what Judge Shwartz said on the significance of the final pretrial order, it is also very significant, in terms of judges being able to size up the attorneys who are going to be trying cases in front of them.

I can tell you from my review of the final pretrial orders over the years, I reached the

several times as well.

JUDGE CHESLER: The short answer to that, John, is, yeah. What it does is it focuses the attention of the attorneys and the clients on the fact that this is not a walk in the park, that there is really work which has to be done.

One of the things which I always found amazing was attorneys who gleefully bombarded each other with reams of paper, who would propound interrogatories which would go on for pages and pages and pages and demand notification in response, actually putting pen to paper and telling the court and opposing counsel how they proposed to try their case.

There is no doubt that they indeed do, and that does indeed foster the prospect of settlement.

MR. LACEY: So you see plaintiffs demands coming down and defense offers going up?

JUDGE CHESLER: I think that's a realistic evaluation of it, yes.

MR. LACEY: Now, assuming that we have now filled out the pretrial order, it has a witness list, a list of all your exhibits, it talks about all the objections you're going to be making,

11 (Pages 38 to 41)



Judge Linares, if they don't list certain objections to witnesses, to an expert witness, will you allow them to challenge those witnesses at trial and to seek to bar them?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE LINARES: Well, generally the answer is no, I would not allow it. I hate to say that as a blanket statement, you are all lawyers out there, and you know there may be situations where it's a situation that is not quite covered under the pretrial order, or the expert testifies in a manner at trial where you can now come in and challenge it, notwithstanding something that may be in the pretrial

As a blanket statement, I would say, generally speaking, if you have waived it in the pretrial order, I'm not going to let you do it at trial. I can see situations where there are exceptions and I would be willing to listen to that.

That is different than a situation where you have stipulated facts, for example, or something that could be key, because obviously the whole purpose, as Patty Shwartz was saying, of the pretrial order was to put your adversary on notice how the case will be tried on what the facts will be.

With regard to an objection to expert

and then at trial an expert for one of the parties 2 got on the witness stand, and he was a replacement 3 expert because one of the other experts in the case 4 had died in the interim, and the expert opined that 5 the vehicle had rolled over more than four times.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

43

JUDGE LINARES: Those are one of those situations that I was referring to before where there was a stipulated set of facts.

In the context of that trial, it was very important for the expert's opinion, on either side, as to how many times the rollover had occurred with regard to the design defect.

So in my view, when it was brought to my attention -- I think it was your motion, or Jeff's, I forget whose it was -- that in fact the pretrial order had a stipulation of a four time rollover as opposed to a five time rollover.

I posed a question to the adversary. Is that in fact what was in the pretrial order? The answer was, I'm not sure. I don't think it was nefarious.

I think the people who were trying the case had not read the pretrial order as to that issue, improperly prepared their expert, improperly put an undue amount of weight on that part of the

testimony, I think that's going to be a little more fact sensitive depending how the trial progresses.

You prepared your case based on certain assumptions, I'm not going to let the other side change it in midstream.

It is very important that even though you spent all that time preparing that pretrial order, that you read it again before trial. It's amazing how many times lawyers forget they stipulated something at trial. A lawyer may bring it up in the middle of the trial, judge, that's not an issue in this case. It was stipulated. Let's look at the pretrial order. The other side doesn't know it's in there.

Maybe it's because the lawyers who are trying the cases are different than the lawyers who participated in the pretrial order, but that's no excuse for you. If you are the one trying the case in front of me, at least read it ahead of time and know what's going to be in there.

MR. LACEY: Judge Linares, you have personal experience with that from a trial recently where something like that came up, and actually the parties had stipulated that a vehicle had rolled over a certain number of times, I think it was four times,

factual underpinning of that opinion. 1

In fact, when we looked at the pretrial order, the pretrial order was very explicit as to the number of rollovers involved. I struck the testimony. I think my ruling -- I'm sure you thought that was one of my correct rulings.

MR. LACEY: And well considered. JUDGE LINARES: Yeah, yeah.

But what I did do was I struck the testimony of the expert and I did an instruction for the jury with regard to the fact that I was striking the testimony and why.

Just as an aside, going off on a tangent here, but this is supposed to be a practical seminar.

If you're going to have a thorny issue that you anticipate is going to require a judicial instruction in the middle of the trial in the event you win a particular objection -- and it doesn't hurt you to have one prepared for the judge, as a suggestion -- you can say, Judge, I think that's going to require -- if you rule on my motion and you are going to give an instruction, here's a proposed instruction, the way I think it ought to read. It helps the judge a lot to put it in context.

Not that I'm suggesting I don't want to

12 (Pages 42 to 45)



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

do the work, it is just that oftentimes there may be something that you want included in that instruction, that if the judge does it from the bench in a hurry, may leave something out that is important and you may be able to convince the judge that it should be included.

Just as a practical hint, if you will. MR. LACEY: The judges highlight the importance of the pretrial order in listing your witnesses, in making sure you have a complete witness list, because if you don't have that person on your witness list in the pretrial order, you will not be permitted to call that person in many cases.

As far as exhibits, you will be barred from introducing exhibits that are not in the pretrial order, and lastly, and perhaps in some cases it can be devastating, if you stipulated to a fact and you now get to trial and introduce facts that are contrary to those stipulated facts, you have an instruction from the court to strike the testimony and to advise the jury that they are to disregard any testimony that is inconsistent with those stipulated facts.

Fair?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE LINARES: Fair statement.

MR. DRASCO: The biggest difference in trying cases in federal court as opposed to state court, in civil cases, is the unanimous jury. It's a huge difference.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I made a mistake once that I think -- I still think about. I tried a long case in the Southern District and we were debating how many alternate jurors we would need so we would have enough left to deliberate at the end of the case.

The judge insisted that we have nine jurors at the start. Six weeks later we had nine jurors at the end. I was trying the case for the plaintiff, and of course I had to have a unanimous jury, and it hung six to three in my favor.

It is important when you are selecting your jury and considering how many alternates you need, and they are non-designated alternates in most cases, you need to realize that the burden is heavy on the plaintiff's side and you got to prevail unanimously on each issue.

MR. LACEY: Judge Linares, if you need a minimum of six jurors in a civil case, and you have a lengthy trial scheduled and you pick four alternates, how many are going to participate in deliberations?

JUDGE LINARES: Stanley thinks nine

47

MR. LACEY: Dennis Drasco.

MR. DRASCO: I was wondering when you were going to get to me.

MR. LACEY: I saved the best for last.

The trial has begun. You are defending a corporation in a business lawsuit. How is it that you as a trial lawyer, as all of us aspire to do, how is it that you control the courtroom?

MR. DRASCO: Well, I think the issue of control is an issue of, really, credibility. I think every lawyer aspires to control the courtroom.

At the outset of the case the judge controls the courtroom. It's a matter of give and take between the lawyers and the judge. And if you have a jury, the role of a jury is important.

You can't try to take control right away. The most important thing is to establish credibility, and particularly with the judge, and then that credibility will rub off on the jury.

MR. LACEY: Let's talk about the jury right from the point of jury selection.

First of all, in federal court there is a very, very important distinction in terms of the number of jurors who must pass on a particular side one way or the other. What is that?

should deliberate. All ten.

2 MR. LACEY: All ten will deliberate.

Jeff Moryan, what does that mean to you?

MR. MORYAN: Clearly it decreases your odds, the more people you have to convince of your 6 case.

One of the things that you have to think about at the time of the trial, jury selection, when you are thinking about jury selection, when you file your complaint, you're not thinking about winning or losing your case.

You got to start thinking about trying your case the minute it walks in the door.

MR. LACEY: Linda Wong, how are you going to control the courtroom as counsel for a plaintiff who has been, allegedly, been wrongfully terminated in federal court?

MS. WONG: I don't think of it in terms necessarily of controlling the courtroom, as how am I going to win this case? I want to win this case.

From the opening statement what I like to do is I like to tell the jury, if it's a constitutional case, I want to tell them that this case has to do with constitutional rights, this case has to do with discrimination, this case involves a

13 (Pages 46 to 49)



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

whistle blower. 2 Juries empathize with that. The jurors 3 have taken so much out of their personal time, from 4 work, they might be losing money, they want a sense 5 of purpose. They want to understand, why do I have to take all this time out to sit here two, three 6 7 weeks to listen to this case. 8 We had a six-month trial. We had jurors 9 in a civil rights case who had to take six months out 10 of their lives for the trial. We wanted to give them a sense of purpose for why they were there. Jurors 11 12 relate to that. 13 Another thing that I actually do to control the courtroom or try to win the case is I 14 15

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

will put on all of the defense witnesses in my case, to the extent it makes sense, but I want to tell the story through plaintiff's witnesses and also through the defendant's witnesses as well, and talk about how the defendants have done harm to the plaintiff based upon how I want to examine them with my direct.

MR. LACEY: Jeff Moryan, when you are examining one of your own witnesses, in terms of controlling the courtroom, where do you have a habit of standing while you are examining them?

MR. MORYAN: If I'm allowed, and I do

from the witness during cross-examination. He was standing, towering, I would say, over this poor 3 little fact witness who was about five-foot two 4 sitting in the witness stand. 5

The point is that I tell lawyers, look, you can move around the courtroom.

6

12

23

24

25

3

6

7

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

51

to go.

7 Jeff said, as a good lawyer should, 8 trying to find out what my idiosyncrasies were, he 9 asked my deputy clerk, where does the judge want 10 people to stand? 11

I want to stand in the back of the jury box.

13 I know that that would be okay with him. 14 That's where he used to stand.

15 Generally I give leeway anywhere, except 16 during cross-examination, and even direct 17 examination, I don't want you standing next to the witness, especially on cross. I think it can be 18 19 intimidating, unfair. So I back up the lawyers and I 20 say, look, you may have to approach the witness to 21 show them a document, a picture, whatever it may be, 22 but then back off to the podium or wherever you want

> Absent that, I don't. MR. LACEY: So you did permit some

ask this before the trial starts. I stand directly behind the jury, so when my witness is staring at me, he or she will always look like he or she is looking the jury in the eye. That eye contact with the jurors, or perceived eye contact with the jurors, is very important.

Some judges, especially judges that tried a lot of cases, will often allow you to do that. Some judges will say I'm not letting you go back there.

Some judges will say the only place you can stand is at the podium. I don't like the podium. I think it defiles both the lawyer and the presentation.

If I'm not allowed to stand behind the jury box, then I ask permission to stand at or near counsel table.

MR. LACEY: Would it be fair to say, judges, that all of you allow counsel some leeway in terms of where they can question their witnesses from in your courtroom?

JUDGE LINARES: I do.

There is an exception, as you know. I don't like, and I think that's one of the rules you probably didn't like, but I made Mr. Lacey move back

lawyers to stand there and bend over a little bit, as 2 long as you're asking about a document.

JUDGE LINARES: Yes.

4 JUDGE SHWARTZ: And if you're shorter 5 than five-foot two.

MR. LACEY: It was a very low, friendly tone.

8 JUDGE LINARES: And he had a pointer in 9 his hand, too.

10 MR. LACEY: Judges, when I talk about lawyers controlling the courtroom, all of you were 11 litigators. Do you have a problem with lawyers 12 trying their cases in a particular way before you so 13 long as they don't violate the general rules of 14 15 etiquette?

JUDGE HAYDEN: I'll answer that. I live with a lawyer, and he tries a lot

18 of cases, and for my part, I'm truly in awe of just 19 how terribly difficult trial practice is. 20 One tip that I give everybody, no matter

where you try your cases, state, federal, anywhere there is a trial going on, you have to simplify your own life. And the trial lawyers in this room who come from a kind of simpler generation know that very often they got out of bed and their clothes are laid

14 (Pages 50 to 53)



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

out for them by something called a wife and that's 1 I use it defensively where I know my adversary has how it had to be. 2 some, and I think I will be looked upon as not the For the lawyers seven, ten years out, equal of my adversary if I don't. To keep it simple, 3 the first order of the day is dropping the kids off. 4 sometimes you're better off without it. To try a case in that atmosphere and 5 MR. LACEY: Jeff Moryan, in the new with that kind of pressure is absolutely, literally 6 courthouse in Newark, how important is it to be aware impossible if you really want to try that case the 7 of where you are at all times, and equally important, way you need to. 8 where others are around you? 9 And so I think that between family MR. MORYAN: This goes back to the responsibilities, the inexperience that a lawyer is 10 question of not only controlling the courtroom, but trying his first, second, third, fourth case, and the 11 controlling your own actions. You should always be demands you make on yourself, making demands on those 12 aware of where you are and what you are doing. Do in your life who love you, is critical to being able 13 not -- and this really is going to people who don't to put forth the kind of performance that we are kind 14 try a lot of cases, or haven't tried a lot of cases of throwing out there. 15 yet -- do not underestimate the fact that you are on Linda mentioned a six-month trial and 16 parade, in a sense. The jury is watching you and the impact on the jurors. There was an impact on 17 watching how you react to things. They are watching you. 18 how you present to them. MS. WONG: Yes, for sure. 19 If the jury decides that they don't like JUDGE HAYDEN: There just has to be a 20 Moryan, or they don't like Drasco, or they don't like general acceptance by everyone in your life that this 21 Wong, it is going to be very hard for any of those to 22 is the most important thing going on in the family, win the case. 23 in the world. Understand what you're doing, and what We tell that to the jurors, they are 24 you're doing is being watched. making this happen. I would think that's really the 25 Know where your adversary is. I was in 55 57 most important thing that I would want to put across. the audience of a trial that my partner tried a very If you're able to control your life, it 2 long time ago, and he was summing up and his really helps to control the courtroom a little bit 3 adversary was doing some things at counsel table better. which I felt were crossing the line, that were MR. LACEY: Dennis Drasco. 5 unethical. In terms of use of technology during the 6 My partner didn't see it. Nobody drew course of a trial, what is your position on that? 7 the attention of the judge to it. It had an effect MR. DRASCO: Judge Hayden was on a panel 8 on the jury. They stopped listening to the person on cross-examination in the fall. One of the topics 9 who was standing there and they were watching these was the use of demonstrative evidence during 10 antics at counsel table. cross-examination. 11 There were motions made after trial, but Neal Mullin had that topic. Neal stood 12 since the judge didn't see it, he kind of -- and up, and we all expected he was going to have some 13 there were two affidavits submitted, me and props and some technology. His first comment was, "I 14 plaintiff's counsel in my case, because we were there 15

16

17

18

19

am a big lump of demonstrative evidence."

Sometimes the high tech stuff isn't necessary. Sometimes your best technology and your best props is yourself and the simple things.

I've been on a lot of programs with the use of technology. I'm not convinced it always works. Judges in our district will allow the lawyers to use whatever they want, as long as they have advance notice and the other side has an opportunity to challenge whether the technology is correct.

I use it where I think it is necessary.

waiting for the summation to finish. I thought my firm's client and my partner got a raw deal.

I'm not a big believer in stories and all that, but I will tell you one thing about knowing where you are and potentially making a mistake.

20 In the trial that we had in November and 21 December, we had a viewing. We viewed the automobile

22 that was involved in the accident. It was outside

23 the courthouse, and everybody went down, three

24 lawyers from the defense, the lawyers on the

25 plaintiff's side, the jurors, court clerks, deputy

15 (Pages 54 to 57)



3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clerks, the officers in the courtroom, the judge, paralegals, everybody. There was a lot of people down there.

We were down there for a while. It had been tow trucked in by a tow truck. Judge Linares dismissed the jury and kept counsel and the parties around

When the jury was out of earshot, the judge and counsel discussed a couple of issues and then we were breaking up.

I turned to the tow truck operator, whose name happened to be Jose, and said, "Okay, Jose, you can go now."

Needless to say, defense counsel turned around, as did the judge, with whiplash like necks, and the paralegal said, "No, his name is Jose, too."

Defense counsel realized I wasn't fraternizing with the judge, and they left.

As we were going into the courthouse, I heard," Mr. Moryan, you came very close to being thrown in jail."

You need to know where you are and what you are doing at all times.

MR. LACEY: Judges, let me deal with an issue that is close to everyone in the room and is

might occur, not all that different from being a school teacher, which I spent five years doing before I became a lawyer. Kids will test a teacher.

Lawyers, to a certain degree, test the judge. If they are familiar with the judge, they don't bother testing the judge anymore.

Just the overall demeanor and the way in which the court approaches the attorneys and the trial conveys a message about what will be accepted, what won't be accepted, what will work, what won't work, and generally it worked for me.

MR. LACEY: Judge Shwartz, how do you handle that issue? Very often you see it in terms of discovery disputes, but even leading up to the trial, that will lay the groundwork for what happens at that trial.

JUDGE SHWARTZ: Usually a picky little, whoa, whoa, whoa, we are not going there. That usually gets us right back on track.

Sometimes it will be a candid delivery if you want the court to help you, and help you expeditiously, tell us what the issue is. Remember, the purpose of the case is to help the client and we can come back to what we are here for.

MR. LACEY: Judge Linares, how do you

especially important before every court in our district, but especially in your courts.

Many of us are involved in extraordinarily important matters for our firm, for our clients, and very often with very high stakes. Some matter of contentiousness may develop between counsel on opposite sides. That can spill over, obviously, into the trial. How is it that each of you will handle the issue of civility among and between counsel in your courts?

Judge Hayden?

JUDGE HAYDEN: Candy, food. We have a candy jar in the corner where the sidebar takes place.

Just generally, sort of talk about like homey issues, and often we take a break and take the temperature down. That's how I get by.

MR. LACEY: Judge Chesler?

JUDGE CHESLER: I don't know that I have any particular techniques.

What I discovered is that by and large attorneys have a very good sense of what judges they are in front of and very quickly get a feel for the people of the court.

There may be a bit of testing which

1 deal with the issue of contentiousness between2 counsel at trial?

JUDGE LINARES: I take a very active role in that, as you know. Part of what you should do, if you practice in the District Court, find out the idiosyncrasies of your own judges. Go ahead of time and call and find out what his or her needs or desires are ahead of time.

With regard to this issue, part of what I do in preparation for trial is that I do have a pretrial conference on a day that we are not picking a jury, on the day -- before the trial begins, I hear motions in limine, I go over the voir dire, and I give very strict instructions to the lawyers at that time about how I expect them to behave.

Bickering between the lawyers and acting unprofessionally in the courtroom will not be permitted, or in my presence.

I tell them about things that are important with regard to those issues, such as speaking objections that will not be tolerated. If I make a ruling, I'm not going to change my mind, you know, for you to keep talking, and how they are to respond to the court. All that I set forth at the

25 beginning.

16 (Pages 58 to 61)



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

I tell them that I'm going to take very seriously if any of those rules are violated. I never have a problem. Personally, I love lawyers. I think it's a great profession. The lawyers that appear before me have always been wonderful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm sure the day will come. I will be challenged on that by some lawyers in front of me, but so far, having that little talk has worked great.

MR. LACEY: I'm a witness to it. I saw ten years of litigation ending with a six-week trial. You gave that admonition to counsel before the trial started, and I don't believe that there was a single issue ever raised during the course of the trial.

JUDGE LINARES: Before the trial began there was a lot of problems between the attorneys. You could see it through the discovery and how it was working out. You could see the motions. I mean, there were motions about don't let the other lawyers act unethically. What kind of motion is that?

MR. MORYAN: I thought it was a particularly good one.

JUDGE LINARES: Motion in limine to bar the other lawyers from doing speaking objections.

You can just tell from the nature of the motions in limine and the way counsel were addressing concluding our service as jurors. MR. LACEY: Judge Chesler?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

25

2

3

5

6

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE CHESLER: There are any number of pet peeves, but the most important thing that hits me is this. Following up on what Judge Shwartz said, the jury's time is valuable. Court time is valuable.

One of the most frustrating things in the world is to have a judge and a jury called to start a trial at 9:30 in the morning. The court goes on the bench at 9:25, and just before you bring the jury in one of the attorneys says, your Honor, I just have to raise this legal issue with you before we bring the jury in.

14 It happens to be a not insubstantial 15 issue which was totally foreseeable, which should have been in the trial brief, which should have been 16 raised the night before because the parties knew 17 18 about it, and now we have to spend half an hour or 19 perhaps more resolving this issue, perhaps having the court review cases which are brought to its attention 20 for the first time with the jury cooling its heels in 21 the jury room because this matter has been brought 22 23 before the court without any forethought whatsoever. 24

I can tell you if you want to think of one single way to tick off a judge, that is one of

each other that there was a potential problem.

I made my speech. I was very stern about it. It's something that I do take very seriously. The lawyers were great, both sides. I thought they treated each other as professionals, the way they should.

MR. LACEY: The jury ended up reaching the correct verdict.

We are short on time.

JUDGE LINARES: The appeal is up, so you're right.

MR. LACEY: I want to ask each of the panelists here if you have a single do or single don't for trial lawyers in our district, but especially in your courts, for litigators, what is it?

Magistrate Judge Shwartz?

JUDGE SHWARTZ: Hard to pick one. Be prepared and remember you're talking to the jury and trying to get the jury persuaded. The judges are all concerned, both from practicing my position now, about using the jurors time efficiently.

I was a juror once, and what I wanted to hear was the evidence. The theatrics, while entertaining for a moment, did not facilitate

63 1 the best ways to do it.

MR. LACEY: Judge Linares?

JUDGE LINARES: I agree.

4 Do's and don'ts, right?

In terms of what you should do, get to

know your judge.

as a trial lawyer.

7 Listening to Katherine before about the 8 aggravating part of litigation, I remember when I was 9 a litigator, the stress that I had with regard to the trial. A lot of the stress had nothing to do with 10 how I was going to try the case, but little things, 11 12 like how am I going to drop the kids off and get to court on time, what about my expert, if we don't get 13 to him by Wednesday I'm going to lose him by 14 15 Thursday. These are the things that drive you crazy

In federal court you can eliminate a lot 18 of that by going to the judge ahead of time. I can tell you right now, I promise you, you come to me and say, judge, next Wednesday I'm going to lose my expert and I need to get him on the stand Tuesday, and he's coming from Germany, whatever, New'York, whatever, I'm going to get your expert on that stand

and that amount of stress is gone.

If you're going to have a problem

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

65



delivering your kids to school and you need to start at 9:30 instead of nine, I'm going to work with you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I can't speak for all my colleagues, everybody is going to be different, but you can eliminate a lot of stress by doing that. The things that are going to be stressors, you can raise them before the trial. The expert is going to change his testimony, that's part of the stress of the trial, but you can eliminate the other stuff.

With regard to technology, please go to the courtroom ahead of time and check that the courtroom is amenable for the use of the technology you want to use.

In my courtroom there is a skylight. The lawyers want to use the big screen to show stuff. Guess what? You can do it, the jury is not going to see it. It's amazing how many lawyers never check it out. They come in with all this fancy equipment, they put the stuff up there and no one can see it.

If you're going to use technology, have the paperwork as a backup. Have your slides as a backup, your pictures as a backup, because technology can and often does fail and you don't want to now be fumbling through 15 boxes of papers trying to find your exhibit.

A hint about that. Don't go to use technology without being prepared and looking at the courtroom ahead of time. Those are my two biggest, there is a laundry list.

MR. LACEY: Judge Hayden.

JUDGE HAYDEN: Generally admit to yourself how difficult it's going to be, how scared you are, find a mentor, be a mentor, know your judge and know your judge's staff's names and pray a lot.

MR. LACEY: Jeff Moryan.

MR. MORYAN: I echo everything that has been said up to now.

A couple of my do's and don'ts.

Trying a case is kind of like buying real estate. The rule in real estate is location, location, location. The word in trial work is preparation, preparation, preparation. If you prepare well enough, you will not be surprised. If you prepare well enough, you will probably out prepare your adversary and out try your adversary.

Be yourself at all times. If you are not, the jury senses that.

No matter how complex your case is, get it down to one or two themes. If you do more than one or two themes, you will lose track and the jury

will lose track of what truly is behind your case. Be succinct as possible, in openings, closing and presentation of witnesses.

4 In federal court, if you can do it, no podiums, no notes. If you have pockets, take 6 anything out of the pockets. No pink shirts. Do not use anything that you cannot control. If there is an outcome that you can't control, whether it's technology or an exhibit or something, trust me, it

10 will go wrong and it will blow up in your face. 11 The last thing for defendants, when 12 picking a jury, no postal workers, no exceptions.

MR. LACEY: Dennis Drasco.

MR. DRASCO: How do you follow that?

15 If I can give one tip, having tried 16 cases in the federal court in this district and in 17 New York, the most important thing is prepare your 18 pretrial order as if you were going to try the case 19 next week.

It is hard to do, but I think it is very easy to have an associate work on the pretrial order, put everything including the kitchen sink in, and perhaps forget some things.

The worst thing that can happen is if you read the pretrial order the week before trial and

67

2

3

5

7

8

9

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

say, oh my God, I forgot to do this, I excluded this, I didn't object to this. It is really the roadmap to trying a case in the federal court.

With few exceptions, as Judge Linares said, you are going to be bound by what's in the pretrial order.

You know your case is going to go to trial -- not a lot of cases go to trial. If you know you have a case that will go to trial, roll your sleeves up at the time of the pretrial order and do it yourself, and don't wait until two weeks before like you would if you were trying a case like you would in state court.

MR. LACEY: Linda Wong.

MS. WONG: It is important to absolutely prepare every single document. You have to prepare your witnesses.

I don't know if you saw that movie, "A Civil Action" with John Travolta. Very often we are going out and talking to witnesses and speaking to them until three o'clock in the morning.

You have to know your case. Be honest and be respectful to the jury, be respectful to your adversary. Don't worry about the single juror who might be sleeping in the back. Every trial we have,

18 (Pages 66 to 69)



two weeks, three weeks, the six-month trial, there is always somebody sleeping in the back. Don't lose your train of thought. Be very clear about what you want to accomplish.

MR. LACEY: In sum, what I hear from everyone, in terms of these courts, A, our judges are human. B, if you're straight with them, they will be straight with you, and lastly, you are to prepare, scout out the courtroom, make sure you are ready for trial, and these are the best courts that most of us in this room have ever seen anywhere in this country. It is because of these judges and our chief and the judges in this district who have been doing this for so, so long, and that's why we are one of the oldest and most distinguished districts in this nation.

Thank you all very much.

(Applause.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HAYDEN: I would like to thank John and our panelists for the superb panel, particularly for the practical suggestions that we can give to our lawyers by the panelists.

One of the things that the officers and the board of trustees wanted to do this year was to have our programs be teaching programs to elevate the litigation in the federal court. We know how

difficult it is for younger lawyers to get the trial experience that some of us got easier 25 or 30 years ago. We wanted all of our programs to make our best efforts to be teaching programs, and certainly the tips and the practical suggestions I think went a long way to whether they are young litigators or veteran litigators.

Along that line, through the help of Stan Rizman and Howard Rappaport, this program and the next program is being filmed. It will be available to anyone who wants it on a DVD through our Association.

We had two of the best cross-examination seminars in the fall with some of the finest cross-examiners in the country. These seminars were taped. They are available on DVD, and if people want to work with their skills, you can get them at basically cost through our Association.

We are now going to take a 15-minute break. 15 minutes will mean 15 minutes. And we are going to have another superb seminar as soon as we come back. Thank you everybody.

(Recess.)

MR HAYDEN: Our next panel deals with corporate investigations and deferred prosecution agreements

and some of the collateral civil and criminal consequences that flow there from.

Paul Fishman is going to be our moderator.

Paul clerked for Judge Becker on the Third Circuit.

7 He was in the United'States Attorney's 8 office for many years. He was the First Assistant. I tried a very difficult RICO case against him, and 10 he's one of the toughest prosecutors I ever faced in 11 a lengthy trial.

He is now in private practice where he's doing complex civil litigation and white collar work.

Paul, thank you for your time. I turn the program over to you.

MR. FISHMAN: Thanks, Joe.

(Applause.)

2

3

4

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

23

24

25

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

71

18 Last year we had two panels, Mike Chagaris moderated one, I moderated the other. This 19 20 year Mike is nominated to the Third Circuit and I'm moderating the panel. 22

John Lacey talked about how his panel had style, grace and even tempered. I cannot do that with anybody on this panel except for Chris, who definitely has style.

MR. TIMPONE: And indictment power. 1 2 MR. FISHMAN: And indictment power 3 overall of our clients.

4 To my left is the honorable Chris 5 Christie, who, as you all know, is the U.S. Attorney for the District of New Jersey, and has brought a 6 slew of cases that made the topic, Bristol-Myers 7 8 Squib, and to his left is Walter Timpone, formerly 9 Chief of the Special Prosecutors unit in the U.S. Attorney's office, and now a partner at McElroy 10 Deutsch Mulvaney and Carpenter. 11

To Wally's left is the honorable Alfred J.Lechner, who is a grand poobah of litigation now at Tyco and used to be a United'States District Court judge in this district.

To his left is Carl Poplar, who has been in private practice for at least the last three or four years, and is viewed by many of us to be the dean of the bar of white collar work, at least in the "Southern Distric" of New Jersey, if not the entire state.

To his left is Cathy Fleming, also former Chief of Special Prosecutions in the U.S. Attorney's office, and now a partner at Edwards and Angell.

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

73



What we thought we would do this morning is start with a hypothetical and use that as a device to talk about some of the topics that we think are hot and important in the prosecution and defense of corporations these days, including the much talked about deferred prosecution agreements.

Let's start with the following hypothetical.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Judge Lechner, you are the general counsel for Auto Parts, Inc. You were hired by the company's very impressive CEO, Joe Jeffrey. It is Monday morning. You've been at work for three weeks. Because it's you, you have been there since 5:45 in the morning.

As you are enjoying your first cup of coffee, Sally Smith, the company's also very new CFO, comes into your office, looks terrible, looks like she hasn't slept, and she says to you, "I think we have a problem."

She tells you that she has been visited by two government agents, one from the FBI and one from the IRS. They served her with a subpoena and told her that there are allegations swirling around about the company's having inflated its revenues and manipulated its stock price.

She tells you when she got the subpoena she went to the CEO, told him about the subpoena, he would deal with it.

Nothing has been done. The return date is in a week. Nothing has been done. What do you

JUDGE LECHNER: I think I might call the headhunter who called me and placed me there. If that doesn't work out, I might go to that truck driving school or look into that.

At that point, knowing the CEO has had it for a week or two, that the return date is two days away, that somebody from the IRS and DOJ have been there, you know there are some problems.

I think that I would try to get the point across when I go see the CEO that we have to talk about it, we have to find out what's going on to get to the bottom of it.

I would not visit him unless I had one of my other 300 staff attorneys with me.

> MR. FISHMAN: Why is that? JUDGE LECHNER: I want somebody there

when I'm talking to him so it's not he said, she said when something blows up at a later point.

To have a subpoena sitting with the CEO

like this and not have GC know about it, I just think that's problematic.

3 I would tell him that we have to think 4 about this.

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

CEO?

5 A number of things are running through my head. We have to talk to the chairman of the 6 7 board, if not the entire board. We have to get to 8 the audit committee on this. You have to consider 9 outside counsel.

Since we were served with a subpoena, we have to start talking as to whether -- whether is not the word -- when we need to make a disclosure, a filing with the SEC on this, whether we can wait a while, or whether it has to be done more promptly than that.

I would seek outside counsel's help on that pretty promptly.

18 I would go through all this. I would 19 make some calls to get outside counsel coming in.

20 Another thing I would do when I go back 21 to my office, I would start preparing a privilege log 22 for myself as to listing with whom I spoke, when I 23 spoke, what was said and what the plans were.

24 The client in this situation is not the CEO, and I think knowing the background of this guy, 25

75 it's going to be tough for him to understand that. 1 MR. FISHMAN: Are you talking about the 2

JUDGE LECHNER: Exactly.

You have somebody coming who is real high profile like that, the new GC is there for almost two weeks, that subpoena has been sitting there two weeks and it's due in eight, ten days, and doesn't know about it, doesn't bode well when you have your CFO coming and telling you there is a 10 problem.

She's been interviewed by these two government agents. You know that there are problems there. I think you need to start planning on what the outside problem is going to be and start thinking about how you're going to run an internal investigation, who you're going to talk to, when all this is going to happen, coordinating with the board. MR. FISHMAN: When you coordinate with

19 20 the board, what are you going to tell the board to 21 do? You are the general counsel. They are going to 22 look to you for advice.

JUDGE LECHNER: I'll tell them I don't know all that much about it. We have had this now for a week and a half, two weeks. It has been

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

77



residing with the CEO. Although the CFO has been questioned by both the IRS and the Department of Justice, that she was told that the new chief executive officer was going to move on it and take care of it and it hasn't done it. It has been sitting there.

So I think those facts by themselves suggest a problematic situation.

The board, at least the chairman of the board, has to know about it, and I think the audit committee has to be brought into the loop at that time as well.

MR. FISHMAN: I'm going to add one other fact to the hypothetical, which is that you checked the logs of the company's hot line, because assume it's a big company, they have a hot line. Tyco has it, right?

JUDGE LECHNER: They do.

MR. FISHMAN: Can you tell everybody what kind of hot line you got and how it works? Not your personal one.

JUDGE LECHNER: Hypothetically these hot lines are for situations where anonymous calls can be put in for violations of the Foreign Corrupt Act, sexual harassment cases, cooking the books, or deleted, which will be a big problem depending on how far the financial records are spread throughout the corporation.

4 MR. FISHMAN: When do you send the memo 5 that says, keep everything, don't destroy the backup 6 tapes? How soon after you get the subpoena do you do 7 that?

JUDGE LECHNER: My initial reaction is going to be to the CEO, tell him in person, orally, he can't destroy anything, he can't touch anything, he can't change anything.

9 it.

Based upon that phone call and what I know, I think I would send that memo pretty promptly, probably that very morning.

15 I would like to talk with outside
16 counsel on this to coordinate, another entry in my
17 personal log that I would be making, and it would
18 take a little while to craft that litigation hold,
19 not just a generic term.

Once you got that notice or subpoena
from the SEC, you can't take a chance. There has to
be a litigation hold that goes into place
immediately.

Somebody else starts seeing it and they start wondering, can I get in front of this before I

something out of whack along those lines. That's what the hot line is used for.

There is usually an ombudsman. That ombudsman will report to the audit committee with regard to the type of complaint that would come in or tip that would come in.

MR. FISHMAN: Let's add to the hypothetical that you find out that morning there has been a call to the hot line, and the person that called the hot line claims that the CEO and vice president of sales had been cooking the books with hundreds of millions of dollars in phony sales of the company's products, throw that into the mix.

Does that change your analysis here?

JUDGE LECHNER: Now I'm definitely going to the head hunters.

Yeah, that is problematic, because now it's starting to fall together. Now you're getting a lot of circumstantial evidence here. The CEO is not responding the way you expect that to be done. If not before that, at least definitely after that.

Now I'm thinking on a litigation hold. I'm worried about how I'm going to maintain the computers, the financial records, how I'm going to prevent any of the stuff from being changed or get official notice of this litigation hold? Is
 there something that I can do, somebody who might be
 part of this to change or alter the books, change or
 alter computer entries? You got to balance all that.

MR. FISHMAN: Let's talk about the decision to hire outside counsel. Do you make that on your own, or is it a board decision?

JUDGE LECHNER: At this point I would do

MR. FISHMAN: Let's assume for the moment that you hire Cathy and that she has not had a previous relationship with your company.

What do you tell her when you call?

JUDGE LECHNER: I tell her what we are looking at. I tell her another thing, she hasn't had a previous relationship, and for the foreseeable future she'll not have another one other than this one assignment. I want her focused on this, not to curry favor with anybody inside, and when we hire another attorney, which we probably would, to actually run the investigation, that he would be walled off more with regard to future work.

I would want two independent lawyers looking at this, independent with regard to any interest in the financial position of the corporation

21 (Pages 78 to 81)



vis a vis their firms.

MR. FISHMAN: Cathy, you are now hired as outside counsel, what's your first move?

MS. FLEMING: The first thing that I have to do is run a conflict check, which a lot of people don't realize you have to do it instantly. The first meeting with Judge Lechner is, who is my client? Am I going to be representing the board of directors, the audit committee or the corporation itself?

By far the client in this situation is the corporation itself because you get the document production, and that's lots of associate hours.

Plus you are in the position of, when you're recommending other counsel, for example, the CEO, now you're going to have lots of lawyers, full service employment for lawyers, and the people you bring in, they will hopefully think of you when the reverse situation comes up.

So I'm happy that Judge Lechner called me to represent the corporation. But the first thing we do is establish that is in fact who my client is.

Having said that, somebody who brings you in as general counsel, particularly someone who arrives on the scene with only two weeks of gather the documents. It is not as easy a call since there has been a lag time.

You have to discuss why the subpoena has been sitting there while you are all preserving the documents. There will be a document preservation notice of documents that have gone out anyway.

You talk about the document production, how it will be done to make sure that you made sure you covered the waterfront, you get all the responsive documents, assuming you can narrow it down, how you're going to do the document production, how you're going to log them in, how you're going to make sure that you have no custody issues, because you want to make sure that the company is not subject to what we all find, which is prosecutors thinking obstruction is going on because the document production has not been perfectly done. So you want to put that in place and make sure that goes on.

Then we talked about what we need to do with the different personalities and who will have what counsel.

22 MR. FISHMAN: Do you call the 23 government?

MS. FLEMING: After you have the basics in place and you have the subpoena, yes, you can call

experience, one of the things I'm going to do is I am going to be mindful to make sure I can protect him to the extent that I can do this in the corporation's interest. I need to protect the corporation to the fullest extent possible.

So in our first conversation we talked about the events that happened so far. We talk about what other counsel will be needed. I suspect that in this initial conversation, given the circumstances that have come up, one of the conversations we are going to have is, does the CEO need independent counsel, and you have to determine whether he's going to pay for his independent counsel, and who are we going to bring in? Will it be someone we are going to work with.

If you are in a place with wonderful Delaware laws, for example, which require corporations to indemnify and pay for their officers, you bring that into mind, but you start to decide how that is going to play out.

First and foremost, you have to respond to the call for documents. There is already a lag time.

Had the subpoena come in, and had the preservation notice gone out immediately, you have to

83 1 the government.

You call the government to introduce yourself, to let them know the corporation is represented by counsel, that you represent all of the current and former employees, so that any contact with people you want to come through you as counsel for the corporation and its current and former employees.

You indicate that you would like to hear from the government, what it is that they are looking for. You indicate that you just got involved, that you need some time, you would like to narrow the scope of the subpoena, you would like to talk about rolling production. You, as a lawyer, need to have some capability of being flexible. When you gather the documents, do it appropriately. And you try to find out what is involved. You try to find out whether the corporation is the subject or a target or whether they have some evidence.

MR. FISHMAN: Before we ask Chris what he would say in response to those questions, let's throw a couple more facts in.

What you don't know, what the company doesn't know, is that the former CFO has been cooperating with the government for a couple of

22 (Pages 82 to 85)



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

months. What the former CFO has told the government is that there are two different schemes afoot here.

One is that this company sells parts, among other things, to the military. The CEO has set up another company, unknown to anyone at Auto Parts Inc., and that company buys parts, component parts, on the open market, and then marks them up by 20 percent and sells them to this company which then in turn sells them to the government.

The CEO is effectively taking a 20 percent markup and the government is paying 20 percent more than it should.

The other thing, the CEO of the second company, that's a false distribution company to which the company is making a substantial number of sales that effectively jack up the company's sales revenue and make the company's profits look way better than they are.

Chris knows those facts, you don't.

Chris, when Cathy comes in to talk about narrowing the scope of the subpoena, it was sitting on somebody's desk and nobody paid attention to it, she wants some good faith here, what's your reaction?

MR. CHRISTIE: We are known in the U.S. Attorney's office for our kindness and consideration.

more than that. She'll want to know more than that.

I won't be in a position to want to share it. I want to see what they are going to have to say. She will have to come forward with some good faith to us in terms of what kind of production. Will there be a report generated from that internal investigation?

If there is, is that report going to be shared with the government or is it not going to be shared with

the government?

There will be a lot of opportunities for her to show what kind of good faith her client has in terms of cooperating with the government to try to get to the bottom of what is going on, or whether they are going to take it in an adversarial tact from a corporate perspective. As they would say in "The Godfather," go to the mattresses.

My level of information sharing is going to feed directly off of the level and measure of good faith and cooperation that she and her client are going to show towards the office.

MR. FISHMAN: Do you know enough yet to know whether the company is the target of the investigation, whether you are planning on indicting the company?

MR. CHRISTIE: I don't think we know

So first --

JUDGE LECHNER: No, be serious. MR. TIMPONE: What U.S. Attorney's office is that?

MR. CHRISTIE: You still have cases in front of us, don't you?

MR. TIMPONE: I do. Withdrawn.

MR. CHRISTIE: First off, as to the issue of time, we'll definitely be willing to give her some more time to get her arms around what's going on. We'll want to agree with her that -- on a date for production, I'm not going to be inclined in the beginning to agree to some type of rolling production. I want her to give me some final dates and I'll give her time to put it together.

She'll explain to me this has been sitting around for a while. I'll tell her how unhappy I am about that. She has to get things under control at that place if she's going to be the person who will be representing everyone.

As to narrowing the scope of the subpoena, I'll tell you that it is pretty unlikely, given what I know about the scenario that you just laid out.

I won't probably tell her a whole lot

1 enough -- from what you said, I don't think we know
2 enough to know whether the company is a target. We
3 can say it's a subject.

MR. FISHMAN: The distinction you draw is how?

MR. CHRISTIE: I won't say someone is a target until I'm quite sure that we have enough information to present to a grand jury to ask them to return an indictment.

The way I view it, and I think the way the Justice Department views it, is an entity or a person who is in the orbit of the investigation, but we know is involved somehow, but don't know at this point whether or not that person or entity will be subject to criminal charges at some time in the future or not.

From what you have laid out we are looking pretty good, but I'm not yet to the point where I'm going to be saying to Cathy that her client is a target.

I will suggest to her probably gently that her idea of representing everyone is probably not the greatest idea in the world, and that some of the senior executives might want to consider getting individual counsel.

23 (Pages 86 to 89)



Beyond that, that's probably about all the information I would be willing to share at that point.

MR. FISHMAN: Do you suggest to her the company should do an internal investigation?

MR. CHRISTIE: I don't know that I suggest it. I want to see what their attitude is.

It will tell me something if she says we've hired outside counsel to do an internal investigation. We are going to prepare a report. When that report is done, to the extent that we can share it with you, we will.

If that's her position, then I'm going to have one attitude toward the company. If her position is any gradation away from that, the further she gets away from it, the further good faith we'll take the position they are showing.

MR. FISHMAN: Cathy, do you do an internal investigation?

MS. FLEMING: First of all, I'm not sure in the initial meeting I would walk in and give up the subpoena that has been sitting around.

MR. TIMPONE: I agree.

MS. FLEMING: I don't know enough yet to where to start pointing fingers. That would be

not great, but it's too early for me to commit to do that.

MR. FISHMAN: Chris, do you need her to commit to it now, or do you want to find out in the end that she did?

MR. CHRISTIE: No, I won't make a decision that they are acting in good faith at that point, but I certainly will get indications.

Since Cathy raises the home court issue, if it's somebody we know and have respect for, they will give us some inkling as to what their attitude is towards this.

A lot of this is touch and feel type of stuff. Especially when you're dealing with lawyers that you know and that you have worked with a lot, you will be able to tell significantly when they come in what attitude they are bringing in with them.

It is much too early from a Thompson memo perspective to decide anything about good faith as it will ultimately impact upon cooperation and determination of how you deal with the corporate entity as a whole down the road. There certainly will be flags that will be raised that we are going to be watching for.

The other part of this is that it

irresponsible until I have my arms around what actually has happened.

I'm not in the government anymore. I don't have to believe everything that's told to me.

A large part of this, unfortunately -- I go around the country, when you are in a home court such as New`Jersey, there is a lot of history of credibility. I would like to think when I come into the U.S. Attorney's office I have the credibility that they know it's going to be done appropriately and thoroughly, and I'm going to at least try to react appropriately.

The initial conversation is too early to say to Chris, as I'm trying to find out as many facts as I can, and the most useful one he gave me is some of your senior executives need independent counsel. I know what that means.

But I'm going to say to him, I'm going to get into this as quickly as possible. I have my arms around it I will come back to you. I understand what you and the Larry Tompkins memo say I should do, but I think it's too early to commit to giving a report. I think it's too early to do all that.

If that means that they are going to think I'm not acting in good faith, I think that's

91 1 probably is a little bit unrealistic about this

2 hypothetical is Cathy is probably not having her
3 first meeting with me. Her first meeting is going to

be with the line assistants and maybe a supervisor in that area who has been working on the case.

They will meet with me beforehand and we'll talk about what our game plan is in terms of how to approach the meeting.

What I have told you is basically what my instructions will be to my line assistants or to my supervisors who will be actually conducting the meeting.

You are not going -- we are not going to want from the officer's perspective my personal involvement too early in one of these situations. That's something that needs to wait until things are much greater developed.

The other thing that everybody should know is that initial meeting, probably 99 percent of the time, is not going to be with me. It will be with some of the line assistants who have the greatest knowledge of the case. Those are the instructions they are going to walk into the meeting with.

MR. FISHMAN: Judge Lechner, are you

24 (Pages 90 to 93)



going to do an internal investigation here, not you personally, but are you going to commission one?

JUDGE LECHNER: Absolutely.

This first meeting, maybe two or three discrete purposes. Number one, to let the U.S. Attorney know that we have counsel, let him know that we have the subpoena and we are going to be responsive to it, and open up lines of communication to hopefully get some more time to look at it.

We need to get another attorney in to do the internal investigation. Cathy will represent the company, but not the internal investigation. We are going to have separate counsel doing that.

I think it would be premature to start discussing that, but from an internal corporate point of view, I would be looking at a situation where we would be doing an internal cooperation, to cooperate, not capitulate. Later we can talk about that.

The idea of designing this, where we do produce a report, but two reports, one for the facts that Wally is going to assemble, how he did it, how he assembled the facts, which by definition will not be privileged.

The second report will be his advice to us, which by definition will be privileged.

finding, where it's going, and it's pretty serious. But Cathy could do that.

The reason that you bring an independent firm in to do it is because at some point you may need to say to the U.S. Attorney's office, we have done an independent investigation, we brought in someone who has no connections to the firm, to the company. We have done a top to bottom review and we have some conclusions.

The Thompson memo is always in the back of a corporation's mind now.

MR. FISHMAN: Give us ten seconds on the Thompson memo.

MR. TIMPONE: It is this really interesting onerous and burdensome memo that the Department of Justice has come up with, basically saying if the corporation wants any consideration from the government as to whether the prosecution is going to go forward or how severely the prosecution is going to go forward, there are certain steps that have to be met.

Very often what the government asks for is pretty much complete disclosure of what you have undertaken, what you have found, what steps you're taking to remedy it, and in some circumstances -- and

If I try to put them in one report, or I try to waive the factual portion of that, I'm going to waive the whole report. I know Chris doesn't need the advice I'm getting. He wants the facts and how I got them.

If I can make that dichotomy, I can protect the advice I get from counsel.

MR. FISHMAN: Wally, you get hired to do the independent --

MR. TIMPONE: About time.

JUDGE LECHNER: He says about time, he has a free fire zone here. It is virtually unreviewable what he's going to do and how much money he will spend.

MR. FISHMAN: Wally, you get hired to do the internal investigation. What is your mission?

MR. TIMPONE: The reason I'm being brought in, if the company wasn't really concerned that there was a problem here, I don't think they would need me. What they are looking for in this case is an independent body to come in and do an investigation to find out what went on. That has multiple layers.

One is you want to be able to go back to general counsel and say, look, this is what we are

I mean this, I don't see it a lot in New' Jersey, but
we do see it sometimes -- a demand that the
attorney-client privilege be waived.

You really need to be cognizant of the fact that some of what you are writing which you think is privileged may no longer be privileged at the end of the day.

From the time that you begin your reports to the company, you have to keep that in mind, because what used to be confidential communication between client and attorney is less than that now. It's pretty significant.

MR. FISHMAN: If you go to the Justice Department's web site, USDOJ.gov, written by then Attorney General Larry Thompson as a list of criteria that U.S. Attorneys and other folks in main justice should consider, whether or not, as Wally said, to bring a prosecution against a corporation and the extent to which that prosecution will be received and how severe the sanctions should be.

When you actually do the investigation, obviously you are going to review all the documents.

23 MR. TIMPONE: First thing. 24 MR. FISHMAN: You're going to try to 25 interview anybody you can.

25 (Pages 94 to 97)



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

MR. TIMPONE: Who knows anything about as from what they would not say. 2 I wouldn't call the U.S. Attorney right the underlying facts --MR. FISHMAN: Are you writing that stuff away, because the subpoena is not to the client, the down? Are you doing an interview memorandum? 4 individual, it's the corporation. I would press my MR. TIMPONE: I have to take notes. If 5 client to find out what the issues were. you expect my memory to last for three weeks, you're 6 Is my client the subject or the target? I would indicate in my own mind that he would in a lot of trouble. There is a down side to that because, 8 probably be at least a subject. 9 again, the attorney-client privilege may get waived I don't differentiate in my mind, in 10 at some point and your notes may become something decision making, between a target and a subject. that will be produced as well. 11 That's too sophisticated for me. I dare anybody to take my notes and make 12 I assume that there is big trouble out there, and I assume that anything that my client any sense out of them at all down the line, but it's 13 14 would say to anybody, counsel Lechner, or the a consideration. 15 investigator counsel Timpone, or to corporate MR. FISHMAN: You're going to turn it 16 criminal counsel Fleming, is potentially a problem, into a formal interview memo? 17 potentially inconsistent. MR. TIMPONE: I think you are. MR. FISHMAN: Carl, you've been hired by 18 So my client would say nothing. That's 19 the first step that I would do. Maybe I'm a little the CEO to represent him in connection with this investigation. He's come to you and he told you 20 verbose. 21 about the subpoena, and he may or may not have told MR. FISHMAN: Are you going to give him 22 you about his role in the scheme that is being a copy of the subpoena? 23 JUDGE LECHNER: I would listen to him, investigated. 24 and depending on where we are going, I would respond. Once you've been retained, who do you 25 I would obviously bring Cathy into call first? 101 99 MR. POPLAR: I would call the general the loop on this. If Wally were on board, at that time I would bring him into the loop on this to make 2 counsel first. 3 sure he knows what's going on. What I would want to do is find out as Unless there was a reason otherwise, I much information as I could, knowing full well that 4 5 would certainly give him a copy of the subpoena the client sometimes doesn't give full disclosure because he knew what documents were there. He may during the first interview. I would like to know have some documents at home that we have to collect. 7 what the subpoena said. I would like to get my hands 8 That would be important for him to know that. 9 It's probably going to become I would know by looking at an omnibus 10 adversarial. If I find out he refuses to cooperate, subpoena, a broad sweeping subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's office on a multi-national corporation 11 he will probably be suspended, if not fired. 12 MR. FISHMAN: Carl, how are you getting that this is a serious matter. 13 paid? I would know because this company would 14 MR. POPLAR: I would initially seek to have an adversary called the government, there is 15 get paid from the company, and if the company would somebody out there from the inside, or formerly from the inside, or a customer that is saying a lot of be guarded, reluctant or hesitant to try to pay me, I 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

negative things about the company.

I know that before this broad subpoena was issued, the government's agents would have spent a lot of time investigating. They don't commit themselves to a huge amount of document review time without having some basis. So I knew then this was a

I would call the corporate counsel and I would find out as much from what he or she would say would be reinforced in my concern that there are problems out there.

If they are unhesitant to pay, I would be less concerned.

I would ask to be indemnified or paid. The likelihood in this case with the hot line call, the omnibus subpoena, I would get a we'll have to wait and see kind of answer as opposed to anything else.

26 (Pages 98 to 101)



2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

And how would I get paid? I would make my client go to his piggy bank and pay me personally. You're lucky you got the CEO, reasonably well paid. What do you do when it's somebody who may not have access to those kind of funds? 6 Rich Coughlin is here, isn't he? MS. FLEMING: One of the things you're going to look at is, you're going to see whether the corporation, number one, if it's a Delaware 10 11 corporation -- I wasn't being flip about that, there are some wonderful statutes in Delaware that provide 12 that companies in the ordinary course advance 13 attorney's fees and indemnification until somebody is 14 adjudicated or pleads guilty. 15 You are going to look to insurance. One 16 of the things you're going to talk about is, is there 17 18 a lovely AIG insurance policy that takes care of officers and directors? Hopefully you're on the 19 panel. If you're Carl, you're coming in, they will 20 21 advance the costs and you're going to get paid in 22 that way. 23 If I was in Carl's shoes, what I'm going to do is I'm going to try to help counsel. It helps 24 25 the officers and directors, even if we have to throw 1

2

3

4 5

7

8

9

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the company for company counsel to be in on behalf of

as a member of the board to make these decisions, not general counsel. So I think that there is not enough 3 involvement right now with the board.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 JUDGE LECHNER: My friend here missed my 5 earlier comment saying that one of the first things I 6 would do is go to the chairman of the board and the 7 audit committee so they would be in the loop with 8 regard to what's going on here.

It's my decision as to who to hire, but I certainly would be in lock step with the board on

MR. FISHMAN: Are you letting them go to report to the committee?

JUDGE LECHNER: Cathy would be reporting to me. When Wally comes on board, he will go to the audit committee and to me.

MR. POPLAR: I'm not real happy with that. At the end of the day the company may be potentially indicted, or it may be the subject of an action, or it may be the subject of a class action, and it will potentially affect the life of the company. That's my call, not the general counsel's call who has been working for me for two weeks.

JUDGE LECHNER: He's right on that. The board has a right to countermand anybody I hire, but

It does help that competent good counsel is involved in the case. It helps everybody. I'm going to do whatever I can consistent with the client's obligations to try to help him get paid.

the person overboard at some point.

MR. FISHMAN: Chris, do you care? MR. CHRISTIE: No, not really.

As a primary matter, no. To the extent that it affects the way people start to conduct themselves, it might matter to me. But as an initial matter, no.

MR. POPLAR: Paul, it seems like there is an awful lot of management going on without the board involvement, if I can change my hat for a second.

MR. FISHMAN: Go right ahead.

MR. POPLAR: I don't know if I was sitting on the board that I would be real happy with general counsel hiring all these lawyers without my ratification or approval and without my input, because this is a big deal.

I, as a member of the board or a committee of the board, or the audit committee of the board, I'm of the view that it is my responsibility

105 it would have to be proactive and go out and bring 2 the board in right away.

There is one other point that Carl forgot to mention. It is not just the indictment which you can probably survive or the class action, it's a debarment proceeding. That's the real problem, the debarment.

Once you have a conviction and there is a debarment, we sell an awful lot to the Defense Department.

What Mr. Christie doesn't understand, we are an essential provider of defense products. We are absolutely crucial to the defense of this country.

With regard to our troops in the field, I'm sure he does not want to put them at risk, if we have developed some new armor.

There have been prosecutions that have put people out of the loop, so to speak, and it has come back to hurt, and that's why some things like deferred prosecutions are being used or other things along those lines. But that is a consideration.

MR. FISHMAN: We'll come back to what Chris' view on that stuff is now that he knows how essential they really are.

27 (Pages 102 to 105)



Let's assume for the moment that, Wally, company does, and we can hand this over. I say, do 2 you want to continue with the interview? 2 you start doing your internal investigation. One of 3 the things that you discover early on is that the two 3 There is a little extra goosing going outside companies, which the CEO may or may not have 4 4 5 a relationship, the deals that were cut by the 5 company were actually reviewed. The contracts were 6 talk to me. 6 reviewed by the general counsel. 7 7 JUDGE LECHNER: Not this general 8 8 9 9 counsel. 10 MR. FISHMAN: By the previous general 10 11 witness with you? 11 counsel. 12 Make a difference how you report here? 12 13 13 MR. TIMPONE: No. 14 14 MR. FISHMAN: What if it was this general 15 counsel? 15 16 MR. TIMPONE: Big difference on that at 16 the get go, and I think I do go to the audit 17 17 committee or go to the board and have a discussion 18 18 19 19 with the board. 20 JUDGE LECHNER: At that point he has to 20 report exclusively to the audit committee. 21 21 MR. FISHMAN: You're doing your internal 22 22 investigation, one of the people you want to talk to 23 23 24 24 is Carl's client. How do you handle that? 25 MR. TIMPONE: I try to talk to him. 25 107 MR. FISHMAN: How do you set it up? 1 2 meeting. 2 MR. TIMPONE: There is an issue as to whether -- with respect to an internal investigation, 3 3 if I'm representing the company, whether he has a 4 4 5 5

right to have counsel at the initial interview, because he's an employee of the company and has an obligation to talk to us. I'm not a prosecutor anymore. I don't have to give this guy his rights.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

I will call him at the office and say, we want to talk to you. We have certain questions.

It is very important, extremely important, that at every interview you indicate to whomever it is that you are speaking to that you represent the corporation, you do not represent the individual.

I've done this before and actually worked from a script, so it is consistent and it's in every interview and it begins every one of my memos.

It says just that, that we don't represent you. We represent the company. The company at some point may decide to waive its privilege and give up all the content of this

Unlike most attorney-client privilege relationships, you do not hold the privilege, the

on -- that's a legal term -- because this person's job is on the line because they have an obligation to You have an obligation to tell them and make it clear that you don't represent them before you begin the discussion. MS. FLEMING: Wally, do you have a

MR. TIMPONE: I never interview alone. That goes back to our days at the U.S. Attorney's office. You don't want to be the witness. If there is ever a dispute between what you said and what the witness said, Mike Devins is in the back of the room, it's Devins who will testify.

MR. FISHMAN: Carl, Wally calls you. You know the CEO is represented by counsel. Can you interview him without counsel in these circumstances in the real world? Would you try?

MR. TIMPONE: Two different questions. The answer to the first question is it's the CEO, a person with some sophistication will say, I'm represented by counsel, I would like counsel

there and I would talk to Carl about setting up a

Would I try? Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FISHMAN: Carl, are you going to let Wally interview your client?

MR. POPLAR: Probably not. Most probably not. Unless after talking to the general counsel and my client it's clear that my client has nothing to do with any wrongdoing.

But if I have a suspicion, even a remote suspicion that my client has something to do with wrongdoing, because, one, he tells me, two, he doesn't tell me it credibly or he doesn't tell me enough, the answer is no.

You have to be concerned about the end game. The end game is that I know outside counsel Fleming is going to recommend that they throw me overboard, and I know I'm going to have to deal with prosecutor Christie and the sentencing guidelines because they are going to be pretty significant and pretty serious.

The end game is a lot of jeopardy. So, no. I know that there is no privilege, no matter what's said, even if there is minced words.

In some of the cases there is no

28 (Pages 106 to 109)



privilege, and I know that there will be a high sources. 2 likelihood that whatever my client says to Wally So, no, I'm not looking to prosecute Timpone, it will go over to the government, and his 3 somebody who lies to Wally. People lie to Wally notes won't be a hundred percent accurate because 4 every day. I'll have time for that. 5 MR. POPLAR: You mentioned that there he's not going to dictate them until three or four days later and he'll modify them a little bit and he 6 was this prosecution --7 MR. FISHMAN: There are now two. will sink me more than they should. 8 MR. POPLAR: It's obstruction of MR. TIMPONE: How did you get my notes? 9 MR. FISHMAN: It's reputational. justice. I would never have thought that. 10 MR. FISHMAN: Computer Associates is one MR. POPLAR: They are not contemporaneous notes. He has six interviews lined 11 from the Eastern District of New York, and there is 12 one that comes out of the Enron investigation in up. He's doing scribbles. 13 which people were prosecuted for lying or giving By the time he sits down with his dictating machine or computer, it's a couple of days 14 false statements to a -- during an internal 15 investigation when they knew or had reason to know later and they are paraphrased. I know they are going over to prosecutor 16 the results of the investigation would be 17 communicated to the government. Christie, and he is a very sophisticated prosecutor. Just something else to be wary of when 18 MR. FISHMAN: If he confesses to Wally, Wally is going to turn that over to Chris. 19 you are in this kind of situation. 20 Cathy, what's your advice? What happens if your guy lies to Wally? 21 MS. FLEMING: That's with Martha Stewart MR. POPLAR: It's gone over as an inconsistent statement or an omission, and that's the 22 too. She told her lawyer things. 23 MR. FISHMAN: What would be your advice? risk. MS. FLEMING: Overboard. Fired. You 24 Based on all the Mirandizing, 25 have to see what he has in terms of the contract. admonitions, we are not saying anything. If we are 113 111 You have to see what policies there are in the going to get jettisoned, that's the way it is. 1 2 company, to see whether there is a policy of You can see the moon shot numbers the administrative leave. You have to determine whether 3 judges are imposing on corporate defendants these 4 the law protects him to some degree. You have to days. It's serious business. You have to think

8

14

15

17

about it.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FISHMAN: Chris, if the person knowingly lies during his investigation, are you going to consider prosecuting those people for obstructing justice as they do in the Eastern District of New York?

MR. CHRISTIE: No, I don't think so. I don't think that would be our approach.

Whatever goes on in the internal investigation as it is going on, I have to suspect we are not going to know about, and we'll find out at some point if the corporation decides to share some portion of it with us.

That will be up in the air, I assume, until they get the results of what they have done.

So, no, I think Carl is right in this sense. If we ever did get our hands on it, those are the two things that we would be looking for, omissions or inconsistent statements, and see how that all plays into the overall factual fabric that will be put together from a whole bunch of different

5 look at those things. You have to talk to the board. 6 You want to throw him overboard, but, 7

again, you want to do it in a way that you're trying to protect the company.

9 So you want to do it with the least amount of harm to the company as possible, because as 10 11 you raised earlier, the specter of when you have to start doing public disclosures if it's a publicly 12 held company. 13

If you're tossing overboard your CEO, if you haven't done it before, that's a good time to start. It may be that you have an obligation earlier. So you have to look at those things. You just can't do it that way.

18 19 MR. TIMPONE: If you have a specter of the Thompson memo over your head, the possibility 20 21 that the government may be bringing a case against 22 your client, the corporation, that could put the corporation out of business. You want to make sure 23 24 that you are taking the steps that not only protect 25 the corporation, but protect your position vis- a- vis

29 (Pages 110 to 113)



the U.S. Attorney's office.

MR. CHRISTIE: If in fact certain senior executives are refusing to cooperate with an internal investigation, we are going to be interested to see how a corporation reacts to that. That will go to one of the core issues in the Thompson memo, is the corporation interested in ferreting out what facts there may be that support wrongdoing and making sure those facts are dealt with.

If in fact their CEO refused to cooperate with their own internal investigation, they say, okay, that's no problem, we'll do it without you, that will give you a good indication of how serious the company was in getting to the bottom of what really happened.

MS. FLEMING: For example, there are collective bargaining agreements that employees of a lot of companies have that protect them, that give them the right not to be fired if they invoke constitutional rights.

There is a case in Massachusetts where the state troopers cannot be fired if they take the Fifth. Even if you legally don't have a right to throw somebody overboard, you may want to talk to them, if they would like to leave the company, we'll

pay you while this is pending, whatever.

MR. TIMPONE: Wouldn't you take the risk of the civil suit that you fire this person ever, he could hurt you?

MS. FLEMING: I would recommend to Judge Lechner, whose ultimate decision in conjunction with the board it is, I would say if he's willing to cooperate, and if he's not willing to cooperate, I would get rid of him.

JUDGE LECHNER: Do we ever find out about the informant at any time in the scenario?

MR. FISHMAN: Why do you care?

JUDGE LECHNER: If I was wondering about the informant, I would like to find a bus schedule and have him under it.

MR. CHRISTIE: That would mean it's less likely we would turn it over.

JUDGE LECHNER: You are going to prevent some part of the investigation from going on.

The line that you have to walk is you're not going to tolerate somebody like a CFO doing that, but if you jettison him right away, forgetting about the civil suit which is small potatoes at this point, how are you going to get what you need to get? Maybe you have to suspend him.

You are going to want to recoup from this guy the money he has cost the corporation. The corporation may owe him a lot with regard to stock, with regard to options, with regard to deferred payment, deferred compensation. All of that is an offset. You want to think how that will all work out.

When you have a CEO, and now it's going
beyond circumstantial evidence, now you're getting
some more hard evidence here. The question is, what
are you going to do?

You have to make sure you cooperate, not just for Chris Christie, you want to cooperate for your shareholders. You have to clean up what's going on. To be able to do that, you have to know what's flying there.

16 It's the idea that you may need to17 facilitate some of the things you want to do.

MR. TIMPONE: Your employment details ought to have a for cause clause in there that covers this situation that allows to you get rid of these people under situations like this.

JUDGE LECHNER: Why would that give you a problem if the CEO were jettisoned?

MR. CHRISTIE: It wouldn't give me a problem if they were jettisoned.

If Wally goes to Carl and says, I want to interview the CEO for our internal investigation, and Carl says, we are not talking, we are not going to cooperate with the internal investigation and the company then refuses to jettison --

JUDGE LECHNER: I misunderstood your comment.

8 MR. CHRISTIE: No, no. If you didn't, 9 that would indicate to me that there is a corporate 10 attitude that is not vigorous about going after 11 getting to the bottom of this and instead is trying 12 to play it halfway.

JUDGE LECHNER: Internally it would be a problem if it were to breach its fiduciary duty.

MR. FISHMAN: I want to get to what happens at the end.

Does anybody on the panel have an issue with Wally's willingness to interview people without counsel if he thinks they may have criminal liability?

MS. FLEMING: Yes, I have a problem with anybody interviewing anybody when they know they are represented by counsel.

MR. FISHMAN: What if Wally reached the conclusion preliminarily, based on the documents he

30 (Pages 114 to 117)



has seen, that someone may have criminal liability? MS. FLEMING: I don't have a problem legally interviewing him. It's my craft in those circumstances to tell people that they have a right to counsel, whether they are going to pay for it or not. Even when the company is paying for counsel, that they have a right to independent counsel.

It's my practice to simply tell people if they have anything, they want to consult independent counsel.

2

3

4

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FISHMAN: I think most people who do these investigations would do what Wally did here. There are others who take that view, that you should get the person a lawyer.

JUDGE LECHNER: I don't think it's a problem. You give them a warning. If he or she talks to you, you're good to go, but you should give the warning even if it's not legally required. Ethically it's a better thing to do.

Once a person knows about that, I don't think you have to, per se. It might torpedo any problems in the future vis a vis the individual you are interviewing in a lawsuit he may have. He has an obligation to go after that.

MR. TIMPONE: My preamble, talking about

in this. To that degree, it can help to have outside counsel. The point about I don't want people who have prior relationships, this is a one shot deal, is a good one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

25

119

You can look at it with fresh eyes and say to them, you know what, you have had a relationship for many years with these people. Why not step back for a minute and let us carry the ball on some of the dirty work.

JUDGE LECHNER: That's exactly why you have to have counsel who do not have a relationship. That's precisely why.

MR. FISHMAN: Chris, let's go to the end game.

There are basically two possibilities, maybe one in the middle. You can decline to prosecute the corporation, you can prosecute the corporation, or you can do something in the middle.

MR. CHRISTIE: How you decide is you follow the facts. You see whether or not in the end your judgment is the facts merit a prosecution. It is really not more complicated than that. That makes the decision between declining prosecution and 25 something else.

the attorney-client privilege, who has it, who holds it, includes you have the right to your own counsel should you want it. And the follow-up question is, would you like to proceed today? 97 percent of the time they say yes.

MS. FLEMING: One of the issues here is we are talking in the abstract. Jim Lechner has just gotten to this company two weeks before, so he really hasn't developed a relationship, and he is Jim Lechner, so he's okay with being tougher than some people.

JUDGE LECHNER: I didn't sign that retainer agreement yet.

MS. FLEMING: I mean that in a good way. The board members and the CEO and the general counsel are friends and colleagues and know each other for a lot of years. This is a very difficult situation when you are the general counsel who has been brought in by somebody. I'm not talking about a two-week scenario, but you are brought in, you are respected, taking the company public, and now

People cannot believe ill of their friends and colleagues over a lot of years. This is a very difficult scenario for people really involved

Once you've gotten over that threshold, 1 okay, something needs to be done, then you have to 2

look at, at least from our perspective, if you prosecute this company, what are the collateral

consequences of a conviction?

The judge was talking about the fact that they are an indispensable supplier of parts to the Department of Defense. We will do our own due diligence on that to see whether or not that's true, but debarment is a major issue for corporations who do business with the government.

You have to see one of the collateral consequences that are going to occur to a straight prosecution. If you feel the collateral consequences do not outweigh the need to bring a prosecution, then you go to a grand jury and ask them to return an indictment.

If you feel like the collateral consequences are greater, then you have to come up with some way to cure the corporate conduct, punish 20 the individuals aside from the corporation who in 22 fact brought on the illegal conduct, and figure out 23 some way to fix the problems that occurred in that corporation going forward. That's where a deferred 24 prosecution agreement would come in.

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

121



all of a sudden this is happening.

What the terms are going to depend upon are what the facts are that you are following and how aggressive you want to be.

MR. FISHMAN: A deferred prosecution agreement is?

MR. CHRISTIE: An agreement between a corporation and the government where we agree to defer any prosecution on a criminal complaint that we would file against the company for a period of time, sometimes as small as one year, sometimes as long as three years, and during that period of time they have to comply with a set of conditions that are laid out in this agreement.

If they comply with those conditions over that period of time, at the conclusion of the set period of the agreement, the criminal complaint would be dismissed with prejudice.

If in fact they did not, you would have a trigger within the agreement that would allow you to activate the criminal complaint.

What we have demanded in the ones that we have done is also a set of agreed upon stipulated facts that go along with the deferred prosecution agreement, so that if in fact you violate the agreement, the trial will be a slam dunk.

We had an opportunity to work with

Judge Lacey during the prosecution. It seemed to us

to make sense, we had confidence in him, and we asked

him to convert his status as an independent adviser

to the company to an independent adviser to the

government. That's the way he was selected, and he

was ratified in the deferred prosecution agreement

In the U.M.D.N.J. circumstance, I

In the U.M.D.N.J. circumstance, I wanted to pick someone for an institution that we found to be deeply troubled who would be smart and would be tough enough to deal with the politics of what went on at U.M.D.N.J.

I think it is very personality driven and experience driven based upon the individual situation you find yourself in. So we picked Herb Stern to do U.M.D.N.J. for those reasons. We picked BMS for those other reasons.

MR. FISHMAN: Judge, are you troubled by the lack of judicial involvement in a deferred prosecution agreement?

JUDGE LECHNER: It depends how it works out. The thing that touches most corporations is the monitor.

Putting the statement of facts aside for the moment.

MR. FISHMAN: Wally, do you get to negotiate those facts?

MR. TIMPONE: No. You try.

MR. CHRISTIE: The actions that are within the deferred prosecution agreement, they are both retrospective, in effect, so if there are some people in the corporation who you believe are main players in creating the wrongdoing, you are going to require, as far as the delayed prosecution agreement, for those persons to be fired.

You look into the future as well, in terms of controls and other things that will be placed into a corporation to allow them to try to fix the problems that created this issue in the first place, you know, monitors have placed in certain situations, and those people then can make sure that your agreement is being complied with over a period of time.

MR. FISHMAN: How do you pick a monitor?
MR. CHRISTIE: It depends on the situation. I'll give you two real live examples.

Bristol-Myers Squib, they have already hired Judge Fred Lacey to be an independent adviser in connection with their SEC action that had been brought against them and they had settled.

If the monitor is limited to the problem at hand, in this situation here, you know, how the internal controls and how it happened there, that's one thing.

But if the monitor can actually run the corporation or have veto power, you take too much away from the company to exist.

There are a number of instances with monitors, not locally that I have seen, but throughout the country, where the company is really not run by its shareholders or the board of directors, it is run by a monitor.

It is pre fire zone. They can do whatever they want. The only way you can do that is going into court and suing you or suing the DOJ and trying to get that done, and that is not really well received.

MR. FISHMAN: Many of the agreements preclude that particular form of relief.

JUDGE LECHNER: That's right. Then you trigger the other aspect of that agreement.

You virtually put the corporation out of business when you do that. It's a very difficult thing to do.

I've seen situations where the monitor

32 (Pages 122 to 125)



is keyed into the criminal conduct and the corporation is run otherwise. That is to be sure the new programs that are put in there, the new educational programs are working, being followed, and it's working its way all the way through.

As far as the facts are concerned, I assume that you have -- I don't know, Chris, I haven't actually negotiated one of these, but you would have some input in that.

MR. CHRISTIE: Some, yeah. But at the end of the day, you know, we are going to be sitting there saying, these are the facts as we found them.

Let's take the BMS situation. We had extensive negotiations regarding that statement of facts. At the end of the day, the facts were the facts. They could play to them to some extent, and they had very able counsel to do that, but I'm not going to agree to a statement of facts that doesn't comply with what my investigation has uncovered.

So those facts support completely a prosecution, in fact a conviction of that entity, and if it didn't, they wouldn't be agreeing to the deferred prosecution in the first place.

When the judge says a monitor virtually puts them out of business, the key word there is

1 MR. CHRISTIE: I agree with you. It 2 depends upon what the breadth of the conduct is. 3 JUDGE LECHNER: Right.

4 MR. CHRISTIE: In that sense, I would 5 tell you that you look at BMS and U.M.D.N.J. in two 6 very different ways.

You look at the powers of Judge Lacey at BMS, and while his mandate is broad, his authority is relatively narrow, where in Judge Stern's situation the breadth is there and the authority is quite broad because of the conduct that brought us to that point.

The monitors have to be tailored to the conduct that we go into, we absolutely agree with that, but we don't see the second option, obviously, which I call the Stern option, which is being one that's off the table.

JUDGE LECHNER: It is all fact intensive.

MR. CHRISTIE: If the entity has shown that they are incapable of governing themselves, then you need to bring someone in who will govern them.

22 MR. TIMPONE: It is important to note 23 that Stern's powers are limited in U.M.D.N.J. 24 JUDGE LECHNER: Does he know that?

MR. FISHMAN: We are going to have to

"virtually" the prosecution puts them out of business.

At the end of the day I'm not saying that we are giving them great choices, but their conduct has not given us the opportunity to give them great choices.

Let's remember, crimes were committed. It is not that I don't like the way they are running the company, therefore I would like to put Herb Stern in because I think he'll run it better.

Crimes were committed in running the company. Shareholders were defrauded. The government was defrauded. Conduct needs to be dealt with.

The deferred prosecution is a way to make sure that we limit the damage to the shareholders and you allow them to produce the goods and services that they provide.

If the company is uncomfortable with that option, we can proceed to indictment and they can take their chances.

JUDGE LECHNER: Chris, the point is, if that monitor is keyed into that criminal conduct, you have much less push back than if you try to monitor all other conduct of that company.

127 1 let that be the last word.

There are some very interesting issues
that we didn't have a chance to get to, the scope of
the monitor and the fact that the final appeal, if
there is a dispute between the board of directors and
the monitor, the final decision making authority is
the U.S. Attorney himself.

8 If you are all interested in this 9 particular subject, you can find deferred agreements 10 for Bristol-Myers Squib on Chris' web site, not Chris 11 Christie dotcom, whatever it is.

MR. CHRISTIE: Or Chris Christie dotcom, it's a link.

MR. FISHMAN: The trend here is very interesting. From 1992 to 2001, ten major corporations were prosecuted. Over the last three and a half years, 23 major corporations have been prosecuted with the cases having been resolved by deferred prosecution agreement or a non-prosecution agreement, both of which require fairly stiff obligations on the part of the companies.

This is a very important and emerging area of the law and how corporations are being dealt with, and there is a lot of literature out there that is quite interesting.

33 (Pages 126 to 129)



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

MR. CHRISTIE: One other thing, Paul.

There has been a great deal of discussion among the
Bar here in this state and elsewhere about the
deferred prosecution and Bristol-Myers Squib.

There was the endowing of a chair at Seton Hall Law School by Bristol-Myers Squib about business ethics, and there was a lot of discussion about a deferred prosecution, especially over the fact that the U.S. Attorney is an alum of Seton Hall Law School.

Let me tell you that came from Bristol-Myers Squib, that idea. It was an item that they placed into the negotiations as something they were willing to offer to us as one way to try to bring the matter to a conclusion. It was originally started as an endowed chair to a law school.

I replied that it would have to be a New Jersey law school. I wasn't interested in endowing a chair at a Connecticut or New York law school.

They said that Prudential had already endowed a chair in business ethics at Rutgers. The only option was Seton Hall.

MR. POPLAR: You forgot Rutgers Camden. MR. CHRISTIE: No, I didn't forget,

Carl.

We said to them, that's the option. They brought back to us -- and we thought about the idea of whether or not what the options of that will be because of my association with the law school.

Since it was their idea, and a good idea, I was not going to worry about any potential criticism that would come from that, which was unfounded, to stop a good idea.

They went ahead and did that. The negotiations between them and the law school were between Bristol-Myers Squib and the law school without any involvement with the U.S. Attorney's office, and that's the way that worked.

The only cautionary note in all that is be careful what you offer, because if you offer stuff, we are going to take you up on it. We are not going to limit how much we are going to say yes to when you offer.

I know it has been an issue that we discussed and it was raised before. Since I have such a large representation of the Bar here today, I thought I would clear that up directly.

MR. FISHMAN: I want to thank the panel. It has been a very interesting and spirited

discussion.
(Applause.)

MR. HAYDEN: I, too, would like to thank the panel. It's clear we are talking about cutting edge issues here.

We only touched the surface on so many of these issues. There is a great deal of debate around the country about the pressure put on corporations to waive the attorney-client privilege, to waive the work product privilege, to let officers or employees go during an investigation.

The ABA, American College of Trial Lawyers, various Bars have taken positions about their concerns as to pressures and the erosion of the attorney-client privilege.

Our Association, in our last two board of trustees meetings, has voted to create a standing committee, a special committee on the attorney-client privilege and work product protection.

Carl Poplar, our president elect, is the chair of that committee. Jeremy Frey is the vice chair of the committee.

The special committee will examine, assess and address the issues raised by government policies and practices on the attorney-client work

product protection of New' Jersey, and the committee will solicit the views of members of the Bar as well as business and government agencies on this important subject.

The special committee, with the approval of the board of trustees of our Association, will publicly report whether these practices have been effective and the vitality of the attorney-client privilege.

This is a committee which is now standing, it is just in creation. We do not have an agenda.

If people have views on these issues, please get involved and offer your views to Carl or to Jeremy Frey.

At our last board of trustees meeting we also had discussions as to whether or not it's time for our Association to have a web site, a web site to communicate, perhaps to be interactive. We could either be as creative or sterile as we choose to be, depending upon what the Association wants to do.

Our first vice president, Jeffrey Greenbaum, has been appointed as the chair of the committee to explore whether or not we have a web site.

34 (Pages 130 to 133)



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

Anybody who has views or positions on counsel in a small fraction of these cases. These 2 that subject, or thinks you have an expertise or are cases that are not lacking in merit. suggestion as to how to do it, please communicate 3 The court also recognizes volunteer with Jeff. This is your Association, and you can get 4 lawyer time is an extremely valuable commodity. 5 out of it what you choose to put in it. The court greatly appreciates pro bono counsel's donation of time and energy. It is a We are now going downstairs for our 6 6 7 luncheon speaker. Our luncheon speaker is the chief contribution that makes our system truly a system of 8 counsel to Governor Corzine, Stuart Rabner. iustice. 9 Lunch will be at the table. We are We encourage all of you to consider 10 10 going to be set up pretty well and pretty accepting pro bono representation. We have a efficiently, and we invite you all to come downstairs 11 committee, pro bono committee which is formed as a 11 and to hear the last of our dynamite speakers. Thank 12 result of a Third Circuit task force on 12 13 you. 13 representation of indigents, chaired by Judge Bassler 14 (Recess.) 14 of our court. 15 15 MR HAYDEN: Our Association every year has a There is a pro bono representation tradition of giving out pro bono awards to law firms 16 primer posted on the court's web site under the Local 16 Rules under Appendix H. who dedicate their time and services on a pro bono 17 17 18 18 basis to litigants. The award that is given for pro bono is 19 This year we are going to have two 19 an acknowledgement of lawyers acting pro bono, to 20 benefit the public. Past recipients of this award 20 awards, and Chief Judge Brown believes they are 21 have been: In 1999, the Gibbons, DelDeo firm. In 21 significant enough that he has taken the liberty and 22 2001, the Greenbaum, Rowe firm. In 2002, the Wilentz 22 he will present the pro bono awards. firm. In 2003, Matt Boylan. 2004, the Saiber, 23 At this point in time I turn the program 23 24 over to Judge Brown. 24 Schlesinger firm. 25 25 (Applause.) And today I have the privilege to award 137 135 CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: When we broke to two individual firms, Drinker Biddle and Archer 1 2 upstairs, Joe Hayden said, we have one more dynamite 2 Greiner. 3 Accepting the award on behalf of Drinker speaker for you. I assume he was talking about Biddle are Daniel F. O'Connell, managing partner of 4 Stuart Rabner and not me. 5 5 the Florham Park office, and Jonathan A. Epstein, Thanks, Joe. managing partner of the Princeton office. 6 6 MR. HAYDEN: No, I said two. 7 CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: You said one. (Applause.) 8 CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: Thank you. MR. HAYDEN: I said two. 9 MR. EPSTEIN: Thank you very much. 9 CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: In any event, I have CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: This represents a 10 been given two very pleasant tasks at this 10 11 lot of hard work in the pursuit of justice. conference. The first is to welcome all of you, and 11 MR. EPSTEIN: Thank you. the second to award the pro bono plaques. 12 12 13 Equal justice under law, equal justice MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you. 13 14 to all. These were not mere words. While a litigant Thank you very much. 14 CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: The second recipient 15 15 has the right to represent himself or herself, many is Archer and Greiner. Accepting the award on behalf litigants are pro se, not by choice, but by default. 16 16

They cannot find or afford an attorney. You don't have to be a seasoned trial lawyer to know that they may be at a disadvantage, especially in a complicated constitutional case.

What should the court do about this? Well, for over 100 years the courts have been authorized to request counsel to represent indigents. Although approximately a thousand pro se cases are filed every year in this state, the court appoints

of Archer and Greiner is Joel Schneider.

(Applause.)

17

18

23

19 CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: Mr. Schneider is a member of the firm who worked on a particularly 20 21 difficult pro bono case. 22

MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you very much. CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: Thank you very much.

24 (Applause.)

25 CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: On behalf of myself,

35 (Pages 134 to 137)



2

3

4

5

7

8

9

1

3

4

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the committee and the Association, I would like to thank the recipients, and to all of you who have contributed your time and your efforts to the pro bono program to benefit justice. Thank you very

(Applause.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HAYDEN: Folks, can we have your attention just one more time.

It is now my distinct pleasure to introduce our luncheon speaker, Stuart Rabner, the chief counsel to Governor John Corzine.

Stuart is literally somebody who, to most of us, needs no introduction, but I'm sure there are some of you here who don't know him.

Stuart clerked for Federal Judge Dickinson Debevoise, and then served with distinction in the office of the United States Attorney for 19 years.

He served as a firing line assistant, chief of criminal, executive assistant, first assistant, but most importantly, tried and handled some of their most difficult and complex trials and criminal investigations.

He tried the case involving Prosecutor Bissell, tried the terrorist case with Mr. LaConte,

here to speak to us today. Thank you. 2 (Applause.) 3 MR. RABNER: Thank you, Joe. 4 I have to tell you I was always very 5

comfortable being below the radar screen, and that's a good place to be. 6 7 I have to say I was a bit surprised when

Joe and John Lacey asked me if I would be the luncheon speaker today, until Joe explained it to me.

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

1

3

4

5

7

12

13

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

139

10 Joe said that they had been trying to 11 get Justice Samuel Alito, Jr. to come. He was unable 12 to commit for today, given the time that they needed 13 to know in advance, and would I speak instead.

My reaction was first to appreciate Joe's directness and candor, which I cherish. I thought, any day I could be second fiddle to Justice Sam Alito is a very good day for me.

I'm delighted that Joe and John asked me, and I'm delighted to be back here among so many friends. Thank you for honoring me today.

21 A couple of subjects were suggested to 22 me. First, to talk about the transition of going 23 from the U.S. Attorney's office to the Governor's 24 office. I'll start with the hard part.

It was by no means easy to leave a place

141

and handled a number of very sensitive investigations.

During his 19 years he became known as a lawyer's lawyer to those of us who practice regularly in the federal system. And he became known as a man of absolute integrity. What you saw was what you got. He gave it to you straight, like it or not. He gave it to juries straight. He gave it to judges straight. That's what made him so effective.

Then he was appointed to another position on the executive branch by Governor Corzine where he is now going to be involved in governing as opposed to looking at the activities of government.

A kind of an interesting thing happened with respect to Stuart's public persona, because he went from being a low visibility attorney just known by word of mouth to kind of a media star. After he was appointed, there was like a week of articles in the legal press and our state news publications about Stuart's background, what Stuart ate, how he dressed, what his favorite vacations were, and all of a sudden we have Stuart Rabner rock star as opposed to low brow fine public servant.

But whatever he is, he's not only a fine lawyer, he's a fine man, and we are honored that he's

that I've been at for 19 years that is chock full of dedicated, talented public servants, where I've been 2 fortunate enough to work on a string of good cases.

The good part was I had only four days to pack up 19 years worth of material, so I didn't have a chance to trip down that nostalgic lane which would have made it much harder than it was.

8 The most insightful part of my 9 transition came in a conversation that I had with my 10 children. We sat down and had a family meeting, and I was thinking about changing positions. 11

They got to interrogate me. My daughters were asking probing, cautious questions.

14 Dad, are you going to get to try cases 15 anymore?

17 You like to try cases. Are you sure you 18 want to do this?

I think it will be all right.

Are you going to be with your friends you've been with over these years? Why is it that you want to do that?

My son had the following approach. He said: Dad, if you don't take this job, you're going to wonder for a very long time what might have been.

36 (Pages 138 to 141)



Eleven years old. It was probably the best advice I got throughout the process. He said: Before I tell you what I think, you got to tell me, am I going to meet the

I said: I think so.

Governor, Dad?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He said: You take that job, Dad.

Sure enough, we had that 21-inch blizzard on Sunday, and I got to take him down to Drumthwacket for the day. They had cookies and soda and a long debate about whether the Governor should be driving a hybrid car, which my 11-year old recommended. So I'm out of the penalty box and all is well at home again.

At a very basic level, the work that you do at counsel's office is no different than the work that you do in your practices or the work that I did in the U.S. Attorney's office. Basic questions are put to you and you are asked, is it legal? Are there legal implications? Is it okay to do it this way?

The answer is often yes. But should you do it? Or, no, how about if we consider doing it this way?

I always viewed the job of a lawyer as trying to get from here to here and offer creative bit of a challenge for somebody who lives in Caldwell.

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

143

3 While I have one client, there are many 4 constituencies that you have to think about as you 5 are evaluating and trying to make recommendations. There is the whole legislature. There are many 6 7 departments and agencies in government. There is the overriding public interest that you have to evaluate from the outset. There is the press.

If you're not looking at issues with all of these angles, as well as the Governor's agenda and his initiatives in mind, then you are not approaching it with the care that needs to be brought to it.

The variety of issues is remarkable. On a given day you are trying to get involved. If Dubai Ports World is getting involved in Port Newark, or in the Meadowlands, or Ground Zero, how to deal with thoughtful Court of Appeals decisions about trucking regulations or putting in emergency regulations.

Then there is the small matter of a multi-billion dollar budget deficit that you may have heard a little bit about in the last few days.

In all of it, the role is to try to give some fresh, independent and as good advice as you possibly can muster for the situation.

ways to get there. It is no different, except there may be a few more fires that you try to put out or contain along the way.

One thing that's different is the nature of the practice. I went from representing the United States, and I was always very fond of being able to say that to a jury, and not just the people of the United'States, but the dozens of agencies and hundreds of agents that would bring matters to you.

I downsized my practice to one client, the Governor of the State. I'm pleased that he is a client who appreciates having a lawyer at the table early on in the process. That may date back to his experiences heading an investment banking firm and working with general counsel over there.

He's very comfortable bringing the lawyers into the mix and getting them to offer opinions, not strictly limiting it to legal issues, so that you are involved long before there is a problem on the horizon.

That is a healthy environment, as we all know from our practices.

The down side is that I didn't factor in he's an ex-marine, which means he likes staff meetings in Trenton at eight o'clock in the morning. That's a

I'm grateful that I have an excellent 1 2 staff that is teaching me through this learning 3 process that I have started on.

The staff is much, much smaller than it has been in the past.

Some of my predecessors had as many as 30 people in counsel's office. Right now there are 15 lawyers counting myself. It's a symbolic, pretty tough cutback that the Governor did, not to save money, because 15 salaries is, of course, not that much, but it was a strong message he was sending to all the other commissioners and department heads.

If he could cut the Governor's office down from 190 to 125, they could do likewise. We are going to be seeing more of those cuts as we go on.

There are folks with expertise in the budget law, folks who know environmental issues inside and out, and people who help oversee the authorities. Those of you who practice before the independent authorities have a good sense of what that means.

Most New Jerseyans don't realize there are 50 plus independent state agencies and authorities which have bonding, not just a capacity, but outstanding bonds right now in excess

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

145



of \$20 billion. This is a real important significant part of government.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Counsel's office has a bit of oversight because the Governor can veto the minutes of a number of these authorities, and that gives us the opportunity to work with them on some things.

The biggest change for me going from working on trials and matters in the U.S. Attorney's office to the Governor's office is the amount of time that you can devote to any one issue.

As we heard from the panels this morning, and I fully believe in this, before I would walk in to try a case, whether it was ten documents or 10,000 documents, you want to have touched and read each one of them back and forth and put the stickers on them yourself -- I was always a big believer in that, as my trial partners would tell you -- so that you were prepared for curve balls that could come your way, whether your witness is stumbling, or cross-examination or the defense case, and you have the confidence of knowing you prepared it and knew it inside and out.

You simply don't have that luxury with the breadth and pace of the issues that come before you. As much as you would like to do that, you are evaluate the kind of patchwork of rules and regulations that have gone into place over the years and try to temper them with common sense.

3

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

4 We have been dealing with U.M.D.N.J., no surprise in light of our earlier panel discussion. I 6 learned, not focusing on the scandalous part, but talking to the doctors and nurses who did a terrific 8 job, and the good work that the U.S. Attorney's office has done to uncover that, but they point out 10 to us, given the rules of gifts and honoraria, if any 11 of them won the Nobel Prize, they can't keep the 12 money.

We need to try to take a step back, look at these in a practical sense, and not be soft on ethics, but approach it in a way that makes sense, and it will help restore their faith and the people's faith in government.

Under the umbrella of ethics, we are also evaluating the process by way of which the government makes decisions, whether awarding contracts and appointing people and finding folks who can provide services for government.

What that means is simply insuring there is a process in place for this that is transparent, that has objective measures, that will allow

relying on others, but you can't peel back the onion to the core and put it back together when you're going to court when you are working in the Governor's office.

Let me talk about two ongoing projects that the Governor faces that may be of relevance to folks here today. Obviously the Governor placed an emphasis on ethics. It's a priority and will remain a priority for him. Within hours of taking office he signed an executive order that extends the financial disclosures of public employees to hundreds of other individuals.

It was a strong message and designed to help set a tone at the outset.

Some calls are easier than others. I got a letter that came to me by way of one of the deputy chiefs of staff that included a \$2,000 check to Governor Corzine's reelection campaign and a letter requesting that the fellow who wrote the check be appointed to a particular board. True.

We sent the check back. There is no election campaign like that, no fund. Don't hold your breath, that person will not be appointed to any board in the near future.

We need to be able to step back and

147 1 decisions that are reached to be defended and to be 2 justified if any questions arise later.

> If the bidding process or the decision making process goes in a way that doesn't allow for that, it may need to be redone, again with an eye toward restoring confidence in our government.

Let me spend a minute on a subject that is probably of no interest to the folks here; the process of appointing judges in the state.

Counsel's office plays a role in that. It has vetted applicants for state judges over the years, and it is true now as well.

13 The Governor has a number of core 14 beliefs on this. First, he cares about quality, 15 adding quality judges to a bench that has so many quality people on it right now. That is front and 16 foremost for him. 17

18 He also wants to increase the number of 19 women that are on the bench and is very dedicated to 20 that, as well as increasing diversity on the state 21 bench so that it better reflects the citizens of our 22 state.

23 It's a complicated process. Judges and 24 non-judges would admit that to you. I welcome names. 25 We welcome names of quality individuals, regardless

38 (Pages 146 to 149)



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

149

of the degree of political support that that individual may have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You may have heard about Greg Pau. He's the new director of the Division of Criminal Justice.

Greg Pau sent his resume in over the Internet to the transition team after then Governor-elect Corzine was trying to put together his team. He knew no one. He had no rabbis. We took a look at the resume and said, this is a pretty solid person. He was a Deputy District Attorney over in Pennsylvania, and he's now the director, and if you haven't heard about him, I predict you will.

That's what we need to do to attract other quality individuals to government as well.

John Lacey asked me to touch on one other subject. That was how to foster better relations with and among law enforcement. Let me start with the state Attorney General's office and their relationship with the Governor's office.

A couple of simple rules. I'm probably on the phone every day with either the Attorney General or the First Assistant speaking about one matter or another, and try to break bread and have lunch with them on a regular basis, because it's a lot harder to get into tussles with people that you

all of our lives depend on trust, good open communications and realistic expectations.

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 Focusing on the last one, I have no 4 expectation that Chris Christie is going to call up 5 the Attorney General and say, you know, we got a great \$20 million fraud case that we have poured our 6 sweat and equity in it, why don't you join us, or why 7 8 don't you take credit for it yourself. 9 That's not going to happen either.

There are a lot of places where they can work together. Just last week the Governor signed an executive order announcing this new Office of Homeland Security and Preparedness in the State of New Jersey, which very quickly puts under one umbrella different important pieces in the post 9-11 world that haven't been together until now in the state. I think it's a good way to approach the issue.

There are the criminal investigators who do their work. There are those that prepare on the civil preparedness side of the house. There is the funding piece in grants which will be done out of this office and done in a way that looks at threat and need as the primary factors, as the factors that have to be analyzed as money goes out in this area.

break bread with.

You can always find it easier to work through issues that way, as we all know from our work.

In terms of what we talk about, there are a couple of good benchmarks. We can certainly speak about civil matters which are so closely intertwined with policy and policy initiatives, education, the environment and so on.

On the criminal side of the house, we can talk about initiatives, the Governor's desire to bring more work in the area of corruption, the area of gang prosecutions and so on, but not inquire about particulars of criminal investigations and have information come back because of the appearance or the actual conflict that can present.

That helps strike the right balance between the constitutionally independent position of Attorney General and the chief executive in the state.

I see former Justice Peter Verniero here. You can come back and tell me later if we got this right.

As to the relationship between federal and state law enforcement, any good relationships and

151 There is training. There is the 1 intelligence piece, all together under one umbrella. 2

3 The criminal investigators are going to work side by side, literally hand in hand at the same 4 5 office as the federal and state folks who work at the joint terrorism task forces in Newark and 6 Philadelphia that are headed by the FBI. That's how 7 it is done virtually everywhere in the country and 8 going forward in the state of New Jersey. 9

It was pretty gratifying as a citizen last week to see the Governor sign this order and next to him people who made this happen: Chris 12 Christie flanking the Governor, and his role was 13 critical to helping build this new partnership, the 14 Attorney General, the head of the State Police, the 15 head of the FBI from Newark, Les Weiser and the new 16 nominee for this position, all working together, 17 18 because that is going to be a good model for us as we 19 go forward.

You have all been quiet for so long.

They haven't given you your food. You listened to a lot of speakers today. You all have day jobs to go back to.

I'm going to take my cue from that and thank you again for asking me to come here and

39 (Pages 150 to 153)



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

153

letting me talk to you about this new rewarding 2 position. Thank you. 3 (Applause.) 4 CHIEF JUDGE BROWN: Joe Hayden is going 5 to give a couple of final remarks. Before he does, I would like to note the outstanding attendance by the 6 7 members of the Federal Bar. 8 Your participation in programs such as this shows that we have a Federal Bar the equal of 9 none. This is certainly one of the finest Bars in 10 the country, if not the absolute finest. I thank you 11 12 all. 13 We have quarterly meetings of the boards of judges. We are going to hold our meetings here. 14 All the district judges, Bill Walsh has 15 a room upstairs, upstairs to the right immediately 16 after we get done with the luncheon. Thank you very 17 18 much. 19 (Applause.) 20 MR. HAYDEN: Before we conclude, I would like to once again thank Ginny Whipple for her 21 Herculean efforts in bringing about this program. We 22 23 had the largest registration in the history of the Association of the Federal Bar, over 615 registered 24 25 as of yesterday. People showed up at the door. 155 1 2 As you can see, because of Ginny Whipple 3 the programs went off on time, the luncheon went off 4 on time, and everybody is prepared to move forward. So what she did was above and beyond the call of 5 6 duty, and let's give her a hand 7 (Applause) 8 MR. HAYDEN: At this point the Thirtieth Annual Judicial Conference of the Association of the 9 10 Federal Bar is hereby adjourned. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 40 (Pages 154 to 155)



A	137:3,16	advice 77:22 94:24	AIG 102:18	108:23 109:14
ABA 12:18 132:12	access 102:5	95:4,7 112:20,23	aimed 39:2	142:21
ability 40:10	accident 57:22	142:2 144:24	air 111:18	anticipate 3:24
able 3:24 4:23 5:7	accomplish 70:4	advise 46:21	Alfred 73:12	33:1 45:16
11:17 38:15	according 3:15	adviser 123:23	Alito 140:11,17	anticipation 36:9
39:22 46:5 54:13	4:11	124:4,5	allegations 74:23	antics 57:10
55:2 92:16 95:24	account 17:25 21:6	advising 13:23	allegedly 49:16	anybody 5:6 72:24
116:14 126:17	accurate 110:4	affect 104:21	allow 42:2,6 51:8	81:19 97:25
143:7 147:25	achievement 2:7	affects 103:10	51:19 55:21	98:12 100:14
about 6:24 17:14	acknowledgement	affidavit 29:6	122:19 123:13	104:25 117:17
18:22 20:23	136:19	32:13	127:17 148:25	117:22,22 134:1
21:14 25:8 27:25	across 55:1 75:16	affidavits 19:14	149:4	anymore 60:6 91:3
30:6 31:8 33:3	act 2:17 11:20 62:19 78:24	29:3 57:13	allowed 50:25	107:8 141:15
33:17 34:13 38:8	acting 61:16 91:25	afford 135:17 afoot 86:2	51:15	anyone 6:5,12
38:13,20,25	92:7 136:19	after 2:23 14:9	allows 116:20 almost 77:7	71:11 86:5
41:24 47:20 48:6	action 3:19 69:19	17:17 19:2 35:7	alone 108:12	anything 11:18 68:6,7 80:10,10
49:8,9,10,12	104:20,20 105:5	40:22 57:11	along 11:6 30:7	80:11 92:19 98:1
50:18 52:3 53:2	123:24	79:21 80:6 84:24	35:16 71:8 79:1	100:13 101:24
53:10 57:18 59:15 60:9 61:15	actions 56:11	109:7 117:10	105:22 122:23	110:25 118:9
61:19 62:18 63:3	123:4	118:24 139:17	143:3	anyway 84:6
63:22 64:18 65:7	activate 122:20	150:6 154:17	already 4:10 83:22	anywhere 52:15
65:13 67:1 69:24	active 10:23 61:3	afternoon 18:8,8	123:22 130:21	53:21 70:11
70:3 72:22 74:3	activities 5:14 11:2	again 7:3 9:3 11:9	alter 81:3,4	aplomb 8:23
74:6,24 75:2,17	139:13	12:19 17:13,13	alternate 48:8	appeal 63:10 129:4
76:1,4 77:2,9,16	acts 25:17	24:11 25:15,22	alternates 48:16	appealable 24:4
77:24 78:10	actual 151:16	43:8 98:9 113:7	48:17,23	Appeals 144:18
79:23 81:5 83:7	actually 15:16	142:14 149:5	although 3:21 4:24	appear 4:3 62:5
83:7 84:7,19	28:13 30:11	153:25 154:21	13:2 78:1 135:24	appearance
85:13 86:20	38:10 39:10,16 41:12 43:23	against 13:6,7 72:9 97:18 113:21	alum 130:9	151:15
87:18,23 90:1	50:13 81:21 91:2	122:9 123:25	always 29:22 41:7 51:3 55:20 56:11	appearing 35:13 Appendix 136:17
92:19 93:1,7	93:11 97:21	agencies 133:3	62:5 70:2 96:10	Applause 4:5,18
94:18 95:10,11	106:6 107:16	143:8 144:7	140:4 142:24	5:2,16 7:20 9:5
98:1,21,22 99:17	125:5 126:8	145:23	143:6 146:16	10:3,11 12:13,23
102:11,17 109:1 109:15 111:5,15	add 23:22 78:13	agenda 133:12	151:2	13:9 70:17 72:17
115:11,13,22	79:7	144:11	amazing 26:13,14	132:2 134:25
117:10 118:20	adding 149:15	agents 74:21 77:13	41:8 43:9 66:17	137:7,18,24
118:25 119:20	address 132:24	99:19 143:9	amenable 66:12	138:6 140:2
120:3 121:6	addressed 26:25	aggravate 34:18	American 132:12	154:3,19 155:7
130:3,6,8 131:3	27:2	aggravating 65:8	among 10:18 59:9	applicant 13:17
131:7 132:4,8,13	addressing 62:25	aggressive 122:3	86:4 130:2	23:12,25
135:3,21 139:19	adjourned 155:10	ago 57:2 71:3	140:19 150:17	applicants 23:1
140:22 141:11	adjudicated 102:15	agree 26:19 38:11	amount 13:2 44:25	149:11
142:11,22 144:4	administrative	65:3 87:11,13 90:23 122:7	65:24 99:21 113:10 146:9	application 6:16 14:22 15:9 16:12
144:18,22 147:5	3:15 113:3	126:18 128:1,13	analysis 79:14	16:20 19:1 20:11
149:14 150:3,12	admissible 40:6	agreed 122:22	analyzed 152:25	20:19 21:18,23
150:22 151:5,7	admit 9:22 67:6	agreeing 24:7	Angell 73:25	22:16,23 23:9,16
151:11,13 154:1 154:22	149:24	126:22	angles 144:11	23:21 24:16 31:1
above 7:8 155:5	admonition 62:11	agreement 15:2	animosity 33:10	31:11
absconded 21:5	admonitions	27:14 119:13	announcing	applications 13:24
absent 22:11 52:24	110:25	121:25 122:5,6	152:12	15:5 19:17 27:11
absolute 139:6	advance 55:23	122:13,16,19,24	annual 1:13 2:2	27:22 34:21
154:11	102:13,21	122:25 123:5,9	3:8 5:20 155:9	appointed 133:23
absolutely 11:13	140:13	123:17 124:7,20	anonymous 78:23	139:10,18
33:8 34:1 54:6	advantage 27:8,9	125:21 129:19	another 10:5 11:19	147:20,23
69:15 94:3	adversarial 88:14 101:10	129:20 agreements 71:25	12:8 25:22 37:11 50:13 71:21	appointing 148:21 149:9
105:13 128:13	adversaries 29:21	74:6 114:17	76:20 80:16	appoints 135:25
abstract 119:7	adversary 16:18	125:18 129:9	81:15,17,20 86:5	appreciate 140:14
academics 3:5	22:20 38:19	ahead 2:11 15:10	94:10 139:10	appreciates 136:5
acceptable 11:21	42:23 44:18 56:1	27:7 29:21 43:19	150:23	143:12
acceptance 54:21 accepted 60:9,10	56:3,25 57:3	61:6,8 65:18	answer 34:10,12	appreciation 4:20
accepted 60.9,10	67:20,20 69:24	66:11 67:3	41:2 42:6 44:20	5:1 8:16
	99:14	103:17 131:10	53:16 101:24	approach 35:22
All departments.			l	
		1 DOMESTIC 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	The state of the s	



52:20 93:8	2:11,12 4:8,14	authorized 3:22	baseball 10:18,24	85:15 95:17
111:12 141:23	71:12,18 131:5	135:23	11:2	98:22 102:11
148:15 152:17	132:16 133:6,18	Auto 74:10 86:5	based 43:3 50:19	105:21 119:10
approaches 60:8	133:21 134:4,15	automobile 57:21	80:12 110:24	123:17 126:4
approaching	138:1 154:24	available 6:12,25	117:25 124:14	128:15 129:23
144:12	155:9	71:11,16	basic 142:15,18	139:16 140:5
appropriate 17:22	assume 16:11	average 22:23	basically 36:8 37:2	143:6
19:3	20:17,22 23:14	await 3:6	71:18 93:9 96:16	beliefs 149:14
appropriately 85:16 91:10,12	24:10,21,23 78:15 81:10	award 135:12 136:18,20,25	120:16 basics 84:24	believe 17:1,12 39:16 62:12 91:4
approval 103:21	100:12,13 106:1	137:3,16	basis 99:22 134:18	119:23 123:7
133:5	111:18 126:7	awarding 148:20	150:24	146:12
approved 5:4	135:3	awards 134:16,20	Bassler 136:13	believer 57:17
approximately	assuming 15:22	134:22	became 16:2 60:3	146:17
135:24	17:1 23:8,21	aware 56:6,12	139:3,5	believes 25:10
arbitration 9:13	41:22 84:10	away 17:9 18:24	Becker 72:5	134:20
arbitrations 9:2	assumptions 43:4	21:3 27:9 47:17	become 2:13 6:19	below 140:5
Archer 137:1,16 137:17	ate 139:20	75:13 90:15,16 100:3 105:2	9:10 98:10 101:9	bench 7:16 8:19
area 93:5 129:23	atmosphere 54:5 attained 9:25	115:22 125:7	bed 53:25 befall 23:12	9:24 10:9 11:14 11:18 19:6 21:8
151:12,12	attendance 154:6	awe 53:18	before 2:14 4:3	25:2 34:7 40:22
152:25	attended 10:25	awful 103:14	8:11 9:9,15	46:3 64:10
argument 19:15	attention 29:12,13	105:9	10:14 11:10,15	149:15,19,21
29:6	41:4 44:14 57:7	a.m 37:22	12:4 13:25 14:16	benchmarks 151:6
arias 25:18	64:20 86:22	ATT 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 1	14:22 16:12,20	bend 53:1
arise 26:1 149:2	138:8	В	22:19 26:1,11	benefit 7:16
armed 16:9	attitude 90:7,14	B 70:7	27:22 28:1,10	136:20 138:4
armor 105:17 arms 87:10 91:1	92:11,17 117:10	back 2:10 17:23	29:11 31:25 32:2	best 28:4,21,22
91:20	attorney 9:24 16:9 73:5 81:20 94:6	21:12 24:1 25:22	33:19 35:1,13 43:8 44:7 51:1	47:4 55:17,18 65:1 70:10 71:3
around 5:21 24:14	94:10 97:11,15	26:16 28:6 29:16 51:10,25 52:11	53:13 59:1 60:2	71:13 142:2
24:17 40:18,21	100:2 129:7	52:19,22 56:9	61:12 62:5,11,14	better 3:11 12:2
52:6 56:8 58:7	130:9 135:17	60:19,24 69:25	64:10,12,17,23	17:2,11,12 30:24
58:15 74:23	138:17 139:16	70:2 71:22 76:20	65:7 66:7 68:25	30:25 55:4 56:4
87:10,17 90:22	150:10,18,21	91:20 95:24	69:11 79:21	86:17 118:19
91:1,6,20 132:8	151:19 152:5	96:10 105:20,23	80:25 85:20	127:10 149:21
arrives 82:25	153:15	108:13,16 120:9	99:18 107:16	150:16
articles 139:18	attorneys 6:22	127:24 131:3	108:8 113:15 119:8 131:21	between 20:24
aside 45:13 121:21 124:24	16:16,19 37:22 39:11,22 40:1,11	140:19 143:13 146:15 147:1,2	142:3 143:19	47:14 54:9 59:6 59:10 61:1,16
asked 38:10 52:9	41:4,8 59:22	147:21,25	145:19 146:12	62:15 97:11
124:3 140:8,18	60:8 62:15 64:11	148:13 151:15	146:24 154:5,20	100:10 108:15
142:19 150:15	75:20 97:16	151:22 153:23	beforehand 31:18	120:24 122:6
asking 18:14,21	attorney's 10:1	background 76:25	93:6	129:5 131:11,12
19:11 20:23 28:6	72:7 73:10,24	139:20	began 62:14	151:18,24
28:9 53:2 141:13	86:25 87:3 91:9	backup 66:21,22	begin 13:14,16	beyond 34:20 90:1
153:25 asks 96:22	96:5 99:11 102:14 108:13	66:22 80:5	25:11,16 97:8 108:9	116:8 155:5 Bickering 61:16
aspect 125:21	114:1 131:13	bad 25:10,10	beginning 35:11	bidding 149:3
aspire 47:7	140:23 142:18	badly 25:12 balance 81:4	61:25 87:13	Biddle 137:1,4
aspires 47:11	146:8 148:8	151:17	begins 25:10 61:12	big 55:15 57:17
assemble 94:21	attorney-client	balanced 8:24	107:18	66:15 78:16 80:1
assembled 94:22	97:3 98:9 107:24	ball 120:9	begun 47:5	99:23 100:12
assess 132:24	119:1 132:9,15	balls 146:18	behalf 13:3 102:25	103:22 106:16
assets 17:17	132:18,25 133:8	bank 21:5 102:2	137:3,16,25	146:16
assigned 14:2 32:2	attract 150:13 audience 57:1	banking 143:14	behave 61:15	biggest 48:1 67:3
assignment 81:18 assistant 9:23 72:8	audit 76:8 78:10	bar 1:4 4:1,9,15 7:17 12:16 42:4	behind 3:23 20:17 51:2,15 68:1	146:7 Bill 154:15
138:19,20,21	79:4 82:9 103:24	62:22 73:19	being 4:8 9:12	billion 146:1
150:22	104:7,16 106:17	130:3 131:22	10:21 11:18,19	Bissell 8:20,21 9:4
assistants 93:4,10	106:21	133:2 154:7,9,24	12:21 15:9,24	33:13 138:25
93:21	author 2:23	155:10	22:13 27:23	bit 15:12 17:11
associate 68:21	authorities 145:19	bargaining 114:17	34:16 39:22	26:6 36:18 38:8
82:13	145:20,24 146:5	barred 46:14	54:13 56:24	38:13 53:1 55:3
Associates 112:10	authority 128:8,10	Bars 132:13	58:20 60:1 67:2	59:25 93:1 110:6
association 1:4	129:6	154:10	71:10 79:25	140:7 144:1,22
		·	THE STATE OF THE S	



146:3	101:2 105:1	78:23 108:18	146:13,20 152:6	challenging 10:23
blanket 42:7,14	121:15 128:21	147:15	cases 9:12,15	11:3
blessed 25:1,1	130:15 143:9	calm 15:11 26:5	11:11,12 13:14	chambers 16:10
blizzard 142:9	151:12	33:4	13:16 15:17	29:18
blow 68:10	bringing 30:7	Camden 36:15	32:16 33:23	chance 18:11
blower 50:1	92:17 113:21 143:16 154:22	130:24	35:18 39:23 40:3	26:11 80:21
blows 75:24 BMS 124:17	brings 82:23	came 14:16 25:1 43:23 58:20	40:14 43:16	129:3 141:6
126:13 128:5,8	Bristol-Myers	130:11 141:9	46:13,16 48:2,3 48:18 51:8 53:13	chances 127:21
board 70:23 76:7,7	73:7 123:22	147:16	53:18,21 56:14	change 36:18 43:5 61:22 66:7 79:14
77:18,20,20 78:9	129:10 130:4,6	campaign 147:18	56:14 64:20	80:11 81:3,3
78:10 81:7 82:8	130:12 131:12	147:22	68:16 69:8 73:7	103:15 146:7
101:1 103:15,19	broad 99:10,18	candid 60:20	78:25 87:5	changed 79:25
103:23,24,25	128:8,10	candor 140:15	109:25 129:18	changes 38:5
104:1,3,6,10,15	brochure 7:5	candy 59:12,13	135:24 136:1,2	changing 141:11
104:25 105:2	broke 135:1	capability 85:15	141:3,14,17	charges 89:15
106:18,19 113:5 115:7 119:15	brought 27:10 40:24 44:13	capacity 145:25	Cathy 73:22 81:11	charm 8:23
125:11 129:5	64:20,22 73:6	capitulate 94:18 car 142:12	82:2 86:20 89:19 90:18 92:9 93:2	chats 16:2 check 18:13 66:11
132:16 133:6,16	78:11 95:18 96:6	card 5:8	94:11 96:2	66:17 82:5
147:20,24	119:19,20	care 78:5 102:18	100:25 104:14	147:17,19,21
boards 154:13	121:22 123:25	103:7 115:12	112:20	checked 78:14
bode 77:9	128:11 131:3	144:13	cause 13:18 19:19	cherish 140:15
body 95:21	144:13	career 30:2	19:20 22:21	Chesler 10:4,5
bombarded 41:8	brow 139:23	careful 131:16	25:11 116:19	21:14,20 23:6,22
bonding 145:24	Brown 2:1 5:18	cares 149:14	cautionary 131:15	24:18 37:11,15
bonds 145:25	134:20,24 135:1	Carl 36:17 73:16	cautious 141:13	39:5,7 40:17
bono 134:16,17,22 135:12 136:5,10	135:7,9 137:8,10 137:15,19,23,25	98:18 101:12 102:20 105:3	center 5:21 centuries 2:19	41:2,20 59:18,19
136:11,15,18,19	154:4	108:18 109:1,4	CEO 74:11 75:2	64:2,3 chief 2:1 5:18 8:22
137:21 138:4	budget 144:21	111:20 117:1,3	75:11,16,25	9:25 70:12 73:9
book 2:21 3:2 6:2	145:17	131:1 132:20	76:25 77:3 78:1	73:23 78:3 134:7
books 78:25 79:11	build 153:14	133:14	79:10,19 80:9	134:20 135:1,7,9
81:3	Building 7:11	Carl's 102:23	82:16 83:11 86:4	137:8,10,15,19
both 2:11 5:4	bump 25:7	106:24	86:10,13 98:19	137:23,25
51:13 63:4,21	bunch 111:25	Carpenter 73:11	102:3 106:4	138:11,20
78:2 123:6 129:20	burden 48:18 burdensome 96:15	carry 120:9 case 9:9 11:6,15	108:19,24	151:19 154:4
bother 60:5	bus 115:14	12:5 13:11 14:2	113:14 114:10 116:7,23 117:2	chiefs 147:17 children 141:10
bottom 75:18	business 12:18	14:2,4 17:7,7,8	119:15	chock 141:1
88:13 96:8	47:6 111:4	17:14 18:21,22	ceremonial 3:9	choice 135:16
114:14 117:11	113:23 121:11	20:18,23 25:8,10	5:20	choices 127:4,6
bound 69:5	125:23 126:25	27:1 29:22 30:10	certain 38:19	choose 133:20
box 28:19 51:16	127:2 130:7,22	30:13,19,23 31:5	40:18 42:1 43:3	134:5
52:12 142:13	133:3	31:16 33:9,19,21	43:25 60:4 96:20	Chris 72:24 73:4
boxes 66:24	buying 67:14	34:4,18 35:3,5,6	107:10 114:2	85:20 86:19,20
Boylan 136:23 boys 10:17	buys 86:6	35:10,11,15,18 36:2 37:2,8,19	123:15 certainly 21:22	91:14 92:3 95:3 103:7 105:24
branch 139:11	С	37:24,25 38:25	33:15 71:4 92:8	110:19 111:6
breach 117:14	Caldwell 144:2	41:14 42:24 43:3	92:22 101:5	116:12 120:14
breaching 27:13		43:12,18 44:3,23	104:10 151:6	126:7 127:22
	Caldwells 10:19	10.12,20.11.0,20	107.10151.0	
bread 150:23	call 13:21 15:19	47:12 48:6,9,12	154:10	129:10,10,12
bread 150:23 151:1	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20 150:23 151:1	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25 85:2 98:25 99:1	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7 54:11 56:22	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19 chair 12:17 130:5	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6 92:6 103:8
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20 150:23 151:1 breaking 58:10	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25 85:2 98:25 99:1 99:24 100:2	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7 54:11 56:22 57:14 60:23	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19 chair 12:17 130:5 130:16,19,22	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6 92:6 103:8 105:11 109:19
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20 150:23 151:1	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25 85:2 98:25 99:1 99:24 100:2 101:22 104:22	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7 54:11 56:22 57:14 60:23 65:11 67:14,23	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19 chair 12:17 130:5 130:16,19,22 132:21,22	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6 92:6 103:8
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20 150:23 151:1 breaking 58:10 breath 29:1 147:23 brief 5:14,17 64:16 briefing 26:9	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25 85:2 98:25 99:1 99:24 100:2 101:22 104:22 104:23 107:9	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7 54:11 56:22 57:14 60:23	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19 chair 12:17 130:5 130:16,19,22	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6 92:6 103:8 105:11 109:19 110:17 111:11 114:2 115:16
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20 150:23 151:1 breaking 58:10 breath 29:1 147:23 brief 5:14,17 64:16 briefing 26:9 bring 13:5 26:16	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25 85:2 98:25 99:1 99:24 100:2 101:22 104:22	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7 54:11 56:22 57:14 60:23 65:11 67:14,23 68:1,18 69:3,7,9 69:12,22 72:9 93:5,22 95:21	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19 chair 12:17 130:5 130:16,19,22 132:21,22 133:23	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6 92:6 103:8 105:11 109:19 110:17 111:11
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20 150:23 151:1 breaking 58:10 breath 29:1 147:23 brief 5:14,17 64:16 briefing 26:9 bring 13:5 26:16 32:7 43:10 64:10	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25 85:2 98:25 99:1 99:24 100:2 101:22 104:22 104:23 107:9 128:15 152:4 155:5 called 54:1 64:8	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7 54:11 56:22 57:14 60:23 65:11 67:14,23 68:1,18 69:3,7,9 69:12,22 72:9 93:5,22 95:21 101:22 103:4	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19 chair 12:17 130:5 130:16,19,22 132:21,22 133:23 chaired 136:13 chairman 2:24 76:6 78:9 104:6	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6 92:6 103:8 105:11 109:19 110:17 111:11 114:2 115:16 116:12,24 117:8 120:20 122:6 123:4,20 126:10
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20 150:23 151:1 breaking 58:10 breath 29:1 147:23 brief 5:14,17 64:16 briefing 26:9 bring 13:5 26:16 32:7 43:10 64:10 64:13 82:18	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25 85:2 98:25 99:1 99:24 100:2 101:22 104:22 104:23 107:9 128:15 152:4 155:5 called 54:1 64:8 75:8 79:10 82:20	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7 54:11 56:22 57:14 60:23 65:11 67:14,23 68:1,18 69:3,7,9 69:12,22 72:9 93:5,22 95:21 101:22 103:4 113:21 114:21	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19 chair 12:17 130:5 130:16,19,22 132:21,22 133:23 chaired 136:13 chairman 2:24 76:6 78:9 104:6 challenge 42:3,11	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6 92:6 103:8 105:11 109:19 110:17 111:11 114:2 115:16 116:12,24 117:8 120:20 122:6 123:4,20 126:10 128:1,4,19
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20 150:23 151:1 breaking 58:10 breath 29:1 147:23 brief 5:14,17 64:16 briefing 26:9 bring 13:5 26:16 32:7 43:10 64:10 64:13 82:18 83:14,19 96:3	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25 85:2 98:25 99:1 99:24 100:2 101:22 104:22 104:23 107:9 128:15 152:4 155:5 called 54:1 64:8 75:8 79:10 82:20 99:14	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7 54:11 56:22 57:14 60:23 65:11 67:14,23 68:1,18 69:3,7,9 69:12,22 72:9 93:5,22 95:21 101:22 103:4 113:21 114:21 135:20 137:21	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19 chair 12:17 130:5 130:16,19,22 132:21,22 133:23 chaired 136:13 chairman 2:24 76:6 78:9 104:6 challenge 42:3,11 55:24 144:1	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6 92:6 103:8 105:11 109:19 110:17 111:11 114:2 115:16 116:12,24 117:8 120:20 122:6 123:4,20 126:10 128:1,4,19 129:11,12,12
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20 150:23 151:1 breaking 58:10 breath 29:1 147:23 brief 5:14,17 64:16 briefing 26:9 bring 13:5 26:16 32:7 43:10 64:10 64:13 82:18	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25 85:2 98:25 99:1 99:24 100:2 101:22 104:22 104:23 107:9 128:15 152:4 155:5 called 54:1 64:8 75:8 79:10 82:20	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7 54:11 56:22 57:14 60:23 65:11 67:14,23 68:1,18 69:3,7,9 69:12,22 72:9 93:5,22 95:21 101:22 103:4 113:21 114:21	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19 chair 12:17 130:5 130:16,19,22 132:21,22 133:23 chaired 136:13 chairman 2:24 76:6 78:9 104:6 challenge 42:3,11	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6 92:6 103:8 105:11 109:19 110:17 111:11 114:2 115:16 116:12,24 117:8 120:20 122:6 123:4,20 126:10 128:1,4,19
bread 150:23 151:1 breadth 128:2,10 146:24 break 59:16 71:20 150:23 151:1 breaking 58:10 breath 29:1 147:23 brief 5:14,17 64:16 briefing 26:9 bring 13:5 26:16 32:7 43:10 64:10 64:13 82:18 83:14,19 96:3	call 13:21 15:19 26:15 36:25 46:13 61:7 75:7 79:9 80:12 81:13 83:22 84:1,22,25 85:2 98:25 99:1 99:24 100:2 101:22 104:22 104:23 107:9 128:15 152:4 155:5 called 54:1 64:8 75:8 79:10 82:20 99:14	47:12 48:6,9,12 48:22 49:6,11,13 49:20,20,23,24 49:24,25 50:7,9 50:14,15 54:5,7 54:11 56:22 57:14 60:23 65:11 67:14,23 68:1,18 69:3,7,9 69:12,22 72:9 93:5,22 95:21 101:22 103:4 113:21 114:21 135:20 137:21	154:10 CFO 74:16 77:10 78:1 85:24 86:1 115:21 Chagaris 72:19 chair 12:17 130:5 130:16,19,22 132:21,22 133:23 chaired 136:13 chairman 2:24 76:6 78:9 104:6 challenge 42:3,11 55:24 144:1	129:10,10,12 152:4 153:12 Christie 73:5 86:24 87:5,8 88:25 89:6 90:6 92:6 103:8 105:11 109:19 110:17 111:11 114:2 115:16 116:12,24 117:8 120:20 122:6 123:4,20 126:10 128:1,4,19 129:11,12,12



153:13	collect 14:19 101:7	communicated	concerned 63:21	110:11
circles 35:23	collective 114:17	112:17	95:18 101:20	contemporaneo
Circuit 72:6,20	College 132:12	communication	109:15 126:6	38:18
136:12	come 6:3 8:19	94:8 97:11	concerning 8:14	content 107:22
circumstance	13:25 14:22	communications	concerns 132:14	contentiousness
124:8	15:20,22 16:12	152:2	conclude 154:20	59:6 61:1
circumstances	19:5 20:24 21:8	companies 102:13	concluding 64:1	context 14:23
15:23 22:1,12	21:12 26:11,22	106:4 114:18	conclusion 39:8	27:11 33:16 44:9
83:9 96:25	28:6 29:7 33:23	129:21	40:1 117:25	45:24
108:20 118:4	42:11 53:24	company 78:16	122:15 130:15	continue 4:2 108:2
circumstantial	60:24 62:6 65:19	81:12 84:14	conclusions 96:9	contract 112:25
79:19 116:8	66:18 71:22 79:5	85:23 86:3,5,6,8	conditions 122:12	contracts 106:6
citizen 153:10 citizens 149:21	79:6 83:10,24	86:14,14,15	122:14	148:21
civil 3:19 9:9 11:21	85:6 88:4 91:8 91:20 92:16	88:22,24 89:2	conduct 103:10	contrary 46:19
48:3,22 50:9	95:21 96:16	90:5,14 94:12 95:18 96:8 97:9	121:20,22 126:1	contributed 138:3
69:19 72:1,13	98:20 105:20,23	99:13,17 101:15	127:5,13,23,25	contribution 136:7
115:3,23 151:7	121:19,25 131:8	101:15 102:25	128:2,11,13 conducted 38:2	control 11:17 18:9 33:19 47:8,10,11
152:21	134:11 140:11	102:25 104:18	conducting 93:11	47:16 49:15
civility 59:9	146:19,24	104:22 106:6	conference 1:13	50:14 55:2,3
claiming 14:23	151:15,22	107:4,6,20,21	38:2,4,24 61:11	68:7,8 87:19
15:3 24:12	153:25	108:1 113:2,8,10	135:11 155:9	controlling 49:19
claims 79:10	comes 16:9 25:12	113:13 114:14	conferences 28:13	50:23 53:11
class 104:20 105:5	27:6 35:4,11	114:25 117:5	confesses 110:18	56:10,11
clause 116:19	74:17 82:19	118:6 119:8,21	confidence 124:3	controls 47:13
clean 116:13	86:20 104:15	121:4 122:9	146:21 149:6	123:12 125:3
clear 21:4 32:18	112:12	124:5 125:7,10	confidential 97:10	conversation 35:4
70:3 108:8 109:8	comfortable 140:5	127:9,12,19,25	confirmation 3:25	35:7,8 83:6,9
131:23 132:4	143:16	company's 74:11	conflict 82:5	91:13 141:9
Clearly 49:4	coming 8:6 14:15	74:16,24 78:15	151:16	conversations
clerk 20:21 29:18	27:20 28:23	79:13 86:16,17	conjunction 115:6	83:10
30:1,4,4,4 36:25	41:19 65:22	compelling 21:24	Connecticut	converse 24:11
52:9	76:19 77:5,10	22:12	130:19	convert 124:4
clerked 72:5 138:15	102:20	compensation	connection 22:4	conveys 60:9
clerks 29:18 57:25	commemorate 3:8	116:5 competent 103:3	98:19 123:24 connections 96:7	conviction 105:8 121:5 126:21
58:1	30:18	competitive 10:22	consent 26:19	convince 20:2,3
clerk's 29:11 30:2	commends 36:8	competitor 15:1	consequences	21:11 46:5 49:5
client 16:15 27:18	comment 8:18	competitors 34:16	20:15 72:2 121:5	convinced 21:8,10
27:25 31:14 32:7	40:23 55:14	complaint 29:6	121:13,14,19	55:20
35:4,5 57:16	104:5 117:7	49:10 79:5 122:8	consider 76:8	cookies 142:10
60:23 76:24 82:8	comments 8:13	122:16,20	89:24 97:17	cooking 78:25
82:11,22 88:11	34:6	complement 3:23	111:8 136:9	79:11
88:19 89:19	commission 94:2	12:8	142:22	cool 31:20
97:11 99:5 100:3	commissioned 7:9	complete 24:9	consideration	cooling 64:21
100:5,6,13,18	commissioners	46:10 96:23	22:17 86:25	cooperate 94:17
102:2 106:24	145:12	completed 2:23	96:17 98:14	101:10 114:3,11
109:5,8,8,11	commit 91:22 92:1	completely 14:1	105:22	115:8,8 116:11
110:2 113:22	92:4 99:20	126:20	considered 45:7	116:12 117:4
143:10,12 144:3 clients 13:6 28:17	140:12 committed 127:7	complex 67:23 72:13 138:22	considering 22:18	cooperating 85:25
41:4 59:5 73:3	127:11	complicated	48:16 consistent 103:5	88:12
client's 103:6	committee 76:8	120:23 135:19	107:17	cooperation 88:19 92:20 94:17
close 40:15 58:20	78:11 79:4 82:9	149:23	constituencies	coordinate 8:15
58:25	103:24,24 104:7	complied 123:17	144:4	77:19 80:16
closed 39:12	104:13,16	comply 122:12,14	constitutional	coordinating
closely 151:7	106:18,21	126:19	49:23,24 114:20	77:18
closing 68:3	132:18,18,21,22	component 27:4	135:20	coordinator 4:16
clothes 53:25	132:23 133:1,5	86:6	constitutionally	copies 29:17,19
coffee 74:16	133:10,24	comprehensive 3:3	151:18	copy 29:20 100:22
cognizant 97:4	136:11,11 138:1	computer 81:4	consult 118:9	101:5
collar 72:13 73:19	commodity 136:4	110:14 112:10	contact 51:4,5	copying 37:23
collateral 72:1	common 20:17	computers 79:24	85:5	core 114:6 147:2
121:4,12,14,18	148:3	conceivable 40:5	contacting 22:4	149:13
colleagues 22:23 66:3 119:16,24	communicate 133:19 134:3	concentrating 16:8	contain 143:3	corner 59:13
00.5 119.10,24	133.17 134.3	concern 101:17	contemporaneous	corporate 27:20
	-			



71:24 88:15	104:22 136:6	orogy 65:15	David 24.14	100
92:21 94:15	142:16 145:7	crazy 65:15 create 14:11	David 34:14 day 4:11 11:23	14:18 15:25
99:24 100:15	146:3 149:10	132:17	18:9 20:24 21:12	defendants 50:19 68:11 111:3
111:3 117:9	countermand	created 123:14	21:13 27:2,9	defendant's 50:18
121:20	104:25	creating 123:8	28:5,12,12,12,13	defended 149:1
corporation 13:7	counting 145:8	creation 133:11	29:13 30:14 31:6	defending 47:5
14:23 47:6 80:3	country 70:11	creative 133:20	33:11 54:4 61:11	defense 41:19
81:25 82:9,12,21	71:15 91:6	142:25	61:12 62:6 97:7	50:15 57:24
83:4 85:3,7,18 96:17 97:18	105:14 125:10	credibility 47:10	104:18 112:4	58:14,17 74:4
99:11 100:4	132:8 153:8 154:11	47:18,19 91:8,9 credibly 109:13	126:11,15 127:3	105:9,12,13
102:10,11	County 6:23	credit 5:4,6 152:8	140:16,17 142:10 144:15	121:8 146:20 defensively 56:1
107:14 111:16	couple 17:5 58:9	credits 5:5	150:21 153:23	defer 122:8
113:22,23,25	67:13 85:22,25	Crime 10:6	days 14:3 17:5	deferred 71:25
114:5,7 116:2,3	110:14 140:21	crimes 127:7,11	22:19 28:23 74:5	74:6 105:21
120:18,19	150:20 151:6	criminal 9:25 72:1	75:13 77:8	116:4,5 121:24
121:21,24 122:7	154:5	89:15 100:16	108:13 110:6,14	122:4,23 123:5
123:7,13 125:6 125:22 126:2	course 2:18 14:6 38:21 48:13 55:7	117:19 118:1	111:4 141:4	124:7,19 126:23
corporations 74:5	62:13 102:13	122:8,16,20 126:1 127:23	144:22	127:15 129:9,19
83:18 121:10	145:10	138:20,23 150:4	deal 33:10 38:20 57:16 58:24 61:1	130:4,8 deficit 144:21
124:22 129:16	court 2:11,14,14	151:10,14	75:3 92:21 99:23	defiles 51:13
129:17,23 132:9	2:17,21,22 3:11	152:19 153:3	103:22 109:18	definitely 72:25
corporation's 83:3	3:14 4:1 5:12,22	criteria 97:15	120:5 124:11	79:15,21 87:9
96:11	6:7,21 9:10	critical 39:1 54:13	130:2 132:7	definition 94:22,25
correct 11:13	10:10 11:4 13:17	153:14	144:17	defrauded 127:12
32:19 33:8 45:6	13:25 16:19	criticism 131:8	dealing 40:11	127:13
55:24 63:8	20:25 21:15 22:18 26:16	cross 52:18	92:14 148:4	degree 60:4 113:4
corroborating 35:9	35:14,17 41:12	crossed 24:5 crossing 57:4	deals 71:24 106:5 dealt 20:4 22:9	120:2 150:1
Corrupt 78:24	46:20 47:22 48:2	cross-examination	114:9 127:13	Delaware 83:17 102:10,12
corruption 151:12	48:3 49:17 57:25	2:5 52:1,16 55:9	129:23	delay 8:5
Corzine 134:8	59:1,24 60:8,21	55:11 71:13	dean 73:18	delayed 123:9
138:11 139:11	61:5,24 64:6,9	146:20	debarment 105:6	DelDeo 136:21
150:7	64:20,23 65:13	cross-examiners	105:7,9 121:10	deleted 80:1
Corzine's 147:18	65:17 68:4,16	71:15	debate 132:7	deliberate 48:9
cost 71:18 116:2 costs 102:21	69:3,13 70:25	crowd 4:10	142:11	49:1,2
Coughlin 102:6	73:14 91:6 92:9 125:15 135:21	crucial 105:13 CSR 1:25	debating 48:7 Debevoise 138:16	deliberations 48:24
counsel 22:4 41:13	135:25 136:3,5	cue 153:24	December 6:21	delighted 140:18
49:15 51:17,19	136:14 144:18	cup 74:15	57:21	140:19
57:3,10,14 58:6	147:3	cure 121:20	decide 83:19 92:19	delivering 66:1
58:9,14,17 59:7	courthouse 5:25	current 30:4 85:5	107:21 120:20	delivery 60:20
59:10 61:2 62:11	18:11 29:11	85:7	decided 15:11	demand 41:11
62:25 74:10 76:9 76:19 77:21	31:25 32:2,5 56:6 57:23 58:19	curry 13:5 81:19	decides 6:5 56:19	97:2
80:16 81:6 82:3	courthouses 6:25	curve 146:18 custody 84:13	111:16 decision 17:2,13	demanded 122:21
82:15,24 83:8,12	courtroom 3:9	customer 99:16	81:6,7 92:7	demands 41:19 54:12,12
83:13 84:21 85:4	5:21 10:21 11:18	cut 31:9 106:5	100:10 104:9	demeanor 60:7
85:6 89:25 90:9	11:19 37:14 47:8	145:13	115:6 120:24	demonstrated
91:16 94:6,13	47:11,13 49:15	cutback 145:9	129:6 149:3	21:25
95:7,25 99:2,24	49:19 50:14,23	cuts 145:15	decisions 104:1	demonstration
100:14,15,16	51:21 52:6 53:11	cutting 132:4	144:18 148:20	21:24 22:11
102:24,25 103:3 103:20 104:2	55:3 56:10 58:1 61:17 66:11,12	D	149:1	demonstrative
106:7,9,11,15	66:14 67:3 70:9	Dad 141:14,24	decline 120:17 declining 120:24	55:10,15 denied 22:2 27:23
107:5 108:19,20	courts 2:16 6:9	142:5,7	decreases 49:4	Dennis 12:14,19
108:25,25 109:8	9:15 13:11 27:23	damage 127:16	dedicate 134:17	12:22 47:1 55:5
109:16 117:19	35:2 59:2,10	Daniel 137:4	dedicated 141:2	68:13
117:23 118:5,6,7	63:15 70:6,10	dare 98:12	149:19	deny 23:10
118:10 119:2,16	135:22	date 19:11 20:5,25	deemed 35:23	department 2:25
119:18 120:3,12 126:17 134:8	court's 136:16	23:15 26:17 75:4	deep 29:1	78:2 89:11 96:16
135:23 136:1	covered 39:15 42:9 84:9	75:12 87:12	deeply 124:10 default 135:16	105:10 121:8
138:11 143:15	covers 116:19	143:13 dates 26:9 87:14	defect 44:12	145:12 departments 144:7
counsel's 76:16	craft 80:18 118:3	daughters 141:13	defendant 14:14	Department's
		g		P



07.11	324- 00 O	04.7 11 17 00 01	duinin = 75.10	122,20 150,21
97:14	directors 82:9	84:7,11,16 99:21	driving 75:10	133:20 150:21
depend 122:1	102:19 103:1	documents 35:10	142:12	152:9
152:1	125:12 129:5	40:4 83:22 84:1	drop 65:12	elbowing 16:6
depending 43:2	dirty 120:10	84:5,6,10 85:16	dropping 54:4 Drumthwacket	elect 132:20 election 147:22
80:1 100:24 133:21	disadvantage 135:19	97:22 101:6,7 117:25 146:13	142:10	elevate 70:24
depends 19:25	disclosure 76:12	146:14	Dubai 144:15	Eleven 142:1
38:3 123:20	96:23 99:5	doing 7:3 9:1	ducks 35:20	eliminate 65:17
124:21 128:2	disclosures 113:12	27:14 30:9 56:12	due 77:8 121:8	66:5,9
deputy 52:9 57:25	147:11	56:23,24 57:3	dunk 122:25	elsewhere 130:3
147:17 150:10	discover 106:3	58:23 60:2 62:23	duration 3:13	emergency 3:14
design 44:12	discovered 23:24	66:5 70:13 72:13	during 12:3 35:7	15:15 144:19
designed 147:13	39:9 59:21	94:13,17 98:4	38:21 52:1,16	emergent 13:17
designing 94:19	discovery 14:11	106:2,22 110:12	55:6,10 62:13	14:22 19:4 31:11
desire 151:11	23:20,20 24:7,17	113:12 115:21	99:6 111:7	emerging 129:22
desires 61:8	24:23 26:3,24	142:22	112:14 122:11	emotions 33:10
desk 5:7 86:22	30:19 39:2,8,12	DOJ 75:13 125:15	124:2 132:11	empathize 50:2
destroy 80:5,10	39:15,16 60:14	dollar 144:21	139:3	emphasis 147:8
destroyed 7:12	62:16	dollars 79:12	dust 5:23	employee 15:1
detail 5:3	discrete 94:5	Don 3:7	duty 117:14 155:6	107:6
detailed 6:6,7 29:4	discrimination	donation 136:6	DVD 71:11,16	employees 85:5,8
details 2:22 116:18	49:25	done 6:1,19 8:14	dynamite 134:12	114:17 132:11
determination	DISCTRICT 1:14	27:21 41:6 50:19	135:2	147:11
3:18 92:21	discuss 84:3	75:4,5 76:14		employers 13:3,4
determine 14:7,17	discussed 28:3	78:5 79:20 84:8	<u>E</u>	employment 13:1
15:8 22:7 83:12	58:9 131:21	84:17 90:11	each 11:23 12:2	35:18 82:17
113:3	discussing 8:7	91:10 96:6,8	26:15 36:12 41:8	116:18 encourage 6:18
Deutsch 73:11	94:15	107:16 111:19 113:15 121:2	48:20 59:8 63:1	33:12,14 136:9
devastating 46:17	discussion 106:18	122:22 125:16	63:5,12 119:17	encouraging 32:17
develop 59:6	108:9 130:2,7 132:1 148:5	148:9 152:22,23	146:15	end 17:15 18:9
developed 93:17	discussions 133:17	153:8 154:17	eagerly 3:6	24:6 31:5 34:2
105:17 119:9	dismissed 58:6	don'ts 9:9 13:11	earlier 4:11 14:3 30:14 104:5	48:9,12 92:5
development 24:9 device 74:2	122:17	34:24 65:4 67:13	113:11,17 148:5	97:7 104:18
Devins 108:16,17	display 7:16	door 35:11 37:13	early 18:11 91:13	109:15,16,22
devote 146:10	dispute 108:15	49:13 154:25	91:22,23 92:1,18	117:16 120:14
dichotomy 95:6	129:5	dotcom 129:11,13	93:15 106:3	120:21 126:11
Dickinson 138:16	disputes 60:14	dotted 24:5	143:13	126:15 127:3
dictate 110:5	disregard 46:21	doubt 41:15	earshot 58:8	ended 63:7
dictating 110:14	distinct 12:7 138:9	down 6:13 15:11	easier 71:2 147:15	ending 62:10
died 44:4	distinction 10:9	17:6 27:2,19	151:2	endowed 130:16
difference 48:1,4	47:23 89:4	28:7,18 31:21	Eastern 111:9	130:22
106:12,16	138:16	41:19 57:23 58:3	112:11	endowing 130:5,19
different 31:3	distinguished	58:4 59:17 67:24	easy 21:7 68:21	energy 136:6
36:19,23 42:19	70:15	84:11 92:22 98:4	84:1 140:25	enforcement
43:16 60:1 66:4	distribution 86:14	98:8,13 110:13	echo 8:13 67:11	150:17 151:25
84:20 86:2	Distric 73:20	141:6,10 142:9	edge 132:5	engage 22:16
108:22 111:25	district 5:12,12 6:7	143:23 145:14	education 40:13	enjoy 6:6 37:22
128:6 142:16	6:9 8:22 9:10,16	downsized 143:10	151:9	enjoying 74:15
143:1,4 152:15	10:10 14:5,6,9	downstairs 134:6	educational 7:25	enough 8:16 19:14 48:9 67:18,19
differentiate 100:9	28:16 31:13	134:11 dozens 143:8	126:4 Edwards 73:24	88:21 89:1,2,7
difficult 53:19	36:11,18,23	do's 9:9 13:10	Edwards 73:24 effect 57:7 123:6	90:24 104:2
67:7 71:1 72:9	40:20 48:7 55:21 59:2 61:5 63:14	34:23 65:4 67:13	effective 133:8	109:14 124:11
119:18,25 125:23 137:21	68:16 70:13 73:6	dramatically	139:9	134:21 141:3
138:22	73:14,15 111:10	36:23	effectively 86:10	142:8
diligence 121:9	112:11 150:10	Drasco 12:14,15	86:16	Enron 112:12
dire 20:14 61:13	154:15	12:22 47:1,2,9	efficient 4:3	entered 39:11
direct 50:20 52:16	districts 70:15	48:1 55:5,8	efficiently 63:22	entertaining 63:25
directing 34:5 36:1	diversity 149:20	56:20 68:13,14	134:11	entire 2:8 73:20
direction 34:6	division 10:1 150:4	draw 89:4	efforts 8:3,3 71:4	76:7
directly 51:1 88:18	doctors 148:7	dressed 139:20	138:3 154:22	entitled 30:12
131:23	document 36:6	drew 57:6	eight 77:8 143:25	32:11
directness 140:15	37:23 38:8,23	Drinker 137:1,3	either 12:3 21:12	entity 89:11,14
director 4:12,21	39:13 52:21 53:2	drive 65:15	21:24 31:16 33:7	92:22 126:21
150:4,11	69:16 82:12 84:5	driven 124:13,14	34:4 44:10	128:19
		I	I	1



4 00.16	1 25 12 65 11	1210254412	1	1
entry 80:16	35:12 67:11	42:10,25 44:1,3	126:15,15,16,18	filing 76:13
enunciate 3:20	68:22 80:5 91:4	44:4,24 45:10	126:20	filled 41:23
environment	everywhere 153:8	65:13,21,23 66:7	factual 29:3 30:3	filmed 71:10
143:21 151:9	evidence 38:21	expertise 134:2	45:1 95:2 111:24	final 39:10,20,25
environmental	39:14 40:6 55:10	145:16	fail 66:23	40:2,4,19 87:14
145:17	55:15 63:24	experts 44:3	fair 23:17 34:7	129:4,6 154:5
Epstein 137:5,9,12	79:19 85:19	expert's 44:10	46:24,25 51:18	finally 5:22 6:2
equal 56:3 135:13	116:8,9	explain 27:22	fairly 129:20	financial 79:24
135:13 154:9	evidentiary 37:7	87:16	faith 23:9,21 86:23	80:2 81:25
equally 56:7	evolving 25:7	explained 140:9	88:4,11,19 90:16	147:10
equipment 66:18	ex 14:7 21:22	explicit 45:3	91:25 92:7,20	find 13:4 15:25
equity 152:7	exactly 77:4	explore 27:5	148:16,17	16:24 17:17 23:4
equivalent 23:2	120:11	133:24	fake 21:5	25:3 26:24 28:1
erosion 132:14	examination 17:18	exposed 17:15	fall 3:23 7:1,23	29:25 30:1 32:17
especially 35:16	52:17			
51:7 52:18 59:1	examine 50:20	express 4:19,25 8:16	10:14 12:4 55:9	35:8 36:13 40:14
			71:14 79:18	52:8 61:5,7
59:2 63:15 92:14	132:23	extends 147:10	false 86:14 112:14	66:24 67:8 75:17
130:8 135:19	examining 50:22	extensive 126:14	familiar 60:5	79:8 84:15 85:17
essential 105:12,25	50:24	extent 18:9 50:16	family 54:9,22	85:17 91:14 92:4
Essex 6:23	example 21:22	83:3,5 90:11	141:10	95:22 99:3,25
establish 47:17	22:19 27:18	97:19 103:9	fancy 66:18	100:5 101:10
82:22	42:20 82:15	126:16	far 3:23 46:14 62:8	111:15 115:10
estate 67:15,15	83:17 114:16	extra 29:17 108:3	80:2 82:11 83:7	115:14 124:15
Ethically 118:19	examples 123:21	extraordinarily	123:9 126:6	129:9 135:17
ethics 130:7,22	excellent 145:1	30:11 59:4	FARMS 1:22	151:2
147:8 148:15,18	except 15:23 52:15	extraordinary	fashion 11:21	finder 36:5
etiquette 53:15	72:24 143:1	15:23 21:25	31:20	finding 9:13 96:1
et`cetera 19:5	exception 10:16	extremely 107:11	fast 35:18	148:21
evaluate 144:8	11:12 51:23	136:4	favor 13:5 48:14	findings 38:15,18
148:1	exceptionally 3:17	ex-marine 143:24	81:19	fine 12:20 28:2
evaluating 144:5	exceptions 42:18	eye 51:4,4,5 149:5	favorite 139:21	139:23,24,25
148:19	68:12 69:4	eyes 12:10 120:6	FBI 74:21 153:7	finest 71:14 154:10
evaluation 41:21	excess 145:25	eyes 12.10 120.0	153:16	154:11
even 3:11 9:13	excluded 69:1	F	federal 1:4 3:19	
	1			fingers 90:25
14:1 22:11 23:19	exclusively 106:21	F 137:4	4:9,15 5:10,11	finish 57:15
25:15 35:7 43:6	excuse 43:18	fabric 111:24	10:6,9 12:16	fire 95:12 115:3
52:16 60:14	executive 4:12,21	face 10:21 16:21	13:11 19:18 25:2	125:13
72:23 103:1	78:4 138:20	17:10,20 68:10	35:1,17,22 40:5	fired 101:11
109:10,24	139:11 147:10	faced 72:10	40:13 47:22 48:2	112:24 114:19
114:23 118:6,18	151:19 152:12	faces 147:6	49:17 53:21	114:22 123:10
evenly 34:16	executives 89:24	facilitate 63:25	65:17 68:4,16	fires 143:2
event 3:8 8:15 14:9	91:16 114:3	116:17	69:3 70:25	firing 138:19
45:17 135:9	exhibit 37:7 40:8	fact 18:2 19:3 20:9	138:15 139:5	firm 12:14,24 59:4
events 83:7	66:25 68:9	21:25 22:3,16,21	151:24 153:5	96:4,7 136:21,22
ever 25:17 34:15	exhibits 37:6,23	22:22 24:8 32:9	154:7,9,24	136:23,24
62:13 70:11	40:4,8 41:24	36:5 41:5 43:2	155:10	137:20 143:14
72:10 108:15	46:14,15	44:15,19 45:2,11	feed 88:18	firms 82:1 134:16
111:21 115:3,10	exist 125:7	46:17 52:3 56:15	feel 59:23 92:13	137:1
every 3:18 29:17	expect 37:9 61:15	78:14 82:22 97:5	121:14,18	firm's 57:16
37:7,7,9,10	79:20 98:6	114:2,10 121:6	fees 102:14	first 2:17 8:5 9:17
38:12 47:11 59:1	expectation 152:4	121:22 122:18	fellow 10:22	15:6,24 20:20
69:16,25 107:12	expectations 152:2	122:24 126:21	147:19	25:2 28:5 40:20
107:18,18 112:4	expected 55:13	128:17 129:4	felt 57:4	47:22 54:4,11
134:15 135:25	expedited 14:11	130:9	ferreting 114:7	55:14 64:21 72:8
150:21	23:20	factor 143:23	few 14:2 22:19	74:15 82:3,4,7
everybody 4:6	expeditiously	factors 22:17	69:4 143:2	82:21 83:6,21
15:10 26:21 27:7	60:22		144:22	87:1,8 90:20
	experience 4:22	152:24,24	fiddle 140:16	93:3,3 94:4
28:6 53:20 57:23		facts 20:1 31:1		97:23 98:25 99:2
58:2 66:4 71:22	5:11 10:15 11:9	38:7,11 42:20,24	fiduciary 117:14	
78:19 93:18	12:19 33:22	44:8 46:18,19,23	field 11:2 13:1	99:6 100:19
103:4 155:4	37:13 43:22 71:2	78:7 85:22 86:19	105:15	104:5 108:23
everyone 7:22	83:1 124:14	91:14 94:20,22	fifth 28:12 114:23	123:14 126:23
13:15 54:21	experienced 36:22	95:4 98:2 114:7	figure 121:22	133:22 135:11
58:25 70:6 87:20	experiences	114:9 120:21,22	file 14:15 32:3	138:20 140:14
89:22	143:14	122:2,23 123:2	39:1 49:9 122:9	140:22 149:14
everything 20:9	expert 40:7 42:2	124:24 126:6,12	filed 39:16 135:25	150:22
t .	ı			



	ì	I The state of the	*	103
Fishman 72:3,16	force 10:7 136:12	11:18 12:10	general 53:14	go 2:20 5:6 6:13
73:2 75:21 77:2	forces 26:21 153:6	13:21 17:7 19:7	54:21 74:9 77:21	9:14 24:1 27:9
77:19 78:13,19	Foreign 78:24	25:9 32:5 34:7	82:24 95:25	28:1 41:10 51:9
79:7 80:4 81:5	foremost 83:21	35:10 36:25	97:15 99:1	52:23 58:13 61:6
81:10 82:2 84:22	149:17	38:25 39:24	103:20 104:2,22	61:13 66:10 67:1
85:20 88:21 89:4 90:4,18 92:3	foreseeable 64:15 81:16	43:22 46:3,15,20		68:10 69:7,8,9
93:25 95:8,15	forethought 64:23	47:21 49:21 50:3		75:9,16 76:18,20
96:12 97:13,24	forget 43:9 44:15	51:20 52:1 53:24 57:24 60:1 62:23		88:16 91:6 95:24
98:3,15,18	68:23 130:25	62:24 63:21	151:19 152:5 153:15	96:19,20 97:13
100:21 101:12	forgetting 115:22	65:22 70:5 72:2	generally 42:5,15	102:2 103:17 104:6,12,15
103:7,17 104:12	forgot 37:20 69:1	74:21,22 75:13	52:15 59:15	104.6,12,13
105:23 106:10	105:4 130:24	79:25 80:21	60:11 67:6	106:18 110:3
106:14,22 107:1	form 6:14 19:20	85:10 88:6,14	General's 150:18	114:5 118:17,24
108:18 109:4	29:15 32:11	89:1,17 90:15,16	generated 88:6	120:14 121:16
110:9,18 111:6	125:19	92:18 93:14	generation 53:24	122:23 128:13
112:7,10,23	formal 98:16	94:15 95:7 96:18	generic 80:19	132:11 145:15
115:12 117:15	format 36:12,14	97:8 99:10,15,15	gently 89:21	153:19,23
117:24 118:11 120:14 122:4	36:15,22,24 37:1	99:25 100:1	genuine 15:14	God 69:1
120:14 122:4	formed 136:11 former 7:11 12:17	101:15 107:17	George 2:18	Godfather 88:16
125:18 128:25	14:25 73:23 85:5	111:25 112:11 115:19 116:1	Germany 65:22	goes 56:9 64:9
129:14 131:24	85:7,24 86:1	121:3,21 125:7	gets 17:9 26:18	80:22 84:18
five 2:25 6:3 44:17	151:21	129:15 130:11	27:2,7 60:19 90:16	108:13 111:13
60:2	formerly 73:8	131:8 139:16	getting 13:21	117:1 149:4 152:25
five-foot 52:3 53:5	99:15	140:23 142:25	33:20 34:2 79:18	going 5:9,13,19,21
fix 121:23 123:13	formulate 37:24	143:5,22 144:9	89:24 95:4	6:6,10 7:7,8
fixed 39:14	forth 18:17 54:14	145:14 146:7,11	101:12 114:14	13:20 14:7 16:3
fizzle 33:24	61:24 146:15	151:3 153:16,24	116:8 117:11	16:22,24 18:4,5
flags 92:23	fortunate 141:3	front 5:7 31:4 36:4	143:17 144:16	18:13,16 19:22
flanking 153:13	forward 7:3 88:4	39:23 43:19	Gibbons 136:21	20:11,14 21:15
Fleming 12:25 73:22 82:4 84:24	96:19,20 121:24	59:23 62:7 80:25	gifts 148:10	21:21,23,24 22:2
90:20,24 100:16	153:9,19 155:4 foster 41:16	87:6 149:16	Ginny 4:12,20	22:3,5,7,18,21
102:8 108:10	150:16	frustrating 64:7 full 3:23 23:2 24:3	8:14 154:21	24:2,12,16,24
109:17 112:21	found 11:5 12:8	24:9 25:17 82:16	155:2 give 4:17 5:13	25:14,25 26:24
112:24 114:16	22:23 28:16 41:7	99:4,5 141:1	18:11,16,18,20	26:25 27:12,22 28:5,19,21,22
115:5 117:21	96:24 124:10	fullest 83:5	18:23 19:14 20:8	29:16 30:20
118:2 119:6,14	126:12	fully 146:12	20:9 23:13,14	31:17,18,19,20
flesh 19:6	founded 2:16	fumbling 66:24	29:13,17 32:12	31:22,25 32:1,8
flexible 85:15	four 3:12 7:9	fun 7:24	38:16 45:22	32:12 34:25 35:8
flip 102:11	10:17 43:25 44:5	functional 23:2	47:13 50:10	36:4 37:5,8,24
floating 40:18,21 Florham 137:5	44:16 48:23	fund 147:22	52:15 53:20	38:20 39:14,17
flow 72:2	73:17 110:5 141:4	funding 152:22	61:14 68:15	39:23 40:11
fly 31:17	fourth 28:12 54:11	funds 102:5 further 8:5 40:21	70:20 87:9,14,15 90:21 92:11	41:19,25 42:16
flying 116:15	fraction 136:1	90:15,16	96:12 99:5	43:1,4,20 45:13
focused 81:18	franchise 27:13	future 3:11 20:5	100:21 101:5	45:15,16,21,22 47:3 48:24 49:15
focuses 41:3	franchisee 27:12	81:17,22 89:16	107:8,22 114:13	49:20 53:22
focusing 148:6	27:13	118:22 123:11	114:18 116:22	54:22 55:13
152:3	franchiser 27:12	147:24	116:24 118:16	56:13,21 58:19
folks 16:6,6 33:4	franchiser's 27:15		118:17 123:21	60:18 61:22 62:1
97:16 138:7	fraternizing 58:18	G	127:5 144:23	65:11,12,14,18
145:16,17 147:7	fraud 21:5 152:6	gala 3:8 5:20	154:5 155:6	65:20,23,25 66:2
148:21 149:8 153:5	Fred 123:23 free 34:17 95:12	game 93:7 109:16	given 7:23 16:16	66:4,6,7,16,20
follow 29:9,24	frequently 24:6	109:16,22	18:15 83:9 87:23	67:7 68:18 69:5
39:19 68:14	fresh 120:6 144:24	120:15	127:5 135:10	69:7,20 71:19,21
120:21	Frey 132:21	games 10:24 gang 151:13	136:18 140:12 144:15 148:10	72:3 75:17 77:1 77:15,16,17,18
followed 126:4	133:15	gang 131.13 gangbusters 33:24	153:21	77:20,21 78:4,13
following 64:5	friend 104:4	gas 27:18	gives 146:5	79:15,23,24 80:9
74:7 122:2	friendly 53:6	gather 84:1 85:15	giving 38:19 91:22	82:8,16 83:1,2
141:23	friends 119:16,24	gauntlet 17:6	112:13 127:4	83:11,12,14,14
follow-up 119:3	140:20 141:20	gave 62:11 91:15	134:16	83:20 84:11,12
fond 143:6 food 59:12 153:21	from 2:6 4:10 8:1	139:7,8,8	gleefully 41:8	84:12,16 87:11
100u 59.12 155:21	8:19 10:19 11:14	GC 76:1 77:6	glove 25:4	87:12,19 88:3,7
			l	



				164
88:8,13,14,17,20	96:22 99:14	H 136:17	53:16 54:20 55:8	135:15
89:19 90:10,13	110:3 112:17	habit 50:23	59:11,12 67:5,6	hesitant 101:16
91:10,11,18,18	113:21 121:11	half 5:5 16:17	70:18 71:24	he'll 110:6 127:10
91:24 92:23 93:3	122:7 124:6	64:18 77:25	132:3 134:15	hiatus 22:19
93:13,13,20,23	127:13 132:24	129:17	135:2,6,8 138:7	high 33:11 55:16
94:1,2,7,13,21	133:3 139:13	halfway 117:12	154:4,20 155:8	59:5 77:6 110:1
95:2,13 96:1,19	144:7 146:2	Hall 130:6,9,23	head 76:6 79:16	highlight 46:8
96:20 97:22,24	148:17,20,22	hallway 29:15	113:20 153:15	him 8:25 10:15
98:15 100:21,24 101:3,9 102:9,9	149:6 150:14	hand 4:17 25:4	153:16	11:10,15 12:8
101.3,9 102.9,9	government's 99:19	53:9 108:1 125:2	headed 153:7	21:8,10 27:2
102:10,17,21,23	Governor 134:8	153:4,4 155:6	headhunter 75:8	52:13 65:14,14
104:8 108:3	138:11 139:11	handle 59:9 60:13 106:24	heading 143:14	65:21 72:9 75:2
109:4,17,18,20	142:5,11 143:11	handled 8:22	heads 145:12	75:19,23 76:3
110:5,16,19	145:9 146:4	11:10,13 12:6	healthy 143:21	77:1 80:9 83:2
111:1,8,14,15	147:6,7,18	34:5 138:21	hear 13:15 61:12 63:24 70:5 85:9	91:18 94:6 98:19
114:4 115:18,19	149:13 152:11	139:1	134:12	100:21,23 101:2
115:21,24 116:1	153:11,13	handles 12:18	heard 28:4 31:7	101:5,8 103:6
116:7,10,13	Governor's 140:23	handling 9:1	36:4 40:23 58:20	106:25 107:9 108:20 113:4,6
117:3,10 118:5	144:11 145:13	hands 99:7 111:21	144:22 146:11	115:9,15,22,25
121:13,24 122:1	146:9 147:3	happen 16:4 23:10	150:3,12	116:3 118:3
123:8 125:15	150:19 151:11	24:25 32:1 33:1	hearing 14:13,20	124:3,4 138:14
126:11,18	Governor-elect	54:25 68:24	16:23 19:1,9,16	139:9 142:9
128:25 131:7,17	150:7	77:18 152:9	19:23 20:25	147:9 149:17
131:18,18 134:6	grace 8:23 11:14	153:12	21:18,22 24:2,15	150:12 153:12
134:10,19	11:17 72:23	happened 58:12	24:22 25:4,6,8	himself 11:14 12:6
139:12 140:22	gradation 90:15	83:7 91:2 114:15	25:13 26:12 27:9	129:7 135:15
141:14,20,24	grand 73:13 89:8	125:3 139:14	31:9 33:18	hint 46:7 67:1
142:4 145:15	121:16	happening 119:22	hearings 34:22	hire 81:6,11,19
146:7 147:3	grant 19:22 20:2	happens 12:1	Hears 30:13	104:9,25
152:4,9 153:3,9	21:15 23:13	25:19,23 60:15	heavy 48:18	hired 74:10 82:2
153:18,24 154:4 154:14	24:16	64:14 110:20	Hedges 2:20 5:13	90:9 95:8,15
Goliath 34:14	granted 22:13	117:16	5:17 7:21 25:3	98:18 123:23
golly 16:1	grants 152:22 grateful 145:1	happy 8:25 33:13	27:1	hiring 103:20
gone 25:17 65:24	gratifying 153:10	82:20 103:19 104:17	heels 64:21	Historical 3:7 5:11
83:25 84:6	great 11:25 12:11	harassment 78:25	held 34:22 113:13	5:14,19 6:2,17
110:21 148:2	33:10 62:4,8	hard 3:17 20:1	hell 31:2 help 14:11 17:2,8	7:6
good 3:10 4:6 7:22	63:4 92:1 127:4	56:21 63:18	37:24 38:9 60:21	histories 6:8
16:16 23:9,21	127:6 130:2	68:20 116:9	60:21,23 71:8	history 2:19,22,24 3:3 5:22 6:6,7
28:25 29:7 30:25	132:7 152:6	137:11 140:24	76:16 102:24	21:4 91:7 154:23
52:7 59:22 62:21	greater 93:17	harder 141:7	103:3,6 120:2	hit 25:7
86:23 88:4,11,18	121:19	150:25	145:18 147:14	hits 64:4
89:18 90:16	greatest 89:23	hardest 34:15	148:16	hold 16:22 24:24
91:25 92:7,19	93:22	harm 18:4 20:4,5	helped 8:9	79:22 80:18,22
103:3 113:15	greatly 136:5	20:13 22:21	helpful 25:21 30:5	81:1 107:25
114:13 118:17	Greenbaum	23:12 24:13	helping 8:15	147:22 154:14
119:14 120:5	133:23 136:22	32:11 50:19	153:14	holds 119:1
131:6,9 140:6,17	Greg 150:3,5	113:10	helps 45:24 55:3	home 91:6 92:9
141:3,4 144:24	Greiner 137:2,16	hat 103:15	102:24 103:4	101:7 142:14
145:20 148:8	137:17	hate 42:6	151:17	Homeland 152:13
151:6,25 152:1 152:17 153:18	grew 2:3	having 6:2,4 8:17	her 4:22,22 5:1	homey 59:16
goods 127:17	Ground 144:17 groundwork 60:15	9:25 10:6,7,8	11:23 12:25 13:5	honest 69:22
goods 127.17 goosing 108:3	growing 33:15	15:11 20:25 24:4 30:19 33:18,19	29:20 61:7 74:22	honestly 25:9
gored 25:12,13	grown 2:12	62:8 64:19 68:15	74:23 81:13,14	Honor 64:11
gotten 30:14 119:8	guarded 101:16	74:24 82:23 93:2	81:15,18 87:10 87:10,11,14,15	honorable 2:21
121:1	guess 19:11 66:16	99:22 129:18	87:17,25 88:11	73:4,12
govern 128:21	guidance 36:10,11	143:12	88:11,19 89:19	honoraria 148:10 honored 139:25
governing 128:20	guidelines 109:19	Hayden 2:8 4:6,7	89:21,22 90:4,13	honoring 140:20
139:12	guilty 102:15	7:24 8:6 11:16	90:14 92:3 93:2	hope 7:19
government 74:21	guy 21:5 76:25	11:24 14:21 15:6	93:3 112:22	hoped 32:14
77:13 84:23 85:1	107:8 110:20	15:18 16:13,24	154:21 155:6	hopefully 7:13
85:2,10,25 86:1	116:2	24:22,25 26:3,7	Herb 124:15 127:9	30:15 82:18 94:9
86:9,11 88:8,9		28:11 29:24	Herculean 154:22	102:19
88:12 91:3 96:18	H	32:20,22 34:13	herself 11:19	hoping 13:5
		1		



for a build and a build and a build for a build for a build and a build a build and a build a build a build and a build a buil	1	1		102
horizon 143:20	69:15 74:4 101:8	infancy 25:8 30:2	interesting 96:15	143:19 144:15
horror 16:3	107:11,12	inflated 74:24	129:2,15,25	144:16
hot 74:4 78:15,16	128:22 129:22	informal 22:5	131:25 139:14	involvement 93:15
78:20,22 79:2,9	133:3 146:1	informant 115:11	interim 23:20 44:4	103:15 104:3
79:10 101:22	152:15	115:14	internal 77:16	124:19 131:13
hour 16:17,17 17:4		information 14:19	88:6 90:5,9,19	involves 49:25
64:18	138:21	14:24 19:6 88:17	94:1,11,12,15,17	involving 138:24
hours 82:13 147:9	imported 40:19	89:8 90:2 99:4	95:16 106:2,22	irreparable 18:4
hour-and-a-half	imposing 111:3	151:15	107:3 111:13	20:4,6,12 22:21
17:4	impossible 54:7	infrequently 22:25	112:14 114:3,11	irresponsible 91:1
house 151:10	impress 35:14	infringed 14:25	117:2,4 125:3	IRS 74:22 75:13
152:21	impressive 74:11	infringing 27:15	internalize 39:12	78:2
Howard 1:25 71:9	improperly 44:24	initial 16:9 19:1	Internally 117:13	issue 14:7 15:13
huge 48:4 99:21	44:24	21:18,18,21	Internet 150:6	18:6 21:9 37:9
human 70:7	Inc 74:10 86:6	34:17 80:8 83:9		
hundred 110:4	incapable 128:20	90:21 91:13	interrogate 141:12 interrogatories	43:11 44:24 45:15 47:9,10
hundreds 79:12	inclined 87:12	93:19 103:11	41:10	
143:9 147:11	include 19:20	107:5	intertwined 151:8	48:20 58:25 59:9
hung 48:14	included 46:2,6	initially 101:14	interview 97:25	60:13,22 61:1,9
hunters 79:16	147:17	initiated 2:18		62:13 64:12,15
hurry 46:3	includes 119:2	initiatives 144:12	98:4,16 99:6	64:19 87:9 92:9
hurt 45:18 105:20	includes 119.2	l .	107:5,12,18,23	107:2 117:17
115:4	74:5	151:8,11	108:2,12,20	121:10 123:14
		injunction 14:12	109:5 117:2,18	131:20 146:10
hybrid 142:12	inconsistent 46:22	14:20 17:22 18:3	interviewed 77:12	152:18
hypothetical 16:3	100:17 110:22 111:23	19:24 20:13,19	interviewing	issued 24:11 26:8
74:2,8 78:14		23:15 24:2,15,22	117:22 118:3,23	39:6,8 99:19
79:8 93:2	increase 149:18	28:15,19	interviews 110:11	issues 11:10 16:5
Hypothetically	increasing 149:20	injunctive 15:4	intimidating 52:19	22:8 26:25 38:16
78:22	incumbent 25:14	injury 22:25	introduce 46:18	58:9 59:16 61:20
	indeed 40:2 41:15	inkling 92:11	85:2 138:10	84:13 100:5
TCX X3 C 4	41:16 indemnification	input 103:21 126:9	introducing 12:1	114:6 119:6
ICLE 5:4	102:14	inquire 151:13 inside 7:7 81:19	46:15 introduction	129:2 132:5,7,24
idea 89:22,23	indemnified	99:15,16 145:18	138:13	133:13 143:18
94:19 116:16	101:21	146:22	investigated 98:23	144:10,14
130:12 131:4,6,7	indemnify 83:18	insightful 141:8	investigating	145:17 146:24 151:3
131:9	independent 81:23	insist 22:3	99:20	issuing 28:15
identify 21:3	81:24 83:11,13	insisted 48:10	investigation	item 130:12
idiosyncrasies 52:8 61:6	91:16 95:9,21	instances 125:8	77:17 81:21 88:6	i's 24:4
idiot 40:24	96:3,6 118:7,10	instantly 82:6	88:23 89:12 90:5	1324.4
ill 119:23	123:23 124:4,5	instead 66:2	90:10,19 94:1,11	J
illegal 121:22	144:24 145:20	117:11 140:13	94:12 95:16,22	jack 8:20 86:16
immediate 15:3,8	145:23 151:18	institution 124:9	96:6 97:21 98:20	jack 8.20 80.10 jacket 5:23
20:6 23:25	indicate 85:9,11	instruction 45:10	106:2,23 107:3	
immediately 20:14	100:7 107:12	45:17,22,23 46:2	111:7,14 112:12	jail 58:21 jar 59:13
30:12 32:24	117:9	46:20	112:15,16 114:4	Jeff 12:1,6,12
80:23 83:25	indication 114:13	instructions 7:23	114:11 115:19	16:11 17:14
154:16	indications 92:8	61:14 93:10,23	117:2,4 126:19	27:10 29:25 30:6
imminent 22:25	indicted 104:19	insubstantial	132:11	34:11,13 49:3
impact 54:17,17	indicting 88:23	64:14	investigations	50:21 52:7 56:5
92:20	indictment 73:1,2	insurance 102:16	71:25 118:12	67:10 134:4
implications	89:9 105:4	102:18	138:23 139:2	Jeffrey 74:11
142:20	121:17 127:20	insuring 148:23	151:14	133:22
importance 38:7	indigents 135:23	integral 8:8 35:25	investigator	Jeff's 44:14
46:9	136:13	integrity 139:6	100:15	jeopardy 109:22
important 5:10	indispensable	intellectual 2:13	investigators	Jeremy 132:21
6:16 8:9 9:10	121:7	intelligence 11:17	152:19 153:3	133:15
13:25 18:19	individual 89:25	153:2	investment 143:14	Jersey 1:4,14,23
33:20 36:2,6,13	100:4 107:15	intensive 128:18	invite 134:11	5:13 9:16 73:6
36:20 37:21	118:22 124:14	intention 28:22	invoke 114:19	73:20 152:14
38:23,24 43:6	137:1 150:2	interactive 133:19	involve 22:24	jettison 115:22
44:10 46:4 47:15	individuals 121:21	interest 81:25 83:4	involved 45:4	117:5
47:17,23 48:15	147:12 149:25	144:8 149:8	57:22 59:3 85:11	jettisoned 111:1
51:6 54:22 55:1	150:14	interested 7:5	85:17 89:13	116:23,25
56:6,7 59:1,4	inexpensive 3:18	114:4,7 129:8	103:4 119:25	Jim 119:7,9
61:20 64:4 68:17	inexperience 54:10	130:18	133:14 139:12	job 8:15 9:1 12:11
	1			



66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 (973) 992-7650 Fax (973) 992-0666 1-888-444-DEPS E-mail: reporters@rrdrcsr.com

108:5 141:24	00.0 01.0 14	52.19.54.20	00.10.05.0.00.1	100
142:7,24 148:8	80:8 81:8,14 82:7,20 87:2	53:18 54:20 59:15 60:7 62:24	80:13 85:3,23,24 87:23 88:1,21,22	laid 53:25 87:24
jobs 153:23	93:25 94:3 95:11	64:10,11 76:1	88:25 89:1,2,13	89:17 122:12 lane 141:6
Joe 2:8 4:7 7:24	100:23 104:4,14	80:19 85:11	89:13 90:6,24	large 40:1 59:21
8:6 11:24 72:16	104:24 106:8,20	87:23 105:4	91:10,17 92:10	91:5 131:22
74:11 135:2,5	115:6,10,13,18	107:19 112:18	92:15 93:19 94:6	largest 4:12
140:3,8,9,10,18 154:4	116:22 117:6,13	113:18 116:12	94:6 95:3 99:6,9	154:23
Joel 137:17	118:15 119:12 120:11 121:6	119:7 133:11 138:8 139:16	99:13,18 101:8	Larry 91:21 97:15
Joe's 8:13 140:15	123:23 124:2,18	143:7 145:24	103:18 108:19 109:16,18,23	last 6:13 7:1,4,23 8:18 36:21 47:4
John 2:7 4:16	124:21 125:20	152:11	110:1,16 111:15	68:11 72:18
40:17 41:3 69:19	126:24 127:22	justice 4:3 5:24 7:8	112:15 116:14	73:17 98:6 129:1
70:18 72:22	128:3,7,9,17,24	78:3 89:11 96:16	117:22 119:16	129:16 132:16
138:11 140:8,18	134:20,24 135:1	97:13,16 111:9	120:7 123:15	133:16 134:12
150:15 join 9:24 152:7	135:7,9 136:13	112:9 135:13,13	125:2 126:7,11	144:22 152:3,11
joined 10:8 15:1	137:8,10,15,19 137:23,25	136:8 137:11 138:4 140:11,16	128:24 131:20	153:11
joint 153:6	138:15 154:4	150:4 151:21	135:18 138:14 140:13 143:22	lastly 46:16 70:8 later 21:12 28:24
Jonathan 137:5	judges 7:2,11	justified 149:2	145:17 151:3	48:11 75:24
Jose 58:12,13,16	13:22 14:6,10	J.Lechner 73:13	152:5	94:18 110:6,15
joy 37:16,17,20	28:7 29:5,19	***************************************	knowing 57:18	149:2 151:22
Jr 140:11	31:13 32:4,17	K	75:11 76:25 99:4	laughed 32:6
judge 2:1 5:13,17 5:18 7:21 8:21	36:16,19,24 37:4	Katherine 11:16	146:21	laundry 67:4
9:4,18,20 10:2,4	37:6,25 38:3,14 39:22 46:8 51:7	18:2 19:13 27:6 33:7 65:7	knowingly 111:7 knowledge 93:22	law 12:14,24 29:18
10:4,5,8,10,12	51:7,9,11,19	Kean 2:25	known 9:18 86:24	29:18 30:1,2,3,4 30:4 36:25 113:4
10:14,20 11:8,16	53:10 55:21	keep 27:8 56:3	139:3,5,16	129:23 130:6,10
12:4 13:12 14:4	58:24 59:22 61:6	61:23 80:5 97:9	knows 86:19 98:1	130:16,18,19
14:6,9,21 15:6	63:20 70:6,12,13	148:11	101:3 105:24	131:5,11,12
15:18 16:13,24	111:3 139:8	kept 58:6	118:20	134:16 135:13
17:19,23 19:25	149:9,11,15,23	key 15:21 42:21	Province of the same of the sa	145:17 150:17
20:20 21:2,14,20 23:6,18,22 24:18	154:14,15 judge's 67:9	126:25	L	151:25
24:19,20,22,25	judgment 120:22	keyed 126:1 127:23	label 27:15 Lacey 2:8 4:16	laws 83:17 lawsuit 47:6
25:3,3,5,14,21	JUDICAL 1:13	kids 54:4 60:3	7:21 9:6,21	118:23
26:2,3,7,8 27:1	judicial 3:14,16,22	65:12 66:1	12:24 13:10	lawsuits 13:6
28:11,22 29:10	45:16 124:19	kind 17:10 25:21	14:21 15:16	lawyer 12:9 13:1
29:12,23,24,25 30:11 31:18 32:1	155:9 Judiciary 2:16	25:22 26:19,21	16:11,14 17:19	19:7 28:23 43:10
32:10,20,22 33:2	juries 50:2 139:8	35:5,8,9,15 53:24 54:6,14,14	19:17 20:11 21:1 21:14 23:4,8,19	47:7,11 51:13 52:7 53:17 54:10
33:6,13,13 34:1	juror 63:23 69:24	57:12 62:19	24:10,19,21	60:3 65:16 85:14
34:13 35:21 36:3	jurors 47:24 48:8	67:14 78:20 88:5	25:25 26:5 27:10	112:22 118:14
36:12,14 37:11	48:11,12,22 50:2	88:11 101:24	29:23 30:6,9,13	135:18 136:4
37:15,17,21 38:3	50:8,11 51:5,5	102:5 112:19	30:17 31:7 32:16	139:4,25 142:24
39:5,7,20 40:12 40:17 41:2,20	54:17,24 57:25 63:22 64:1	139:14,17 148:1	32:20 33:2,22	143:12
42:1,5 43:11,21	jury 31:4 45:11	kindness 86:25	34:2,11,20 35:21	lawyers 6:25 8:20 16:25 26:15 27:5
44:6 45:8,19,20	46:21 47:15,15	kitchen 68:22 knew 12:9 32:7	39:5 40:14,25 41:18,22 43:21	33:3 36:22 38:6
45:24 46:3,5,25	47:19,20,21 48:3	40:9,12 64:17	45:7 46:8 47:1,4	38:10 42:7 43:9
47:12,14,18	48:14,16 49:8,9	99:22 101:6	47:20 48:21 49:2	43:15,16 47:14
48:10,21,25	49:22 51:2,4,16	112:15 146:22	49:14 50:21	52:5,19 53:1,11
51:22 52:9 53:3	52:11 56:16,19	150:8	51:18,25 52:25	53:12,23 54:3
53:4,8,16 54:20 55:8 57:7,12	57:8 58:6,8 61:12 63:7,19,20	know 2:14 3:12 6:24 9:11 12:2,5	53:6,10 55:5	55:21 57:24,24 60:4 61:14,16
58:1,5,9,15,18	64:8,11,13,21,22	17:15 18:19	56:5 58:24 59:18 60:12,25 62:9	62:3,4,7,18,23
59:11,12,18,19	66:16 67:22,25	25:16 31:17,24	63:7,12 64:2	63:4,14 66:15,17
60:4,5,6,12,17	68:12 69:23 89:8	32:1,4 40:17	65:2 67:5,10	70:21 71:1 81:23
60:25 61:3 62:14	121:16 143:7	42:8 43:13,20	68:13 69:14 70:5	82:16,17 92:14
62:22 63:10,17	jury's 64:6	51:23 52:13	72:22 123:23	103:20 132:13
63:18 64:2,3,5,8 64:25 65:2,3,6	just 3:18 6:15 11:13,20 12:8,19	53:24 56:1,25	124:2 128:7	136:19 143:17
65:18,20 67:5,6	15:12 16:2,6	58:22 59:19 61:4 61:23 65:6 67:8	140:8 150:15 lack 124:19	145:8 lawyer's 139:4
67:8 69:4 72:5	17:13,15 25:23	67:9 69:7,8,18	lack 124:19	lay 60:15
73:15 74:9 75:7	28:4 29:22,24	69:22 70:25 73:5	LaConte 138:25	layers 95:23
75:22 77:4,23	30:10 39:19	75:14 76:1 77:9	Lady 5:24 7:7	leading 60:14
78:18,22 79:15	45:13 46:1,7	77:13,24 78:10	lag 83:22 84:2	learned 148:6



			777	167
learning 145:2	lies 110:20 111:7	37:6,9 40:8	56:2	make 7:24 8:17
least 16:21 17:20	112:3	41:24,24 42:1	looking 7:2 15:7	17:2,12 18:2
23:9,15 26:22	life 53:23 54:13,21	46:11,12 67:4	17:20 34:8 51:3	21:24 28:25 29:2
39:7 43:19 73:17	55:2 104:21	97:15	67:2 81:15,24	29:2 30:22,25
73:19 78:9 79:21	lifestyle 8:24	listed 40:3	85:10 89:18	32:18 35:19
91:11 100:8 113:9 121:3	light 148:5	listen 42:18 50:7	94:16 95:20 99:9	38:15 54:12
leave 46:4 113:3	like 4:16,19 5:6	100:23	111:22 112:2	61:22 70:9 71:3
114:25 140:25	9:21 16:15 29:5 32:10 34:23	listened 153:22 listening 57:8 65:7	139:13 144:10	76:12,19 81:6
Lechner 74:9 75:7	36:14 43:23	listing 46:9 76:22	looks 74:17,17	83:2 84:8,13,14
75:22 77:4,23	49:21,22 51:3,12	literally 37:8 54:6	152:23	84:18 86:17 92:6
78:18,22 79:15	51:24,25 56:19	138:12 153:4	loop 78:11 101:1,2	95:6 98:12 101:2
80:8 81:8,14	56:20,20 58:15	literature 129:24	104:7 105:19 lose 35:6 65:14,20	102:1 104:1
82:7,20 87:2	59:15 65:12	litigant 9:3 135:14	67:25 68:1 70:2	106:12 108:8
93:25 94:3 95:11	67:14 69:12,12	litigants 11:3	losing 49:11 50:4	113:23 116:11 123:16 124:3
100:14,23 104:4	70:18 74:17 76:1	13:23 14:21	lot 6:1,19 12:2	127:16 144:5
104:14,24 106:8	77:6 80:15 85:9	35:24 36:1	27:4 28:13 45:24	makes 3:14 50:16
106:20 115:6,10	85:12,13 91:8	134:18 135:16	51:8 53:17 55:19	120:23 136:7
115:13,18	99:6,7 103:13	litigation 12:17,18	56:14,14 58:2	148:15,20
116:22 117:6,13	105:20 108:25	30:21 62:10 65:8	62:15 65:10,17	making 6:3 13:24
118:15 119:7,10	114:25 115:14	70:25 72:13	66:5 67:9 69:8	34:8 41:25 46:10
119:12 120:11	115:21 116:21	73:13 79:22	79:19 82:5 87:25	54:12,25 57:19
124:21 125:20	119:4 121:18	80:18,22 81:1	88:10 91:7 92:13	80:17 86:15
127:22 128:3,17 128:24	127:8,9 132:3	litigator 12:15,20	92:15 97:1 98:7	100:10 114:8
leeway 51:19	138:1 139:7,18	65:9	99:16,20 103:14	129:6 149:4
52:15	141:17 146:25 147:22 154:6,21	litigators 9:13 13:3	105:9 109:22	man 139:5,25
left 9:17,24 10:4	likelihood 22:13	53:12 63:15 71:6 71:7	114:18 116:3	management
40:22 48:9 58:18	101:22 110:2	little 7:4 15:12	119:17,24	103:14
73:4,8,12,16,22	likely 28:1 115:17	17:11 26:6 36:9	129:24 130:7 137:11 150:25	managing 137:4,6
legal 37:9 64:12	likes 36:12 143:24	36:18 38:8,13	152:10 153:22	mandate 128:8
108:4 139:19	likewise 145:14	43:1 52:3 53:1	lots 82:13,16	manipulated 74:25
142:19,20	limine 37:10 61:13	55:3 60:17 62:8	lounges 6:24	manner 42:10 many 4:21 6:8
143:18	62:22,25	65:11 80:18 93:1	love 29:18,19	8:21 9:11,11
legally 114:23	limit 127:16	100:19 108:3	54:13 62:3	10:7 11:9,9
118:3,18	131:18	110:6 144:22	lovely 102:18	12:16,16 13:3,4
legislature 144:6	limited 125:1	live 30:20 53:17	low 53:6 139:16,22	13:14,16 19:18
Lender 2:24	128:23	123:21	lucky 30:11 102:3	27:11 39:11 43:9
lengthy 48:23	limiting 143:18	lives 50:10 144:1	Lum 12:14	44:11 46:13 48:7
72:11 Los 152:16	Linares 10:12,14	152:1	lump 55:15	48:16,24 59:3
Les 153:16	10:20 11:8 12:4	Local 136:16	lunch 134:9	66:17 72:8 73:18
less 97:11 101:20 115:16 127:24	17:19,23 19:25 20:20 21:2 23:18	locally 125:9	150:24	91:14 120:8
let 42:16 43:4	24:19,20 26:8	location 67:15,16 67:16	luncheon 134:7,7	125:18 132:6
58:24 62:18 85:3	29:10 33:2,6	lock 104:10	138:10 140:9	135:15 140:19
94:5,6 109:4	34:1 42:1,5	log 76:21 80:17	154:17 155:3 luxury 146:23	144:3,6 145:6
120:9 129:1	43:21 44:6 45:8	84:12	lying 112:13	149:15 MARCH 1:23
130:11 132:10	46:25 48:21,25	logs 78:15	lying 112.13	market 86:7
147:5 149:7	51:22 53:3,8	long 37:20 48:6	M	marks 86:7
150:17	58:5 60:25 61:3	53:2,14 55:22	machine 110:14	markup 86:11
letter 147:16,19	62:14,22 63:10	57:2 70:14 71:6	made 8:8 11:12	married 11:24
letting 51:9 104:12	65:2,3 69:4	122:10 141:25	12:25 23:9 48:5	Martha 112:21
154:1	Linda 12:24 13:8	142:11 143:19	51:25 57:11 63:2	Massachusetts
let's 16:11 18:1	34:25 49:14	153:20	73:7 84:8 139:9	114:21
24:10,21,23 33:4	54:16 69:14	longer 9:21 97:6	141:7 153:12	matched 34:16
43:12 47:20 74:7	line 57:4 71:8	look 2:10,10 14:16	magistrate 9:18	material 141:5
79:7 81:5,10	78:15,16,20 79:2	18:1,5,15 20:17	10:8 13:12 14:10	materials 6:11
85:21 106:1 120:14 126:13	79:9,10 93:4,10 93:21 98:13	43:12 51:3 52:5	25:5,20 26:2	Matt 136:23
120.14 120.13	101:22 108:5	52:20 75:10 77:22 86:17 94:9	35:13,21 38:3	matter 18:2 19:3
level 9:25 40:10,12	115:20 138:19	95:25 102:9,16	40:12 63:17	24:4 26:18 28:10
88:17,18 142:15	lined 110:11	113:5,17 120:6	magistrates 25:2	29:9 39:11 47:13
liability 117:20	lines 78:23 79:1	121:3 123:11	main 97:16 123:7	53:20 59:6 64:22 67:23 99:12
118:1	94:8 105:22	128:5,7 148:13	maintain 79:23 maintained 8:23	103:9,11,12
liberty 134:21	link 129:13	150:9	major 3:3 121:10	109:23 130:15
lie 112:3	list 15:14 37:3,4,5	looked 32:6 45:2	129:15,17	144:20 150:23



177.04.06.1	1 00.410			1
matters 17:24 26:1	memo 80:4,13	116:2 145:10	77:24 92:18	126:14 130:13
59:4 143:9 146:8	91:21 92:19	148:12 152:25	93:17 95:13	131:11
151:7	96:10,13,15	monitor 123:19	96:23 99:4,25	never 12:4 22:15
mattresses 88:16	98:16 113:20	124:23 125:1,5	125:6 127:24	40:3 62:3 66:17
may 3:9 5:20 7:19	114:6	125:12,25	131:18 137:9,14	108:12 112:9
11:11 14:1,9	memorandum	126:24 127:23	137:22,23 138:5	nevertheless 31:9
21:6,9 23:11,19	98:4	127:25 129:4,6	141:7 145:4,4,11	new 1:4,14,23 5:12
26:21 28:17	memory 98:6	monitors 123:15	146:25 154:18	6:22 9:16 30:3
30:13,18 32:14	memos 107:18	125:9 128:12	Mullin 55:12	56:5 68:17 73:6
34:10,22,22	mention 105:4	month 33:25	multiple 95:23	73:20 74:16 77:6
35:10 38:16 42:8	mentioned 40:25	months 50:9 86:1	multi-billion	78:3 105:17
42:12 43:10 46:1	54:16 112:5	moon 111:2	144:21	126:3,3 150:4
46:4,4 52:20,21	mentor 67:8,8	more 7:15 9:10	multi-national	152:12,14
59:6,25 96:4	mere 135:14	25:23 26:3,4,15	99:11	153:14,16 154:1
97:6 98:9,10,21	merely 15:9	30:15 33:20 43:1	Mulvaney 73:11	Newark 3:9 5:21
98:21 101:6	merit 16:21 17:21	44:5 49:5 64:19	mural 7:8,15	7:2,11 14:16
102:4 104:18,19	120:22 136:2	67:24 76:14	murals 7:9	56:6 144:16
104:20 106:4,4	merits 23:3 24:3	81:22 85:22	must 11:8 47:24	153:6,16
107:21 113:16	message 60:9	86:12 87:10 88:1	muster 144:25	news 139:19
113:21 114:8,24	145:11 147:13	88:1 94:9 110:7	myself 76:22	newsletter 6:12
116:3,16 117:19	met 21:17 96:21	116:9 120:23	137:25 145:8	New`Jersey 35:2
118:1,23 135:19	middle 43:11	135:2 138:8		91:7 97:1 130:18
143:2,13 144:21	45:17 120:17,19	143:2 145:15	N	133:1 153:9
147:6 149:5	midstream 43:5	151:12	name 4:7 58:12,16	New Jerseyans
150:2,3	might 28:8 32:10	morning 4:6 7:22	names 67:9 149:24	145:22
maybe 16:17 18:22	32:10,11,25 35:9	8:4 64:9 69:21	149:25	New York 5:5
24:17 26:5 28:24	50:4 60:1 69:25	74:1,12,14 79:8	narrow 84:10	65:22 111:10
31:21 43:15 93:4	75:7,9 81:2	80:14 143:25	85:12 128:9	112:11 130:19
94:4 100:19	89:24 103:11	146:12	narrowing 86:21	next 8:12 10:12
115:24 120:17	118:21 141:25	morning's 9:7	87:21	11:25 17:5 21:13
MAYFAIR 1:22	Mike 72:18,20	Moryan 12:1,6,12	nation 2:15 70:15	26:17 52:17
McElroy 73:10	108:16	16:12 27:10 28:3	nature 62:24 143:4	65:20 68:19
Meadowlands 144:17	military 86:4 million 152:6	30:8,10,15,24	Neal 55:12,12	71:10,24 153:12
mean 21:4 22:15	millions 79:12	31:16,24 32:19 34:10,11,12 49:3	near 51:16 147:24	night 64:17
49:3 62:17 71:20	minced 109:24	49:4 50:21,25	necessarily 49:19	nine 48:10,11,25 66:2
97:1 115:16	mind 11:3 61:22	56:5,9,20 58:20	necessary 5:8	Nobel 148:11
119:14	83:19 96:11	62:20 67:10,11	55:17,25	nobody 57:6 86:22
means 22:16 91:17	97:10 100:7,9	most 6:7 15:23	necessity 14:17 necks 58:15	nominated 72:20
91:24 140:25	144:12	19:15 28:7 29:5	need 14:19 15:3	nominee 153:17
143:24 145:21	mindful 83:2	33:23 36:12	16:25 18:3,19,20	nominees 3:24
148:23	minimum 48:22	47:17 48:17	19:7 20:3,6	none 154:10
measure 88:18	minute 49:13	54:22 55:1 64:4	21:10 26:25 31:4	Nonetheless 3:16
measures 148:25	120:9 149:7	64:7 68:17 70:10	38:5 48:8.17.18	non-argumentat
mechanical 5:3	minutes 14:15	70:15 91:15	48:21 54:8 58:22	29:4
media 139:17	17:18 29:11	107:24 109:6	65:21 66:1 76:12	non-compete 15:2
mediation 9:12	71:20,20 146:4	118:11 124:22	77:14 83:4,11	non-designated
32:21 33:7,12,14	Mirandizing	138:13,21,22	84:19 85:12,14	48:17
33:21	110:24	141:8 145:22	91:16 92:3 94:10	non-judges 149:24
mediations 9:2	missed 104:4	mostly 12:18	95:3,20 96:5	non-prosecution
meet 93:6 142:4	mission 3:20 95:16	motion 15:14	97:4 115:24	129:19
meeting 33:3 82:7	mistake 48:5 57:19	35:12 37:10	116:16 121:15	Normally 22:3
90:21 93:3,3,8	misunderstood	44:14 45:21	128:21 147:25	nostalgic 141:6
93:12,19,23 94:4	117:6	62:19,22	148:13 149:5	note 4:10 128:22
109:2 133:16	mix 79:13 143:17	motions 11:10	150:13 152:24	131:15 154:6
141:10	model 153:18	57:11 61:13	needed 83:8	notes 68:5 98:5,10
meetings 132:17	moderated 72:19	62:17,18,25	140:12	98:12 110:4,8,11
143:24 154:13	72:19	mouth 139:17	Needless 58:14	nothing 11:21
154:14 member 2:17 6:13	moderating 72:21	move 34:20,23	needs 61:7 93:16	65:10 75:4,5
103:23 104:1	moderator 72:4	35:19 36:17 51:25 52:6 78:4	121:2 127:13	100:18 109:9
137:20	modify 110:6 moment 2:21 16:9	82:3 155:4	138:13 144:13	notice 14:8 16:17
members 4:1 6:19	63:25 81:11	movie 69:18	nefarious 44:21	18:15,16,20,22 19:4 23:6 42:23
7:1 119:15 133:2	106:1 124:25	moving 13:17	negative 99:17	55:23 80:20 81:1
154:7	Monday 74:12	much 4:4 33:14	negotiate 123:2 negotiated 126:8	83:25 84:6
membership 6:16	money 50:4 95:13	50:3 70:16 74:5	negotiations	notification 41:11
	,	, _ , _ , , , , , , , , , , , ,		



notify 29:21	142:18 145:7,13	93:15 94:2,5,20	38:4,17 39:3,6	100:12 101:10	
notwithstanding	146:3,9,9 147:4	95:1,24 102:8,10	39:10,21 40:16	101:18 105:1,19	
42:12	147:9 148:9	102:16 104:5	40:20 41:23	111:15 112:12	
November 57:20	149:10 150:18	105:3 106:2,23	42:10,13,16,23	113:23 114:7	
number 4:13 6:20	150:19 152:12	107:18 109:12	43:8,13,17 44:16	115:10 116:6	
8:7,9 18:1 20:12	152:23 153:5	112:10,12 114:6	44:19,23 45:3,3	121:22 122:12	
43:25 45:4 47:24	officer 78:4	119:6 120:4,5,17	46:9,12,16 54:4	124:22 125:22	
64:3 76:5 86:15 94:5 102:10	officers 3:16 4:1 58:1 70:22 83:18	121:12 122:10 125:4 126:8	68:18,21,25 69:6 69:10 147:10	126:25 127:1	
125:8 139:1	102:19 103:1	128:15 130:1,14	152:12 153:11	129:24 134:5,16 142:13 143:2	
146:4 149:13,18	132:10	135:2,7 138:8	orders 25:11 39:25	145:18 146:22	
numbers 111:2	officer's 93:14	143:4,10 144:3	40:3,4	148:9 152:22,25	
numerous 3:5	official 81:1	146:10,15	ordinary 102:13	outcome 68:8	
Nunc 6:11	offset 116:6	147:16 150:8,15	organic 25:23	outset 13:21 47:12	
nurses 148:7	often 13:17,21	150:22 152:3,14	organization 2:3,9	144:9 147:14	
	14:23 17:8 18:7	153:2 154:10	2:13 4:21	outside 4:25 5:6,24	
0	19:22 20:2,8,10	onerous 35:23	Organized 10:6	37:13 57:22 76:9	
oath 30:18	21:15 25:6,23 33:24 34:6 51:8	96:15 ones 122:21	original 2:16 26:9	76:16,19 77:15	
object 69:2 objected 7:12	53:25 59:5,16	ongoing 147:5	31:9 originally 14:5	80:15 81:6 82:3 90:9 106:4	
objection 37:7	60:13 66:23	onion 147:1	130:15	109:16 120:3	
42:25 45:18	69:19 96:22	only 8:11 14:1	other 6:2,4 7:14	outstanding	
objections 41:25	142:21	16:17 36:7 51:11	8:19 11:18 12:2	145:25 154:6	
42:1 61:21 62:23	oftentimes 19:14	56:10 82:25	15:19,22 16:6	outweigh 121:15	
objective 148:25	21:7 26:13,16	113:24 125:14	24:12 26:4,15	over 2:22 11:22,22	
obligation 25:14	46:1	130:23 131:15	32:12 41:9 43:4	15:7 33:13 39:9	
107:7 108:5,7	oh 15:25 26:7 69:1	132:6 139:24	43:13 44:3 47:25	39:17,25 43:24	
113:16 118:24	okay 52:13 58:12	141:4	55:23 62:18,23	44:5 52:2 53:1	
obligations 103:6	114:12 119:10 121:2 142:20	open 7:6 15:4 86:7 94:8 152:1	63:1,5 66:9 72:19 75:20	59:7 61:13 72:15	
129:21 obstructing 111:9	old 5:25 142:1,12	opening 49:21	78:13 81:17	108:1 110:3,16 110:19,21	
obstruction 84:16	oldest 2:15 70:14	openings 68:2	82:15 83:8 86:4	113:20 115:17	
112:8	ombudsman 79:3	opens 29:12	86:13 92:25	119:24 121:1	
obtain 8:10	79:4	operating 27:14,17	93:18 97:16	122:15 123:17	
obviously 19:25	omission 110:22	operator 58:11	105:3,21 119:17	129:16 130:8	
20:8 42:21 59:8	omissions 111:23	opined 44:4	123:12 124:17	134:24 135:22	
97:22 100:25	omnibus 99:9	opinion 44:10 45:1	125:21 127:25	141:21 143:15	
128:14 147:7	101:23 once 15:11 19:5	opinions 143:18 opportunities	130:1 145:12 147:11 150:14	148:2 149:11	
occur 21:23 60:1 121:13	23:24 26:8,10	88:10	150:16	150:5,10 154:24 overall 60:7 73:3	
occurred 15:18	48:5 63:23 80:20	opportunity 55:23	others 10:18 56:8	111:24	
44:11 121:23	98:24 105:8	124:1 127:5	118:13 147:1,15	overboard 103:2	
odds 49:5	118:20 121:1	146:6	otherwise 101:4	109:18 112:24	
off 45:13 47:19	154:21	opposed 44:17	126:2	113:6,14 114:24	
52:22 54:4 56:4	one 2:15 3:10 5:3	48:2 101:24	ought 17:25 45:23	overriding 144:8	
64:25 65:12	7:4,9,11 8:17	139:13,22	116:19	oversee 145:18	
81:22 87:8 88:18	10:15 11:12 12:8	opposing 22:4 41:13	out 9:23 15:25 16:6,24 17:17	oversight 146:3 owe 116:3	
128:16 155:3,3	13:5,6,22 14:5 14:10 15:21,24	opposite 59:7	19:6,17 21:8	own 12:10 29:19	
offer 37:8 39:3 130:14 131:16	16:5 18:1 24:8	option 127:20	26:24 28:19	29:20 40:7 50:22	
131:16,19	26:22 29:18	128:14,15	29:25 30:1 31:2	53:23 56:11 61:6	
133:14 142:25	31:19 34:15 36:7	130:23 131:2	32:25 33:21,24	81:7 100:7	
143:17	36:15,16,18 41:7	options 116:4	35:8 36:13 37:12	114:11 119:2	
offering 40:7	43:18 44:1,3,6	131:4	41:23 42:7 46:4	121:8	
offers 36:11 41:19	45:6,19 47:25	oral 19:15	50:3,6,9 52:8	o'clock 18:8 69:21	
office 3:15 7:11	49:7 50:22 51:24 53:20 55:9 57:18	orally 80:9 ORANGE 1:23	53:25 54:1,3,15 58:8 61:5,7	143:25 O'Connell 137:4	
9:24 10:1 29:11	62:21 63:18 64:7	orbit 89:12	62:17 66:18	137:13	
29:16 72:8 73:10 73:24 74:17	64:11,25,25	order 6:14 13:18	67:19,20 68:6	131.13	
76:21 86:25 87:4	66:19 67:24,25	14:18 19:9,19,20	69:20 70:9 75:9	P	
88:20 91:9 96:5	68:15 70:14,22	21:16 22:24	75:17 79:1,8	pace 146:24	
99:11 107:9	72:10,19 74:21	23:19 24:4 26:9	83:20,25 84:6	pack 15:10 141:5	
108:14 114:1	74:21 75:19	26:19,20 28:6,11	85:17,17 87:24	packet 6:11	
131:14 137:5,6	78:13,21 81:17	28:24 29:15	89:17 91:14 92:4	pages 6:14 18:18	
138:17 140:23	81:18 83:1,10	34:21 35:20,23	95:22 98:13 99:3	41:10,10,11	
140:24 142:16	86:3 90:14 91:15	36:4,9,20,22	99:15,25 100:5	paid 4:13,13 86:22	
Dizmon 66 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue					



101 12 15 21	1.52.14	1	l ,	
101:13,15,21 102:1,4,21 103:6	153:14 parts 74:10 86:3,5	permit 24:8 52:25	plan 93:7	63:21 81:25
panel 8:11,12 9:7	86:6,6 121:7	permitted 46:13 61:18	planning 77:14 88:23	82:14 88:2 90:13 90:15,17 113:25
37:12 55:8 70:19	party 14:10	person 40:19	plans 76:23	139:11 151:18
71:24 72:21,22	pass 47:24	46:11,13 57:8	plaques 135:12	153:17 154:2
72:24 102:20	passing 11:23	79:9 80:9 87:19	play 10:18 35:25	positions 132:13
117:17 131:24	past 2:22 3:10	89:12,14 103:2	83:20 117:12	134:1 141:11
132:4 148:5 panelist 9:17 10:12	136:20 145:5 patchwork 148:1	108:24 111:6 115:3 118:14,20	126:16	possibilities
11:25 12:20	Patty 9:18,18,23	147:23 150:10	players 10:18 123:8	120:16 possibility 17:5
panelists 8:1,3,10	10:2 42:22	persona 139:15	plays 111:24	113:20
28:2 31:8,19	Pau 150:3,5	personal 8:18	149:10	possible 68:2 83:5
63:13 70:19,21	Paul 72:3,5,14	43:22 50:3 78:21	pleads 102:15	91:19 113:10
panels 72:18 146:11	103:13 130:1	80:17 93:14	pleasant 135:10	possibly 27:14
paper 17:7 37:3,9	pay 83:13,18 101:16,19 102:2	personalities 84:20 personality 124:13	please 66:10 133:14 134:3	40:6 144:25 post 7:10 152:15
38:1 41:9,12	115:1 118:5	personally 37:20	pleased 143:11	post 7.10 132.13
papers 15:8 16:22	paying 27:16	62:3 94:2 102:2	pleasure 10:13	posted 136:16
17:21 18:1 20:21	86:11 118:6	persons 123:10	11:15,25 138:9	potatoes 115:23
20:22 29:2,8,19	payment 116:5	person's 108:4	plus 2:23 82:14	potential 63:1
29:20 31:10 32:3	peel 147:1	perspective 34:17	145:23	131:7
34:8 66:24 paperwork 16:10	peer 3:4 peeves 64:4	88:15 92:19 93:14 121:3	pockets 68:5,6 podium 51:12,12	potentially 24:2 57:19 100:16,17
19:2 21:3 26:10	pen 41:12	perspectives 36:19	52:22	104:19,21
66:21	penalty 142:13	persuaded 63:20	podiums 68:5	poured 152:6
parade 56:16	pending 19:23	pet 64:4	point 19:8 21:2	power 73:1,2
paralegal 58:16	115:1	Peter 151:21	22:1,7,10,14	125:6
paralegals 58:2	Pennsylvania 5:5	phenomenal 8:14	24:23 26:24 27:3	powers 128:7,23
parameters 39:15 paraphrased	150:11 people 6:5,20	Philadelphia 153:7 phone 8:9 36:25	32:22 33:18,20 38:25 47:21 52:5	practical 17:24 24:3 27:4 29:9
110:15	32:21 44:22 49:5	80:12 150:21	75:11,16,24 81:8	45:14 46:7 70:20
parent 27:20	52:10 56:13 58:2	phoniness 17:9	89:14,18 90:3	71:5 148:14
park 41:5 137:5	59:24 71:16 82:6	phony 79:12	92:8 94:15 96:4	practice 2:14 8:25
part 5:10 8:8	82:17 85:6	pick 5:7 48:23	98:10 103:2	26:14 33:15
25:20 37:20 44:25 53:18 61:4	103:10 105:19	63:18 123:19 124:9	105:3 106:20	35:12 39:7 40:13
61:9 65:8 66:8	106:23 111:8 112:3,13 116:21	picked 124:15,16	107:21 111:16 115:23 120:3	40:23 53:19 61:5 72:12 73:17
81:3 91:5 92:25	117:18 118:4,8	picking 61:11	127:22 128:11	118:8 139:4
115:19 129:21	118:11 119:11	68:12	134:23 148:9	143:5,10 145:19
140:24 141:4,8	119:23,25 120:3	picky 60:17	155:8	practices 132:25
146:2 148:6	120:8 123:7,16	picture 52:21	pointer 53:8	133:7 142:17
parte 14:7 21:22 participate 48:24	133:13 143:7 145:7,18 148:21	pictures 66:22 piece 152:22 153:2	pointing 90:25 Police 153:15	143:22
participated 13:20	149:16 150:25	pieces 152:22 155:2	policies 113:1	practicing 63:21 practitioners
43:17	153:12 154:25	piggy 102:2	132:25	19:18
participation	people's 148:16	pink 68:6	policy 102:18	pray 67:9
154:8	pepper 21:8	place 24:13,24	113:2 151:8,8	pre 43:12 125:13
particular 18:20 27:17 33:20 34:6	per 118:21 perceived 51:5	30:19 40:20 51:11 59:14	political 150:1	preamble 118:25 precisely 120:13
45:18 47:24	percent 86:8,11,12	80:22 83:16	politics 124:11 poobah 73:13	preclude 125:19
53:13 59:20	93:19 110:4	84:18,25 87:19	poor 36:25 52:2	predecessors
125:19 129:9	119:4	123:15 126:23	Poplar 36:18	145:6
147:20	percentage 40:1	140:6,25 148:2	73:16 99:1	predict 150:12
particularly 47:18	perfect 29:2	148:24	101:14 103:13	prejudice 122:17
62:21 70:19 82:24 137:20	perfectly 84:17 performance	placed 75:8 123:13 123:15 130:13	103:18 104:17 109:6 110:10,21	preliminarily 117:25
particulars 151:14	54:14	147:7	112:5,8 130:24	preliminary 14:12
parties 24:7 26:11	perhaps 7:15	places 152:10	132:20	14:20 19:24
40:7 43:24 44:1	17:22 23:11 34:8	plaintiff 14:17	pops 32:25	20:19 22:13
58:6 64:17	46:16 64:19,19	48:13 49:16	Port 144:16	23:15 24:1,14,22
partner 12:2 57:1	68:23 133:19	50:19	portion 95:2	28:18 34:9
57:6,16 73:10,24 137:4,6	period 122:9,11,15 122:16 123:17	plaintiffs 35:17 41:18	111:17 Ports 144:16	premature 94:14 preparation 35:1
partners 4:2 12:5	permanent 28:15	plaintiff's 13:1	posed 44:18	61:10 67:17,17
40:2 146:17	30:1	48:19 50:17	Positan 12:15	67:17
partnership	permission 51:16	57:14,25	position 55:7	prepare 14:12
	I		l l	



		1	1	1
31:5 35:3 36:8	privilege 4:7 76:21	proffering 38:19	139:15,23 141:2	135:4 138:10
36:22 38:23	97:3 98:9 107:22	profile 77:6	144:8 147:11	139:22 140:3
40:16 67:18,19	107:24,25	profits 86:17		
			publication 5:22	radar 140:5
67:20 68:17	109:23 110:1	program 2:2,6,6	publications	raise 37:10 64:12
69:16,16 70:8	119:1 132:9,10	4:16 5:3,9,11	139:19	66:6
90:10 152:20	132:15,19 133:9	7:24 9:8 71:9,10	publicly 113:12	raised 62:13 64:17
prepared 14:1,18	136:25	72:15 134:23	133:7	92:23 113:11
31:2 32:9 38:24	privileged 94:23	138:4 154:22	published 3:3	131:21 132:24
43:3 44:24 45:19	94:25 97:6,6	programs 2:5 4:24	publisher 3:4	raises 92:9
63:19 67:2	Prize 148:11			•
		55:19 70:24,24	punish 121:20	Rappaport 1:25
146:18,21 155:4	pro 6:11 134:16,17	71:3,4 126:3,4	purpose 25:22	71:9
preparedness	134:22 135:12	154:8 155:3	42:22 50:5,11	rarity 3:2 21:20
152:13,21	135:16,24 136:5	progresses 43:2	60:23	rather 10:22,22
preparing 35:10	136:10,11,15,18	projects 147:5	purposes 94:5	ratification 103:21
35:15 38:25 40:2	136:19 137:21	promise 65:19	pursuit 137:11	ratified 124:7
43:7 76:21	138:3	prompt 4:2		
			push 127:24	raw 57:16
prepped 17:8	proactive 105:1	promptly 76:14,17	put 7:10,15 9:8	reached 39:25
presence 8:10	probably 12:3	80:13	16:25 19:19	117:24 149:1
61:18	28:4 51:25 67:19	proper 37:1	31:22 39:3 42:23	reaching 63:7
present 56:18 89:8	80:14 81:20	proposed 41:13	44:25 45:24	react 56:17 91:12
134:22 151:16	87:25 89:21,22	45:22	50:15 54:14 55:1	reaction 80:8
presentation 51:14	90:1 93:1,2,19	propound 41:9	66:19 68:22	86:23 140:14
68:3	100:8 101:9,11	propound 41.9		
			78:24 84:18	reacts 114:5
presented 14:5	105:5 109:6,7	props 55:14,18	87:15 95:1	read 6:4 20:16,21
PRESENTS 1:8	142:1 149:8	prosecute 112:2	105:16,19	20:22 21:2 26:11
preservation 83:25	150:20	120:18,18 121:4	111:25 113:22	38:11 43:8,19
84:5	probing 141:13	prosecuted 112:13	125:22 126:3	44:23 45:23
preserving 84:4	problem 23:4	129:16,18	127:9 132:8	68:25 146:15
president 4:8	53:12 62:3 63:1	prosecuting 111:8	134:5 142:19	reading 3:6
79:11 132:20	65:25 74:19	prosecution 10:5	143:2 146:15	ready 32:23 70:9
133:22	77:11,15 80:1	71:25 74:4,6	147:2 150:7	real 14:17 15:13
press 2:20 6:3,15	95:19 100:16	96:18,19 97:18		
	105:7 114:12		puts 15:10 126:25	22:8,20 67:15,15
100:4 139:19		97:19 112:6	127:1 152:14	77:5 103:19
144:9	116:23,25	120:22,24	putting 31:3,8	104:17 105:6
pressure 54:6	117:14,21 118:2	121:14,15,25	35:25 41:12	108:21 123:21
132:8	118:16 125:1	122:4,8,23 123:5	124:24 144:19	146:1
pressures 132:14	143:20	123:9 124:2,7,20		realistic 22:17
pretrial 35:22 39:6	problematic 76:2	126:21,23 127:1	Q	24:8 41:21 152:2
39:10,21,25 40:2	78:8 79:17	127:15 129:19	quality 149:14,15	realize 48:18 82:6
40:4,16,19 41:23	problems 62:15	130:4,8	149:16,25	145:22
42:10,12,16,22	75:14 77:13	prosecutions 73:23		realized 58:17
43:7,17 44:15,19	101:18 118:22		150:14	
		105:18,21	quarterly 154:13	realizing 27:8
44:23 45:2,3	121:23 123:14	151:13	quarters 3:22	really 16:5 17:12
46:9,12,16 61:11	Procedure 3:19	prosecutor 107:7	question 16:8	18:4 20:2 22:12
68:18,21,25 69:6	proceed 39:17	109:19 110:16	36:13 44:18	22:15 25:17
69:10	119:4 127:20	110:17 138:24	51:20 56:10	31:11 39:17 41:6
pretty 17:17 76:17	proceeding 22:6	prosecutors 72:10	108:23 116:9	47:10 54:7,25
80:13 87:22	22:20 38:22	73:9 84:15	119:3	55:3 56:13 69:2
89:18 96:1,23	105:6	prospect 41:16	questioned 78:2	95:18 96:14 97:4
97:12 109:20,21	process 7:13 39:2	protect 83:2,4 95:7	questions 15:21	103:8 105:25
134:10,10 145:8	142:2 143:13	113:8,24,25		114:15 119:8,25
150:9 153:10	145:3 148:19,24	114:18	21:9 85:21	120:23 125:10
			107:10 108:22	
prevail 48:19	149:3,4,9,23	protection 132:19	141:13 142:18	125:16
prevent 79:25	produce 94:20	133:1	149:2	reams 41:9
115:18	127:17	protects 113:4	quick 17:18	reason 38:15 95:17
previous 81:12,16	produced 98:11	proven 11:22	quickly 11:10	96:3 101:4
106:10	product 10:5	provide 3:17 4:2	17:17 35:19	112:15
price 74:25	132:10,19 133:1	102:12 127:18	59:23 91:19	reasonably 33:5
primary 3:20	production 82:13	148:22	152:14	102:3
103:9 152:24	84:7,11,17 85:14	provided 14:8	quiet 153:20	reasons 38:12
primer 136:16	87:12,14 88:5	provider 105:12	quite 2:7 42:9 89:7	124:16,17
Princeton 137:6	products 27:16	provocative 7:25		recalling 11:1
prior 10:15 120:4	79:13 105:12	provided ve 7.23	128:10 129:25	
			D	receive 19:18
priority 147:8,9	profession 62:4	Prudential 130:21	R	received 3:4 14:2
private 8:25 9:3	professionals 63:5	public 113:12	rabbis 150:8	14:15 97:19
72:12 73:17	Professor 2:24	119:21 136:20	Rabner 134:8	125:17
	1	۱ ا	· ·	



				1 / 64
recent 9:11	relative 40:18,22	respect 29:7 92:10	125:20 128:3	saved 47:4
recently 36:21	relatively 24:14	107:3 139:15	135:15 141:19	saw 5:23 12:10
43:22	128:9	respected 9:15	145:7,25 149:16	33:13 62:9 69:18
reception 7:2	relevance 147:6	119:21	151:17,23	139:6
Recess 71:23	relief 13:17 15:4	respectful 69:23	154:16	saying 14:15 27:21
134:14	19:4 125:19	69:23	rights 49:24 50:9	42:22 89:19
recipient 137:15	reluctant 101:16	respond 61:24	107:8 114:20	96:17 99:16
recipients 136:20 138:2	rely 32:13 relying 147:1	83:21 100:24	risk 22:25 105:16	104:5 110:25
recognize 15:16	remain 147:8	responding 79:20 response 41:11	110:23 115:2	126:12 127:3
recognized 10:21	remains 24:13	85:21	Rizman 71:9 road 31:22 92:22	says 24:1 27:7
recognizes 136:3	remarkable	responsibilities	roadmap 69:2	64:11 74:18 80:5 90:8 95:11
recommend	144:14	54:10	Robinson 3:7	107:19 110:2
109:17 115:5	remarks 154:5	responsibility	rock 139:22	117:1,3 126:24
recommendations	remedy 96:25	103:25	role 35:25 47:15	scandalous 148:6
144:5	remember 2:2	responsive 84:10	61:4 98:22	scared 67:7
recommended	60:22 63:19 65:8	94:8	144:23 149:10	scenario 87:23
142:13	127:7	rest 30:21	153:13	115:11 119:20
recommending	remote 109:10	restore 148:16	roll 69:9	119:25
82:15	replacement 44:2	restoring 7:14	rolled 43:24 44:5	scene 82:25
record 24:9 38:14	replied 130:17	149:6	rolling 85:14 87:13	schedule 14:11
38:17	report 5:14,17	restraining 21:16	rollover 44:11,16	15:10,12 19:9
records 79:24 80:2	79:4 88:6,7	22:24 34:21	44:17	22:11 24:7
recoup 116:1	90:10,11 91:23	restraint 14:8	rollovers 45:4	115:14
redo 37:1	94:20,24 95:1,3	19:23 20:7 32:11	Ron 2:20	scheduled 18:7
redone 149:5	104:13 106:12	restraints 13:19,24	room 38:4 53:23	48:23
reelection 147:18	106:21 133:7 PEROPTED 1-25	15:8,24 18:14,21	58:25 64:22	schedules 26:10
refer 25:25 32:20 33:6	REPORTED 1:25	19:21 20:24 22:2	70:11 108:16	scheme 98:22
referred 36:7	reporting 104:14 reports 40:7 94:20	22:13 23:2,10,13 23:25 26:20	154:16 Rowe 136:22	schemes 86:2
referring 44:7	97:9	result 136:12	rub 47:19	Schlesinger 136:24 Schneider 137:17
reflects 149:21	represent 82:21	results 111:19	rule 36:8 45:21	137:19,22
refresh 9:14	85:4 94:11 98:19	112:16	67:15	school 25:9 60:2
refs 10:23	107:14,14,20,20	resume 150:5,9	rules 3:19 18:13	66:1 75:10 130:6
refused 114:10	108:8 135:15,23	retained 98:24	40:5 51:24 53:14	130:10,16,18,20
refuses 101:10	representation	retainer 119:13	62:2 136:17	131:5,11,12
117:5	131:22 136:10	retrospective	148:1,10 150:20	scope 85:13 86:21
refusing 114:3	136:13,15	123:6	ruling 11:13 45:5	87:21 129:3
regard 18:19,25	represented 85:4	return 19:10 23:15	61:22	scout 70:9
26:20,20 42:25	108:19,25	26:9,16 75:4,12	rulings 11:4 34:9	screaming 17:16
44:12 45:11 61:9	117:23	89:9 121:16	38:16 45:6	screen 66:15 140:5
61:20 65:9 66:10	representing 82:8	revenue 86:16	rumor 40:18,21	scribbles 110:12
79:5 81:22,24	87:20 89:22	revenues 74:24	run 4:23 77:16	script 107:17
104:8 105:15	107:4 143:5	reverse 82:19	81:21 82:5 125:5	se 118:21 135:16
116:3,4,4	represents 137:10	review 18:12 19:2	125:11,12 126:2	135:24
regarding 126:14	reputation 12:10 12:25	39:24 64:20 96:8	127:10 running 33:10	seamlessly 4:24
regardless 149:25 registered 154:24	reputational 110:9	97:22 99:21 reviewed 106:6,7	76:5 127:8,11	seasoned 9:13 12:15 13:1,3
registered 154.24	request 135:23	reviews 3:5	Rutgers 3:4 6:14	30:4 135:18
registration	requested 15:24	rewarding 154:1	130:22,24	SEC 76:13 80:21
154:23	requesting 147:19	rhetoric 31:10	100.22,21	123:24
regular 150:24	require 14:8 45:16	Rich 102:6	S	second 2:15 5:10
regularly 139:4	45:21 83:17	RICO 72:9	Saiber 136:23	6:22 17:24 39:19
regulations 144:19	123:9 129:20	rid 115:9 116:20	salaries 145:10	54:11 86:13
144:19 148:2	required 18:23	right 15:17 18:24	sales 79:11,12	94:24 103:16
reinforced 101:17	19:4 118:18	20:7 21:3 28:18	86:15,16	128:14 135:12
relate 50:12	researching 3:1	28:19 30:8 35:12	Sally 74:16	137:15 140:16
relation 39:6	residing 78:1	35:13 47:16,21	Sam 140:17	seconds 96:12
relations 150:17	resolution 24:3	60:19 63:11 65:4	same 36:16 40:22	secrets 17:14
relationship 27:12	resolve 17:9,10	65:19 78:17	153:4	section 12:17 38:7
81:12,16 106:5	26:12 28:9	100:2 103:17	Samuel 140:11	38:12
119:9 120:8,12	resolved 9:12	104:3,24,25	sanctions 97:20	Security 152:13
150:19 151:24 relationships	19:15 26:18,23 28:14 34:19	105:2 107:5 111:20 114:19	sat 141:10	see 2:6 6:10 7:7,8 7:19 8:1 11:20
107:25 120:4	129:18	114:23 115:22	satisfied 19:8 21:17	11:23 16:20 19:2
151:25	resolving 64:19	118:4,7 119:2	save 145:9	20:22 21:3 27:2
101.20			Sect 0 1 13.7	



20.5 25.5 6 40.2	22:10 44:8 61:24	significant 39:21	144:20	110.1 104.0
29:5 35:5,6 40:3 41:18 42:17 57:6	86:4 107:1	97:12 109:20	smaller 145:4	118:1 124:9 128:21
57:12 60:13	122:12,16,22	134:21 146:1	smart 124:10	someplace 7:16
62:16,17 66:17	134:10 147:14	significantly 92:16	Smith 74:16	something 6:15
66:19 75:16 88:3	Seton 130:6,9,23	simple 55:18 56:3	Society 3:7 5:12,15	16:3 23:23 25:19
90:7 97:1,2	setting 109:1	150:20	5:19 6:2,17 7:1,6	26:4 30:12 42:12
101:24 102:9	settle 40:14	simpler 53:24	soda 142:10	42:20 43:10,23
111:2,23 112:25	settled 34:3 123:25	simplify 53:22	soft 148:14	46:2,4 54:1 63:3
113:1,2 114:4	settlement 32:17	simply 118:8	solely 8:3	68:9 75:24 79:1
120:21 121:9,12	41:17	146:23 148:23	solicit 133:2	81:2 90:8 93:16
128:14 151:21 153:11 155:2	seven 54:3 seventh 28:12	since 8:18 25:1 57:12 74:13	solid 150:9 solos 25:18	98:10 109:11 112:18 120:19
seeing 11:1 31:10	several 6:4 41:1	76:10 84:1 92:9	some 2:2 9:20	120:25 121:2
80:24 145:15	severe 97:20	131:6,21	10:18,23,23,24	130:13
seek 42:4 76:16	severely 96:19	single 62:12 63:13	11:3 14:8 15:17	sometimes 19:6,13
101:14	sexual 78:25	63:13 64:25	16:16,21,25 17:3	21:4 38:4,5
seeking 13:18 23:1	share 88:2 90:2,12	69:16,24	17:24 19:10 20:5	55:16,17 56:4
seem 17:21	111:16	sink 31:17 68:22	22:5,20 23:11,19	60:20 97:2 99:5
seemed 12:7 124:2	shared 88:7,8	110:7	23:20 24:17,23	122:10,10
seems 16:21	shareholders	sit 20:21 28:7 50:6	25:14,22 26:1,19	son 141:23
103:13 seen 17:20 70:11	116:13 125:11 127:12,17	site 97:14 129:10 133:18,18,25	27:22 29:15 30:16 31:7,10,13	soon 2:19 11:5 35:3 71:21 80:6
118:1 125:9,25	sharing 88:17	136:16	31:21 32:6,11	sophisticated
selected 124:6	she'll 81:17 87:16	sits 24:1 110:13	35:23 37:3,6,25	100:11 110:17
selecting 48:15	88:1	sitting 5:25 52:4	38:3,14,15,16,16	sophistication
selection 47:21	shirts 68:6	75:25 77:7 78:5	40:14 46:16 51:7	40:10 108:24
49:8,9	shoes 102:23	84:4 86:21 87:17	51:9,11,19 52:25	sorry 37:17
sell 105:9	short 23:14 24:14	90:22 103:19	55:13,14 56:2	sort 22:20 59:15
sells 86:3,8,9	24:17 28:9,14	126:11	57:3 59:6 62:7	sought 15:9
seminar 45:14	41:2 63:9	situation 26:5	68:23 71:2,14	sounds 32:10
71:21 seminars 71:14,15	shorter 53:4 shot 111:2 120:4	31:21 33:4 34:14 42:9,19 76:24	72:1 74:3 75:14 76:19 85:12,15	sources 112:1 Southern 48:7
send 14:10 27:1	show 13:18 19:19	78:8 82:11,19	85:19 86:23	73:20
80:4,13	19:20 20:12	94:16 112:19	87:10,13,14 88:4	speak 66:3 105:19
sending 145:11	22:19 25:11	116:20 119:18	89:15,23 91:15	140:1,13 151:7
senior 89:24 91:16	52:21 66:15	123:21 124:15	92:11 93:21 94:9	speaker 134:7,7
114:2	88:11,20	125:2 126:13	96:4,9,25 97:5	135:3 138:10
sense 20:17 50:4	showed 154:25	128:9 144:25	98:10 99:22	140:9
50:11,16 56:16	showing 28:25	situations 16:16	101:7 102:12	speakers 134:12
59:22 98:13	90:17 shown 128:19	27:24 34:24 42:8 42:17 44:7 78:23	103:2 105:17,20 107:21 108:24	153:22 speaking 42:15
111:21 124:3 128:4 145:20	shows 154:9	93:15 116:21	109:25 111:16	61:21 62:23
148:3,14,15	shut 27:19	123:16 125:25	111:16 113:4	69:20 107:13
senses 67:22	shutting 28:18	six 11:7,9 12:3	115:19 116:9,17	150:22
sensitive 20:9 43:2	Shwartz 9:18,20	48:11,14,22 50:9	119:10 120:10	special 73:9,23
139:1	10:2,4 13:13	110:11	121:20,23 123:6	132:18,23 133:5
sent 6:20 16:10	14:4 25:3 35:21	sixth 28:12	126:9,10,16	specter 33:18
147:21 150:5	36:3 37:17,21	six-month 50:8	129:2 138:14,22	113:11,19 speech 63:2
sentence 36:7	39:20 42:22 53:4	54:16 70:1 six-week 10:13	144:24 145:6 146:6 147:15	speed 14:18
38:12 sentencing 109:19	60:12,17 63:17 63:18 64:5	62:10	somebody 17:16	speed 14.18 speedy 3:18,24
separate 94:13	side 8:19,19,20	size 39:22	27:7 28:18,23	spend 64:18 95:14
series 16:2	15:19,22 24:12	skill 4:23	31:4,22 70:2	149:7
serious 87:2 96:1	32:12 43:4,13	skills 71:17	75:13,22 77:5	spent 2:25 43:7
99:12 109:21	44:11 47:24	skylight 66:14	80:24 81:2 82:23	60:2 99:19
111:4 114:14	48:19 55:23	slam 122:25	92:10 99:15	spill 59:7
seriously 62:2 63:4	57:25 98:8	sleep 30:16	102:4,14 112:3	spirited 131:25 spoke 76:22,23
servant 139:23	143:23 151:10 152:21 153:4,4	sleeping 69:25 70:2	114:24 115:21 119:19 138:12	sponsored 6:21 7:1
servants 141:2 served 8:21 10:6,7	sidebar 59:13	sleeves 69:10	144:1	sponsoring 5:19
10:9 74:22 76:10	sides 59:7 63:4	slept 74:18	somebody's 86:22	spontaneity 37:16
138:16,19	sign 19:8 23:25	slew 73:7	somehow 89:13	37:18,22
service 64:1 82:17	119:12 153:11	slides 66:21	someone 8:18	spot 19:7
services 127:18	signed 38:5 147:10	slim 22:14	10:21 14:24,25	spread 80:2
134:17 148:22	152:11	small 115:23	23:8 25:12 82:24	Squib 73:8 123:22
set 18:16 21:5,11	significance 39:20	122:10 136:1	83:14 89:6 96:7	129:10 130:4,6
	1	•	1	1



130:12 131:12	113:24	77:7 80:6,20	suspicion 109:10	132:4 135:3
staff 3:17 75:20 143:24 145:2,4	sterile 133:20 stern 63:2 124:16	83:24 84:3,25 85:13 86:21	109:11 swearing 6:21,22	148:7 talks 41:24 118:17
143:24 143:2,4	127:9 128:15	87:22 90:22 94:7	swearing 6.21,22 sweat 152:7	tangent 45:13
staff's 67:9	Stern's 128:9,23	98:21 99:7,8,10	Sweeney 11:16	taped 71:16
stage 33:7	Stewart 112:21	99:10,18 100:3	sweeping 99:10	tapes 80:6
stakes 59:5	stickers 146:16	100:22 101:5,23	swirling 74:23	target 85:18 88:22
Stan 71:9	stiff 129:20	substantial 23:12	sworn 30:20	89:2,7,20 100:6
stand 31:4,15,23	still 21:10 25:7	24:13 86:15	symbolic 145:8	100:10 task 10:7 136:12
44:2 51:1,12,15 51:16 52:4,10,11	26:14 48:6 87:5 stipulated 38:7,11	successful 2:4 33:17	system 136:7,7 139:5	153:6
52:14 53:1 65:21	42:20 43:9,12,24	succinct 68:2	137.3	tasks 135:10
65:23	44:8 46:17,19,22	sudden 16:1	T	teacher 60:2,3
standard 21:17	122:22	119:22 139:21	table 6:10 7:5	teaching 70:24
standing 50:24	stipulation 44:16	suffer 20:14 24:12	51:17 57:3,10	71:4 145:2
52:2,17 57:9	stipulations 38:20	suggest 17:3 28:8	128:16 134:9	team 10:6 150:6,8
132:17 133:11	stock 74:25 116:3	29:3 33:4 78:8 89:21 90:4,7	143:12	teams 10:19 tech 55:16
Stanley 48:25 star 139:17,22	stood 55:12 stop 131:9	suggested 140:21	tact 88:14 tailored 128:12	techniques 59:20
staring 51:2	stopped 57:8	suggesting 45:25	take 11:25 17:25	technology 55:6,14
start 5:9 13:12	stories 57:17	suggestion 18:10	19:12 29:1 32:5	55:17,20,24
25:6 34:25 48:11	story 50:17	29:10 45:20	47:14,16 50:6,9	66:10,12,20,22
49:12 64:9 66:1	straight 70:7,8	134:3	59:16,16 61:3	67:2 68:9
74:2,7 76:11,21	121:13 139:7,8,9	suggestions 70:20	62:1 63:3 68:5	tell 2:20 18:18
77:14,15 80:25	strategize 35:16	71:5 suing 125:15,15	71:19 78:4 80:18	20:1 21:10 28:17 29:14 39:24
83:19 90:25 94:14 103:10	strategy 15:13,17 strength 3:22	suing 123:13,13 suit 115:3,23	80:21 88:14 90:17 98:5,12	49:22,23 50:16
106:2 113:12,16	stress 65:9,10,24	sum 70:5	114:22 115:2	52:5 54:24 57:18
140:24 150:18	66:5,8	summary 37:4	118:13 125:6	60:22 61:19 62:1
started 2:3 9:23	stressors 66:6	summation 57:15	126:13 127:21	62:24 64:24
62:12 130:16	stretched 3:21	summing 57:2	131:17 141:24	65:19 76:3 77:20
145:3	strict 61:14	Sunday 142:9	142:7,9 148:13	77:23 78:19 80:9
starting 13:10	strictly 143:18	sunk 30:23 superb 70:19	152:8 153:24	81:13,14,15 87:17,22,25 90:8
79:18 starts 6:11 33:9	strike 46:20 151:17	71:21	taken 24:23 28:14 30:19 50:3	92:16 108:7
51:1 80:24	striking 45:11	supervisor 93:4	132:13 134:21	109:13,13 118:4
state 1:4 48:2	string 141:3	supervisors 93:11	takes 37:12,15	118:8 128:5
53:21 69:13	stripped 17:9	supplier 121:7	59:13 102:18	130:11 140:4
73:21 114:22	strong 145:11	support 7:18 114:8	taking 25:21 86:10	142:3,4 146:17
130:3 135:25	147:13	126:20 150:1	96:25 113:24	151:22 telling 36:25 41:12
139:19 143:11	strongly 17:3	supporting 30:3	119:21 147:9	77:10
145:23 149:9,11 149:20,22	struck 45:4,9 struggled 3:12	supposed 45:14	talented 141:2 talk 31:8 33:4 38:8	tells 74:20 75:1
150:18 151:20	Stuart 134:8 135:4	Supreme 6:20	38:13 47:20	109:12
151:25 152:13	138:10,12,15	sure 9:1 11:2 18:2	50:18 53:10	temper 148:3
152:17 153:5,9	139:20,22	30:22,25 35:19	59:15 62:8 74:3	temperature 59:17
153:15	Stuart's 139:15,20	38:10 44:20 45:5	75:17 76:6 77:17	tempered 72:23
statement 42:7,14	stuff 18:5,24 30:3	46:10 54:19 62:6	80:15 81:5 83:7	temporary 13:24 15:3 17:22 18:3
46:25 49:21	55:16 66:9,15,19 79:25 92:14 98:3	70:9 83:2 84:8,8 84:13,14,18 89:7	84:7 85:13 86:20 93:7 94:18	18:14,21 19:20
110:22 124:24 126:14,18	105:24 131:17	90:20 101:3	102:17 106:23	19:23 20:24
statements 111:23	stumbling 146:20	105:16 113:23	106:25 107:7,10	21:16 22:2,24
112:14	stunning 36:7	114:8 116:11	108:6 109:1	23:1,9 34:21
STATES 1:13	style 4:23 72:23,25	123:16 126:2	113:5 114:24	ten 49:1,2 54:3
station 27:18	styles 12:7	127:16 138:13	140:22 147:5	62:10 77:8 96:12 129:15 146:13
status 124:4	subject 26:18	141:17 142:8	151:5,11 154:1	tendency 19:19
statute 5:24 7:7 statutes 102:12	84:14 85:18 89:3 89:15 100:6,8,10	surface 132:6 surprise 37:12	talked 30:6 72:22 74:5 83:6 84:19	term 80:19 108:4
statutes 102:12 staying 29:14	104:19,20 129:9	148:5	talking 17:13	terminated 49:17
stays 25:10	133:4 134:2	surprised 67:18	20:23 25:8 27:25	terms 13:23 27:25
stealing 14:24	149:7 150:16	140:7	33:3,16 34:13	39:21 40:13
stellar 2:5	subjects 3:6 8:7	survive 105:5	61:23 63:19	47:23 49:18
step 27:7 100:19	140:21	suspect 83:8	69:20 75:23	50:22 51:20 55:6 60:13 65:5 70:6
104:10 120:9	submitted 57:13	111:14 suspend 115:25	76:11 77:2 109:7	88:5,12 93:7
147:25 148:13	subpoena 74:22 75:1,2,25 76:10	suspended 101:11	117:3 118:25 119:7,19 121:6	112:25 122:1
steps 96:20,24	13.1,2,23 70.10	Suspended 101.11	117.7,19 121.0	
	•			700/0100



		THE PARTY NAMED AND ADDRESS OF		1
123:12 151:5	things 15:25 17:9	131:3,23 140:16	Timpone 73:1,8	148:24
terrible 74:17	17:10 18:19	thoughtful 144:18	87:3,7 90:23	Travolta 69:19
terribly 53:19	20:12 25:7 27:5	thousand 135:24	95:10,17 96:14	treat 31:20
terrific 8:10 9:1	34:15 38:19 41:7	threat 152:23	97:23 98:1,5,17	treated 63:5
11:7 12:9,9,20	49:7 55:18 56:17	three 3:13,21 6:25	100:15 106:13	tremendous 13:2
148:7	57:3 61:19 64:7	7:23 8:2 18:8	106:16,25 107:2	trend 129:14
terrifically 11:6	65:11,15 66:5	25:17 28:23	108:12,22 110:3	Trenton 143:25
terror 17:10	68:23 70:22 76:5	29:17 37:22	110:8 113:19	trial 10:14 12:9
terrorism 153:6	83:1 86:4 87:18	48:14 50:6 57:23	115:2 116:18	24:24 34:23 35:1
terrorist 138:25	93:16 99:17	69:21 70:1 73:17	118:25 123:3	35:22 36:2,9
test 60:3,4	102:8,17 104:5	74:12 94:4 98:6	128:22	37:12 38:9,21
testifies 42:10	105:20,21 106:3	110:5 122:11	tip 53:20 68:15	39:4,6,18 40:11
testify 108:17	111:22 112:22	129:16	¹ 79:6	40:13 42:3,11,17
testifying 30:18	113:5,17 116:17	three-day 28:6,11	tips 71:5	43:2,8,10,11,13
testimony 17:1,2	123:12 146:6	threshold 121:1	today 2:6 3:23 8:8	43:22 44:1,9
21:19,21 28:14	think 2:7,20 4:15	through 9:12 23:1	12:21 32:12	45:17 46:18 47:5
30:21 31:21 32:5	6:5 8:2 15:6	25:19 31:10	119:4 131:22	47:7 48:23 49:8
32:8 43:1 45:5	19:18 21:9 22:22	35:12 50:17,17	136:25 140:1,9	50:8,10 51:1
45:10,12 46:20	25:11,13 28:17	62:16 66:24 71:8	140:12,20 147:7	53:19,22,23
46:22 66:8	30:11 31:24	71:11,18 76:5,18	153:22	54:16 55:7 57:1
testing 59:25 60:6	32:23,23 33:11	85:6 126:5 145:2	together 3:25 9:8	57:11,20 59:8
thank 4:4 7:18,21	33:17,18 36:20	151:3	36:1 79:18 87:15	60:9,14,16 61:2
8:6 12:20 70:16	38:25 41:20 43:1	throughout 8:24	111:25 147:2	61:10,12 62:10
	43:25 44:14,20	11:22 80:2	150:7 152:11,16	62:11,13,14
70:18 71:22	44:22 45:5,20,23	125:10 142:2	153:2,17	63:14 64:9,16
72:14 131:24	47:9,10 48:5,6	throw 79:13 85:22	told 5:18 37:11	65:10,16 66:7,8
132:3 134:12	49:7,18 51:13,24	103:1 109:17	39:11 40:9 74:23	67:16 68:25 69:8
137:8,9,12,13,14		113:6 114:24	75:2 78:3 86:1	69:8,9,25 70:1
137:22,23 138:2	52:18 54:9,25		91:4 93:9 98:20	70:10 71:1 72:11
138:4 140:1,3,20	55:25 56:2 62:4	throwing 17:6	98:21 112:22	122:25 132:12
153:25 154:2,11	64:24 68:20 71:5	54:15		135:18 146:17
154:17,21	74:3,18 75:7,15	thrown 58:21	tolerate 115:21 tolerated 61:21	trials 138:22 146:8
Thanks 72:16	76:1,3,25 77:14	Thursday 65:15		trial-like 16:22
135:5	78:7,10 80:13	tick 64:25	Tompkins 91:21	tribute 4:15
theatrics 63:24	82:18 88:25 89:1	tickets 4:13	tone 53:7 147:14	tried 37:19 40:3
their 3:24 13:6	89:10 91:8,22,23	time 2:10 6:22	top 3:4 15:14 96:8	42:24 48:6 51:8
14:24,25 29:19	91:25,25 94:14	7:18 9:20 17:3	topic 55:12 73:7	56:14 57:1 68:15
38:11,21 40:7,13	95:19 97:6 98:17	21:11 22:1,14	topics 55:9 74:3	72:9 138:21,24
41:13 44:24 50:3	104:2 106:17	29:21 33:12	torpedo 118:21	
50:10 51:20	111:4,11,12,20	37:20 39:1 40:15	tossing 113:14	138:25
53:13,25 71:17	116:6 118:11,15	40:15 43:7,19	total 23:3	tries 53:17
82:1 83:18 90:7	118:21 124:13	44:16,17 49:8	totally 64:15	trigger 122:19
92:11 114:10,11	127:10 141:19	50:3,6 57:2 61:7	touch 80:10 92:13	125:21
119:23 123:24	142:4,6 144:4	61:8,15 63:9,22	150:15	trip 141:6
127:4,21 131:6	152:17	64:6,6,21 65:13	touched 132:6	TRO 18:7 24:11
132:14 134:17	thinking 49:9,10	65:18 66:11 67:3	146:14	26:18 27:6,21
138:22 148:16	49:12 77:15	69:10 72:14	touches 124:22	troopers 114:22
150:19 152:20	79:22 84:15	78:12 83:23 84:2	tough 77:1 124:11	troops 105:15
theme 13:10	141:11	85:12 87:9,10,15	145:9	trouble 98:7
themes 67:24,25	thinks 48:25	89:15 93:20 94:9	tougher 119:10	100:12
themselves 9:14	117:19 134:2	95:10,11 97:8	toughest 72:10	troubled 124:10
13:4 17:10 78:7	third 54:11 72:6	99:20,21 101:2	tow 58:5,5,11	124:18
99:21 103:11	72:20 136:12	110:13 112:4	toward 90:14	truck 58:5,11 75:9
128:20	thirteen 2:16	113:15 115:11	149:6	trucked 58:5
thin 3:21	Thirtieth 1:13 2:2	119:5 122:9,11	towards 6:13	trucking 144:18
thing 7:4 15:7	155:8	122:15 123:18	88:20 92:12	true 121:9 147:20
20:20 25:23	Thompson 92:18	133:17 134:17	towering 52:2	149:12
26:22 28:4 47:17	96:10,13 97:15	134:23 136:4,6	track 35:18 60:19	truly 53:18 68:1
50:13 54:22 55:1	113:20 114:6	138:3,8 140:12	67:25 68:1	136:7
57:18 64:4 68:11	thorny 45:15	141:25 146:9	trademark 14:25	trust 68:9 152:1
68:17,24 76:20	thorough 11:11	155:3,4	27:16	trustees 70:23
81:15 82:4,21	18:12	times 19:10,15	tradition 134:16	132:17 133:6,16
86:13 93:18	thoroughly 91:11	27:5 28:7 35:20	train 70:3	try 9:15 11:15 12:5
97:23 118:19	though 14:1 43:6	39:11 41:1 43:9	training 153:1	15:7 25:15 26:12
124:22 125:4,24	thought 11:2 45:5	43:25,25 44:5,11	transition 140:22	28:16 29:1,1,12
130:1 139:14	57:15 62:20 63:5	56:7 58:23 67:21	141:9 150:6	31:20 41:13
143:4	70:3 74:1 112:9	timing 18:6,10	transparent	47:16 50:14
	1	1	1	ı



70 01 54 5 T				
53:21 54:5,7	ultimately 27:23	154:16,16	138:4 139:1	Walsh 154:15
56:14 65:11	92:20	USDOJ.gov 97:14	140:4,17 141:25	Walter 73:8
67:20 68:18	umbrella 148:18	use 36:14,15,16,22	142:15 143:6,16	want 8:6,13,17 9:6
75:15 85:16,17	152:15 153:2	55:6,10,20,22,25	149:19 152:14	13:12 15:23
			l .	
88:12 91:11 95:1	umpires 10:24	56:1 66:12,13,15	154:17	17:23 24:1 27:8
95:2 97:24	unable 140:11	66:20 67:1 68:7	veteran 71:7	27:21 28:24 31:1
101:16 102:24	unanimous 48:3	74:2	veto 125:6 146:4	34:20 35:5,14
103:6 106:25	48:13	used 38:9 52:14	vetted 149:11	36:13 38:11 39:2
108:21 109:3	unanimously	73:14 79:2 97:10	via 27:21	39:3,8 45:25
123:3,13 127:24	48:20	105:21	vice 79:10 132:21	46:2 49:20,23
130:14 141:14	uncomfortable	useful 91:15	133:22	50:4,5,16,20
	127:19	using 27:16 63:22	victory 23:3	52:9,11,17,22
141:17 143:2				
144:23 146:13	uncover 148:9	usually 13:20	view 40:5 44:13	54:7 55:1,22
148:3,13 150:23	uncovered 126:19	14:16 22:10,14	89:10 94:16	60:21 63:12
trying 9:9 10:13	under 2:16 27:15	27:11,13 60:17	103:25 105:24	64:24 66:13,15
13:4,11 20:12,16	30:18 40:5 42:9	60:19 79:3	118:13	66:23 70:4 71:16
31:9 33:3 37:2,8	87:18 115:15	U.M.D.N.J 124:8	viewed 57:21	75:22 81:18,23
37:25 39:23	116:21 135:13	124:12,16 128:5	73:18 142:24	84:14,17 85:6
43:16,18 44:22	136:16,17	128:23 148:4	viewing 57:21	87:11,14 88:1,2
48:2,12 49:12	148:18 152:14	U.S 9:23 73:5,9,23	views 89:11 133:2	88:2 89:24 90:7
52:8 53:13 54:11	153:2	86:24 87:3 91:9	133:13,14 134:1	92:4 93:14 95:24
		94:5 96:5 97:16	vigorous 117:10	99:3 105:16
63:20 66:24	underestimate			l '
67:14 69:3,12	56:15	99:10 100:2	violate 53:14	106:23 107:10
91:14 113:7	underlying 98:2	108:13 114:1	122:24	108:2,14 113:6,7
117:11 125:16	underpinning 45:1	129:7 130:9	violated 62:2	113:9,23 114:24
140:10 142:25	understand 18:7	131:13 140:23	violation 15:2	116:1,6,12,17
144:5,15 150:7	38:6 50:5 56:23	142:18 146:8	violations 78:24	117:1,15 118:9
Tuesday 65:21	77:1 91:20	148:8	virtually 95:12	119:3 120:3
Tunc 6:11	105:11		125:22 126:24	122:3 125:14
turn 9:6 24:14,17	undertaken 96:24	V	127:1 153:8	131:24 141:18
72:14 86:9 98:15	undue 44:25	vacancies 3:13	vis 82:1,1 113:25	141:22 146:14
	unethical 57:5		113:25 118:22	wanted 12:3 50:10
110:19 115:17		vacations 139:21	118:22	63:23 70:23 71:3
134:23	unethically 62:19	valid 16:20		1
turned 28:11	unfair 52:19	valuable 64:6,6	visibility 139:16	124:9
58:11,14	unfortunately 7:12	136:4	visit 75:19	wants 27:19 71:11
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 14.14.26.24.01.5	1 • 4 • 4 • 4 • 4	Livicitad 7/1:20	1 26.74 05.4 06.17
turning 17:7	14:14 36:24 91:5	variety 144:14	visited 74:20	86:23 95:4 96:17
	unfounded 131:9	variety 144:14 various 132:13	visited 74.20 vitality 133:8	133:21 149:18
Turnpike 36:17	unfounded 131:9		vitality 133:8 voir 61:13	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5	vitality 133:8	133:21 149:18
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 ————————————————————————————————————	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 ————————————————————————————————————	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 ————————————————————————————————————	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 ————————————————————————————————————	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 ————————————————————————————————————	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 ————————————————————————————————————	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5 two-week 119:20	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United`States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13 until 12:5 20:18	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16 72:9 74:11,16	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22 Wally 94:21 95:8 95:15 97:17	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6 125:14 126:5,5
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5 two-week 119:20 Tyco 73:14 78:16	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13 until 12:5 20:18 29:15 39:10,13	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16 72:9 74:11,16 80:14 96:22	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22 Wally 94:21 95:8 95:15 97:17 101:1 104:15	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6 125:14 126:5,5 127:8,15 130:14
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5 two-week 119:20 Tyco 73:14 78:16 type 22:5 23:6	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13 until 12:5 20:18 29:15 39:10,13 69:11,21 89:7	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16 72:9 74:11,16 80:14 96:22 107:11 110:17	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22 Wally 94:21 95:8 95:15 97:17 101:1 104:15 106:1 108:10,18	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6 125:14 126:5,5 127:8,15 130:14 131:14 142:20
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5 two-week 119:20 Tyco 73:14 78:16 type 22:5 23:6 27:17 79:5 87:13	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13 until 12:5 20:18 29:15 39:10,13 69:11,21 89:7 91:1 93:16	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16 72:9 74:11,16 80:14 96:22 107:11 110:17 119:17,25	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22 Wally 94:21 95:8 95:15 97:17 101:1 104:15 106:1 108:10,18 109:5 110:2,18	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6 125:14 126:5,5 127:8,15 130:14 131:14 142:20 142:23 143:3
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5 two-week 119:20 Tyco 73:14 78:16 type 22:5 23:6 27:17 79:5 87:13 92:13	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13 until 12:5 20:18 29:15 39:10,13 69:11,21 89:7 91:1 93:16 102:14 110:5	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16 72:9 74:11,16 80:14 96:22 107:11 110:17 119:17,25 124:13 125:23	witality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22 Wally 94:21 95:8 95:15 97:17 101:1 104:15 106:1 108:10,18 109:5 110:2,18 110:19,20 112:3	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6 125:14 126:5,5 127:8,15 130:14 131:14 142:20 142:23 143:3 146:19 147:16
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5 two-week 119:20 Tyco 73:14 78:16 type 22:5 23:6 27:17 79:5 87:13	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13 until 12:5 20:18 29:15 39:10,13 69:11,21 89:7 91:1 93:16 102:14 110:5 111:19 140:9	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16 72:9 74:11,16 80:14 96:22 107:11 110:17 119:17,25 124:13 125:23 126:17 128:6	witality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22 Wally 94:21 95:8 95:15 97:17 101:1 104:15 106:1 108:10,18 109:5 110:2,18 110:19,20 112:3 112:3 117:1,24	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6 125:14 126:5,5 127:8,15 130:14 131:14 142:20 142:23 143:3 146:19 147:16 148:15,19 149:4
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5 two-week 119:20 Tyco 73:14 78:16 type 22:5 23:6 27:17 79:5 87:13 92:13 t's 24:5	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13 until 12:5 20:18 29:15 39:10,13 69:11,21 89:7 91:1 93:16 102:14 110:5 111:19 140:9 152:16	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16 72:9 74:11,16 80:14 96:22 107:11 110:17 119:17,25 124:13 125:23 126:17 128:6 129:2,14,22	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22 Wally 94:21 95:8 95:15 97:17 101:1 104:15 106:1 108:10,18 109:5 110:2,18 110:19,20 112:3 112:3 117:1,24 118:12 123:1	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6 125:14 126:5,5 127:8,15 130:14 131:14 142:20 142:23 143:3 146:19 147:16 148:15,19 149:4 151:3 152:17,23
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5 two-week 119:20 Tyco 73:14 78:16 type 22:5 23:6 27:17 79:5 87:13 92:13	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13 until 12:5 20:18 29:15 39:10,13 69:11,21 89:7 91:1 93:16 102:14 110:5 111:19 140:9	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16 72:9 74:11,16 80:14 96:22 107:11 110:17 119:17,25 124:13 125:23 126:17 128:6	witality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22 Wally 94:21 95:8 95:15 97:17 101:1 104:15 106:1 108:10,18 109:5 110:2,18 110:19,20 112:3 112:3 117:1,24 118:12 123:1 Wally's 73:12	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6 125:14 126:5,5 127:8,15 130:14 131:14 142:20 142:23 143:3 146:19 147:16 148:15,19 149:4 151:3 152:17,23 ways 65:1 128:6
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5 two-week 119:20 Tyco 73:14 78:16 type 22:5 23:6 27:17 79:5 87:13 92:13 t's 24:5	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13 until 12:5 20:18 29:15 39:10,13 69:11,21 89:7 91:1 93:16 102:14 110:5 111:19 140:9 152:16 unusual 18:22	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16 72:9 74:11,16 80:14 96:22 107:11 110:17 119:17,25 124:13 125:23 126:17 128:6 129:2,14,22 131:25 135:10	vitality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22 Wally 94:21 95:8 95:15 97:17 101:1 104:15 106:1 108:10,18 109:5 110:2,18 110:19,20 112:3 112:3 117:1,24 118:12 123:1	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6 125:14 126:5,5 127:8,15 130:14 131:14 142:20 142:23 143:3 146:19 147:16 148:15,19 149:4 151:3 152:17,23
Turnpike 36:17 tussles 150:25 two 2:4,19 5:5 6:14 7:15 11:12 12:7 16:19 28:6,23 30:14 50:6 52:3 53:5 57:13 67:3 67:24,25 69:11 70:1 71:13 72:18 74:21 75:12,12 77:7,8,12,25 81:23 82:25 86:2 94:4,20 104:23 106:3 108:22 109:12 111:22 112:7 119:8 120:16 123:21 128:5 132:16 134:19 135:6,8 135:10 137:1 147:5 two-week 119:20 Tyco 73:14 78:16 type 22:5 23:6 27:17 79:5 87:13 92:13 t's 24:5	unfounded 131:9 unhappy 87:18 unhesitant 101:19 unit 73:9 UNITED 1:13 United States 6:8 6:20 10:1,8,10 72:7 73:14 138:17 143:6,8 University 2:25 3:4 6:15 unknown 86:5 unless 75:19 101:4 109:7 Unlike 107:24 unlikely 87:22 unprofessionally 61:17 unrealistic 93:1 unreviewable 95:13 until 12:5 20:18 29:15 39:10,13 69:11,21 89:7 91:1 93:16 102:14 110:5 111:19 140:9 152:16	various 132:13 vehicle 43:24 44:5 verbose 100:20 verdict 63:8 verified 29:6 Verniero 151:21 very 4:4 5:10,14 5:17 6:6 8:24 12:7 14:22 17:8 21:4 25:4,6,21 25:21 29:7 30:5 33:13 34:6 35:11 35:18 36:9 39:21 43:6 44:10 45:3 47:23,23 51:6 53:6,24 56:21 57:1 58:20 59:5 59:5,22,23 60:13 61:3,14 62:1 63:2,3 68:20 69:19 70:3,16 72:9 74:11,16 80:14 96:22 107:11 110:17 119:17,25 124:13 125:23 126:17 128:6 129:2,14,22	witality 133:8 voir 61:13 volunteer 136:3 voted 132:17 W wait 69:11 76:13 93:16 101:24 waiting 57:15 waive 95:2,3 107:21 132:9,10 waived 42:15 97:3 98:9 walk 11:20 31:25 32:2 41:5 90:21 93:23 115:20 146:13 walked 5:23 10:20 walks 49:13 walled 81:22 Wally 94:21 95:8 95:15 97:17 101:1 104:15 106:1 108:10,18 109:5 110:2,18 110:19,20 112:3 112:3 117:1,24 118:12 123:1 Wally's 73:12	133:21 149:18 warning 118:16,18 wary 112:18 Washington 2:18 wasn't 58:17 95:18 102:11 130:18 watched 56:24 watching 56:16,17 56:17 57:9 92:24 waterfront 84:9 way 16:6 19:15 26:18 27:6 28:8 33:9 34:4 35:16 38:2 45:23 47:25 53:13 54:8 60:7 62:25 63:6 64:25 71:6 79:20 86:17 89:10,10 102:22 103:10 111:1 113:7,18 119:14 121:20,23 124:6 125:14 126:5,5 127:8,15 130:14 131:14 142:20 142:23 143:3 146:19 147:16 148:15,19 149:4 151:3 152:17,23 ways 65:1 128:6



		1	1	1
web 97:14 129:10	63:24 76:14	71:17 72:13	York 68:17	
133:18,18,24	80:18 84:4 87:17	73:19 74:12 75:9	young 71:6	
136:16	115:1 128:8	81:22 83:15	younger 71:1	
Wednesday 65:14	135:14 144:3	116:6 120:10		
65:20	whiplash 58:15	124:1 132:10,19	Z	
week 26:17 36:21	Whipple 4:12,20	132:25 137:11	Zero 144:17	
68:19,25 75:5,12	8:14 154:21	141:3 142:15,16	zone 95:12 125:13	
77:25 139:18	155:2	142:17 146:6		
152:11 153:11	whistle 50:1 white 72:13 73:19	148:8 151:2,4,12 152:11,20 153:4	\$	
weeks 11:7,9 12:4 48:11 50:7 69:11	whoa 60:18,18,18	153:5	\$2,000 147:17	
70:1,1 74:12	whole 29:13 39:1	worked 3:17 60:11	\$20 146:1 152:6	
77:7,8,25 82:25	42:21 87:25	62:8 92:15	1	
98:6 104:23	92:22 95:3	107:17 131:14		
119:8	111:25 144:6	137:20	10th 3:9 5:20	
weight 44:25	wife 54:1	workers 68:12	10,000 146:14 100 135:22	
Weiser 153:16	Wilentz 136:22	working 4:25 15:1	11-year 142:12	
welcome 9:3	willing 42:18 87:9	25:4 62:17 93:5	125 145:14	
135:11 149:24	90:2 115:7,8	104:23 126:4,5	15 29:11 66:24	
149:25	130:14	143:15 146:8	71:20,20 145:8	
well 8:12 9:15	willingness 117:18	147:3 153:17	145:10	
10:15 12:6 13:4	win 35:6 45:18	works 55:21 78:20	15-minute 71:19	
14:4 15:6 17:23	49:20,20 50:14	124:21	16(d) 36:8	
24:25 30:24 41:1	56:22	world 17:16 54:23	1789 2:17	
42:5 45:7 47:9 50:18 67:18,19	winning 49:10 Withdrawn 87:7	64:8 89:23 108:21 144:16	19 138:18 139:3	
77:9 78:12 98:11	witness 16:25 17:1	152:16	141:1,5	
99:4 102:4	17:7 21:19,21	worried 79:23	190 145:14	
123:11 125:16	29:22 30:7,17,25	worry 69:24 131:7	1930s 7:10	
133:2 134:10	31:2,5,15,23	worst 25:20 68:24	1992 129:15	
135:22 142:14	32:13 37:13	worth 141:5	1999 136:21	
144:11 149:12	41:23 42:2 44:2	wouldn't 100:2	2	
149:20 150:14	46:10,12 51:2	115:2 116:24	20 17:18 86:8,11	
went 40:23 57:23	52:1,3,4,18,20	126:22	86:12	
71:5 75:2 95:22	62:9 108:11,14	writing 3:1 97:5	200 2:22	
124:12 131:10	108:16 146:19	98:3	2001 129:15	
139:16 143:5	witnesses 19:12,16	written 6:7 97:14	136:22	
155:3,3	21:11 31:8 32:5	wrong 27:12 68:10	2002 136:22	
were 34:16 44:22	35:9 37:3,5 42:2	wrongdoing 109:9 109:12 114:8	2003 136:23	
47:3 48:7 50:11	42:3 46:10 50:15	123:8	2004 136:23	
52:8 53:11 57:4 57:4,9,11,13,14	50:17,18,22 51:20 68:3 69:17	wrongfully 49:16	2006 1:23	
58:4,10,19 62:18	69:20	wrote 147:19	21-inch 142:8	
62:25 63:4 68:18	Wolin 33:13		23 1:23 129:17	
69:12 71:15	women 149:19	Y	25 71:2	
74:10 76:10,23	won 148:11	yeah 26:7 41:3	3	
100:5 101:1,6	wonder 141:25	45:8,8 79:17	30 4:14 71:2 145:7	
106:5,6,6 112:13	wonderful 25:2	126:10	300 75:20	
116:23,25	62:5 83:16	year 2:4 3:10 4:8	300 /3.20	
117:14 126:15	102:12	6:1,19 7:3 8:18	4	
127:7,11,12	wondering 23:11	70:23 72:18,20	45 14:15	
129:16 130:14	47:2 80:25	122:10 134:15		
131:11 135:14	115:13 Wong 12:24 25	134:19 135:25	5	
139:21 140:21 141:13 146:18	Wong 12:24,25 13:8 34:25 35:3	years 2:23,25 3:13 4:14,22 6:3 8:21	5:45 74:13	
weren't 32:7	49:14,18 54:19	9:11 10:7 11:23	50 18:18 145:23	
WEST 1:23	56:21 69:14,15	12:16 39:9,25		
we'll 20:22 38:13	word 67:16 76:12	54:3 60:2 62:10	6	
87:9,11 90:16	126:25 129:1	71:2 72:8 73:18	615 154:24	
93:7 101:23	139:17	119:17,24 120:8		
105:23 111:15	words 109:24	122:11 129:17	9	
114:12,25	135:14	135:22 138:18	9-11 152:15	
we've 90:9	work 13:2 17:4	139:3 141:1,5,21	9:25 64:10	
whack 79:1	41:6 46:1 50:4	142:1 148:2	9:30 64:9 66:2	
whatsoever 64:23	60:10,11 66:2	149:12	97 119:4 90 03:10	
while 50:24 58:4	67:16 68:21	yesterday 154:25	99 93:19	1
	I	1	•	•

